arXiv:math/0108104v2 [math.AG] 19 Sep 2001

Holomorphic Principal Bundles Over Elliptic Curves III: Singular Curves and Fibrations

Robert Friedman* and John W. Morgan†

February 1, 2008

Introduction

Let G be a reductive complex linear algebraic group, which in this paper for simplicity we shall always assume to be simple and simply connected, and let $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$. This paper is the third in a series concerned with the study of holomorphic principal G-bundles over elliptic fibrations. The first paper in the series studied the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of G-bundles on a smooth elliptic curve E by means of flat connections, or equivalently by finding a holomorphic reduction of the structure group to a Cartan subgroup H of G. This approach, while in many ways the most natural, is not adapted to finding universal G-bundles over $E \times \mathcal{M}$ or to dealing with the case of singular elliptic curves or fibrations. In the second paper, we introduced a new method for studying G-bundles, by finding a reduction of structure to a certain maximal parabolic subgroup P. In this construction, one sees naturally that the moduli space is a weighted projective space, and that the weights are given as follows: let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be a set of simple roots for (G, H), let $\widetilde{\alpha}$ be the highest root, and set $\alpha_0 = -\widetilde{\alpha}$. Then there is a unique linear relation $\sum_{i=0}^r g_i\alpha_i^\vee = 0$ with $g_0 = 1$, and the weights of the weighted projective space are the positive integers g_i, $0 \le i \le r$. We also used this construction to exhibit universal bundles over $E \times \mathcal{M}$, at least for an appropriate conformal form of G and away from the singular points of $\mathcal{M}$. In this paper, we shall continue our study by analyzing how this construction behaves for singular elliptic curves and how it can be made in families $\pi\colon Z \to B$ of Weierstrass cubics. One goal is to construct a relative moduli space, at least if either G is not of type E_8 or π has no cuspidal fibers, which is a weighted projective space bundle over B. If G is not of type E_8, then this bundle is the bundle of weighted projective spaces associated to

$$\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_r},$$

where the d_i are the Casimir weights of G, i.e. the numbers $m_i + 1$, where the m_i are the exponents of G, and the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = R^0\pi_*\omega_{Z/B}$ is the direct image of the relative

---

[*] The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-99-70437.

[†] The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-97-04507.

dualizing sheaf. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{L}^{-d_i}$ with weight g_i for some ordering of the simple roots, and $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_B$ with weight one. A very closely related result was proved by Wirthmüller [19], by somewhat *ad hoc* methods. One goal of this paper is to find a conceptual explanation for the appearance of the integers d_i. A second goal is to understand the construction for cuspidal or nodal curves, and to see that it gives a section of the adjoint quotient morphism into the set of regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ or G as well as a compactification of the adjoint quotient. Thus, this part of our results should be viewed as both another approach to the work of Kostant [13] and Steinberg [17] on the existence of such sections, as well as a generalization, in the sense that we construct natural compactifications of the adjoint quotient and show that the Steinberg section is in some sense a deformation of the Kostant section, and that both may be deformed to the parabolic construction on a smooth elliptic curve.

The basic idea behind the construction for singular curves and families is to extend the parabolic construction to singular curves. Let us recall this construction for a smooth elliptic curve E, with origin p_0. Let R be the root system of the pair (G,H) and let Δ be a set of simple roots. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, there is a corresponding maximal parabolic subgroup P, with unipotent radical U and Levi factor L. We define α to be *special* if

(i) The Dynkin diagram associated to $\Delta - \{\alpha\}$ is a union of diagrams of A-type;

(ii) The simple root α meets each component of the Dynkin diagram associated to $\Delta - \{\alpha\}$ at an end of the component;

(iii) The root α is a long root.

If G is of type A_n, then every root is special. In all other cases, there is a unique special root. It is easy to check that the derived subgroup of L is isomorphic to a product of groups of A-type, and that L is the subgroup of a product of groups of the form $GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of matrices of equal determinant. Thus an L-bundle over E is the same as a collection of vector bundles with equal determinant, and hence there is a unique L-bundle η_0 which corresponds to a collection of stable vector bundles of determinant $\mathcal{O}_E(-p_0)$. In this case, lifts of η_0 to a P-bundle are classified by the nonabelian cohomology set $H^1(E;U(\eta_0))$, where $U(\eta_0)$ is the sheaf of unipotent groups associated to the principal L-bundle η_0 and the action of L on U. While this cohomology set is hard to analyze directly, one can look at the linearized version $H^1(E;\mathfrak{u}(\eta_0))$, where $\mathfrak{u}$ is the Lie algebra of U. It is easy to see that the L-module $\mathfrak{u}$ is a direct sum of irreducible representations $\mathfrak{u}^k$ of L on which the center acts via positive weights, and thus $\mathfrak{u}(\eta_0)$ is a direct sum of semistable vector bundles $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$ over E of negative degrees, which can be explicitly calculated. From this, one can prove that the set $H^1(E;U(\eta_0))$ carries the structure of an affine space, on which $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts with the appropriate weights. The quotient $(H^1(E;U(\eta_0)) - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$ is a weighted projective space, corresponding to isomorphism classes of P-bundles whose reduction mod U is isomorphic to η_0. Of course, given a P-bundle, one can form the associated G-bundle. One of the main results in [7] is that every S-equivalence class in $\mathcal{M}$ arises uniquely in this way. In particular, up to S-equivalence, every semistable holomorphic G-bundle over E has a natural reduction of structure to a P-bundle with certain very special properties.

How does this picture change when we consider, instead of smooth elliptic curves E, singular curves C of arithmetic genus one? One fundamental difference is that, while one can still define the notion of a stable or semistable vector bundle over a singular curve C, there is no reasonable candidate at present for a definition of a semistable principal G-bundle over C. Closely related to this is the fact that the tensor product of two semistable vector bundles on a singular curve can be unstable. At best, one can consider the set of all G-bundles on C whose pullback to the normalization $\widetilde{C}$ is semistable (and hence is trivial, in our case, since $\widetilde{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$). While a coarse moduli space of such bundles exists, it is never compact. On the other hand, by the explicit description of the group L, there is a natural definition of the L-bundle η_0 over C and it is uniquely specified by requiring that it correspond to t stable vector bundles of given determinant $\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$, where p_0 is an origin for the group C_{reg} of smooth points of C. We can then form the set $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ and its linearized version $H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_0))$. However, the vector bundles $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$ are typically no longer semistable. Nonetheless, after a long and somewhat painful calculation, these vector bundles can be directly tabulated and their cohomology can be shown to behave just like that of a semistable bundle of the same degree, except in one case where C is cuspidal and G is of type E_8. Unfortunately, we have no conceptual reason why the calculation fails in this case, nor why it gives the right answer in all other cases. In a future paper [9], we will discuss the corresponding picture for the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of degree one, or equivalently rational elliptic surfaces, and give a geometric explanation for the anomalous nature of E_8.

Once we have constructed the bundle η_0, or more precisely a conformal variant of η_0 in the case of a fibration $\pi\colon Z \to B$, then we can make the parabolic construction for the family Z as long as the cohomology calculations described above give the expected answer, and hence whenever G is not of type E_8 or π has no cuspidal fibers. To further analyze the result, we consider the universal family $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{([x, y, z], g_2, g_3) : y^2z = x^3 + g_2xz^2 + g_3z^3\}.$$

Of crucial importance is the fact that the base $\mathbb{A}^2$ has a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action which lifts to $\mathcal{E}$, with a unique fixed point at 0 corresponding to a cuspidal curve. The main idea now is to use the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action to localize the picture around the cuspidal curve, and to relate the weights there to the Chevalley generators of the graded ring $(\mathrm{Sym}^* \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ of Weyl-invariant polynomial functions on a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H)$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sections 1, 2, and 3 we collect many preliminary results, most of which seem to be well-known but for which we could not find adequate references. In Section 1, it is convenient to consider the moduli stack $\mathbf{E}$ of elliptic fibrations with a section. We use very little of the general theory of stacks. Instead the main point is to describe the group of line bundles over $\mathbf{E}$ and their sections. An elementary argument shows that every vector bundle over $\mathbf{E}$ is a direct sum of line bundles. Finally, we show that a conformal form of the stable L-bundle η_0 defined above exists over $\mathbf{E}$. Section 2 contains elementary facts concerning vector bundles over nodal and cuspidal curves. These facts are used to make the necessary cohomology calculations and to analyze the stability of vector bundles associated to certain G-bundles. Related results about vector bundles over singular curves may be found in [4] and the references therein. In Section 3, we describe principal G-bundles over nodal and cuspidal curves in terms of G-bundles on the normalization. There is a also a very brief discussion of a partial moduli space for G-bundles over singular curves.

We begin Section 4 with the necessary cohomology calculations for the parabolic construction. Once these are established, it follows from the theory of [7] that, except in the case of E_8 and cuspidal fibers, the constructions fit together in families to give a bundle of weighted projective spaces. Turning to the nodal or cuspidal case, we show that the set of bundles inside the weighted projective space which become trivial on the normalization is an affine space, which we then identify with the adjoint quotient of G or of $\mathfrak{g}$. Finally, by linearizing the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action for the family $\mathcal{E}$, we show that the relative moduli space is the quotient of the vector bundle associated to $\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_r}$ by a diagonal $\mathbb{C}^*$-action.

In Section 5, we prove a very general result on families of weighted projective bundles which shows that our compactification is unique in an appropriate sense. We use this result to compare our construction to that of Wirthmüller, and will also use it in a future paper [9] to relate our construction to ones that can be made for del Pezzo surfaces. In Section 6, we study the way that the parabolic construction behaves under inclusions of subgroups $G' \subseteq G$. Similar methods give more insight into the case of E_8. In particular, we are able to construct a bundle of 9-dimensional weighted projective spaces and an action of a one-dimensional unipotent group on this bundle, such that the quotient, if it existed, would be the correct moduli space. However, the quotient does not exist in any reasonable sense if there are cuspidal fibers. Still, given a cuspidal curve, there is an eight-dimensional affine space inside of the corresponding nine-dimensional weighted projective space which is a slice for the action of the unipotent group on the open subset of bundles which become trivial on the normalization and which plays the role of the Kostant section. The situation is very closely related to the correspondence between del Pezzo surfaces of degree one and rational elliptic surfaces, as we will show in a future paper. Finally, in Section 7, in the case of a singular curve C of arithmetic genus one, we analyze when the vector bundle V associated to a G-bundle ξ coming from the parabolic construction and an irreducible representation $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is unstable. If ξ pulls back to the trivial bundle on the normalization of C, then V is always semistable. Otherwise, there are only a very few representations ρ for which V might possibly be semistable. We classify all such representations and identify those bundles ξ arising from the parabolic construction for which V is unstable. For G of type E_6, E_7, or F_4, there exist bundles arising from the parabolic construction such that, for every irreducible representation ρ, the associated vector bundle V is unstable. For G of type E_8, the situation is even more striking: for every G-bundle ξ such that the pullback of ξ to the normalization is not trivial and every irreducible representation ρ, the associated vector bundle V is unstable.

There are many remaining open questions. One of the deepest is the problem of finding an intrinsic definition of semistability for G-bundles on a singular curve, and of a generalized form of S-equivalence, which would be broad enough to include those bundles coming from the parabolic construction. We emphasize that, even though the parabolic construction gives a very *ad hoc* construction of a compact moduli space, the bundles which arise are quite natural from many points of view. For example, either they pull back to the trivial bundle on the normalization, or they are in some sense generic among bundles whose pullback to the normalization is nontrivial. One feature of curves of arithmetic genus one, which is already apparent in the study of vector bundles, is that for G semisimple the moduli space of G-bundles can be compactified by using only bundles. For example, in the case of SL_n-bundles, we do not have to consider torsion free, non-locally free sheaves in order to compactify the moduli space. However, this result fails in higher genus. Another open problem is to find an explicit link between Wirthmüller's toric compactification of $\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \Lambda = H$ and that given by the parabolic construction.

Finally, we would like to thank Ed Witten for many stimulating discussions concerning the subject of this paper and its predecessors, and Titus Teodorescu for several conversations about some of the material in Section 2.

1 Bundles over the moduli stack of Weierstrass fibrations

Recall that a *Weierstrass cubic* C is a reduced irreducible curve C of arithmetic genus one, together with a point p_0 on the smooth locus of C. Every such curve is either a smooth elliptic curve or is isomorphic to an irreducible plane cubic with either a node or a cusp. We shall refer to such curves (always understood to have arithmetic genus one) as *nodal* or *cuspidal* respectively. Each such curve is embedded as a plane cubic curve by the linear system $|3p_0|$ and thus has a homogeneous equation $y^2z = x^3 + g_2xz^2 + g_3z^3$, where g_2 and g_3 are specified up to the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ defined by $\mu \cdot (g_2, g_3) = (\mu^4 g_2, \mu^6 g_3)$. We will refer to such an equation as a *Weierstrass model*.

Our goal in this section is to study the moduli stack of Weierstrass cubics and certain bundles over this stack. We begin by defining the stack and showing that it is a quotient stack. Next, we classify line bundles and vector bundles over the stack. Finally, we turn to the existence of certain vector bundles over the total space of the stack, which are the building blocks for constructing unstable G-bundles (or rather conformal G-bundles) over this total space.

1.1 Generalities on the moduli stack

Definition 1.1.1. A *Weierstrass fibration* consists of a triple (Z, π, σ), where Z is a scheme, $\pi\colon Z \to B$ is a flat proper morphism such that every fiber of π is a reduced irreducible curve of arithmetic genus one, and $\sigma\colon B \to Z$ is a section whose image (which we shall also write as σ) is contained in the smooth locus of π. Every fiber is a Weierstrass cubic. As is well-known, there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of Weierstrass fibrations over B and line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ over B, together with sections $G_2 \in H^0(B; \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4})$

and $G_3 \in H^0(B; \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$, modulo the action of $H^0(B; \mathcal{O}_B^*)$ on $H^0(B; \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}) \times H^0(B; \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$ defined by $f \cdot (G_2, G_3) = (f^4 G_2, f^6 G_3)$. Here, $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$, which is isomorphic to the normal bundle of σ in Z under the isomorphism $\pi\colon \sigma \to B$, and by relative duality $\mathcal{L} \cong R^0\pi_*\omega_{Z/B}$. Moreover, Z is the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{L}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B)$ defined by the section $y^2z - (x^3 + G_2xz^2 + G_3z^3)$ of $\mathrm{Sym}^3(\mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{L}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}^6$. We shall also refer to this subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{L}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B)$ as a *Weierstrass model*.

We define the *moduli stack* $\mathbf{E}$ as the category whose objects are triples (Z, π, σ) as above, and such that the set of morphisms from (Z', π', σ') to (Z, π, σ) is the set of Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z' \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & Z \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ B' \arrow[r, "f"] & B, \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $g^{-1}(\sigma) = \sigma'$, viewing σ as a subvariety of Z, or equivalently $g \circ \sigma' = \sigma \circ f$. Thus the set of morphisms from (Z', π', σ') to (Z, π, σ) covering a given $f\colon B' \to B$ is a principal homogeneous space over the group of automorphisms of Z' covering the identity on B' and preserving σ'.

The stack $\mathbf{E}$ is not representable. However, there is an almost universal family defined as follows. Let $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$ be the affine plane with coordinates g_2, g_3. Define $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2$ via

$$\mathcal{E} = \{([x, y, z], g_2, g_3) : y^2z = x^3 + g_2xz^2 + g_3z^3\}.$$

Then the induced morphism $\pi\colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2$ and the section $\sigma(g_2, g_3) = ([0, 1, 0], g_2, g_3)$ exhibit $\mathcal{E}$ as a Weierstrass fibration over $\mathbb{A}^2$. There is a cuspidal fiber over $(0, 0)$ and a nodal fiber over $(-3a^2, -2a^3)$ for $a \neq 0$. All other fibers are smooth.

Definition 1.1.2. Let $\lambda\colon \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ be the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{E}$ defined by

$$\lambda(\mu, ([x, y, z], g_2, g_3)) = \mu \cdot ([x, y, z], g_2, g_3) = ([\mu^2x, \mu^3y, z], \mu^4g_2, \mu^6g_3).$$

This action covers the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action λ_0 on $\mathbb{A}^2$ given by $\lambda_0(\mu, (g_2, g_3)) = \mu\cdot(g_2, g_3) = (\mu^4g_2, \mu^6g_3)$. The section σ is invariant under the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, in the sense that $\lambda^*\sigma = \pi_2^*\sigma$, and hence $\mu^*\sigma = \sigma$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

The differential dx/y generates the dualizing sheaf of every fiber. Thus $R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{A}^2} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2} \cdot [dx/y]$ is the trivial line bundle over $\mathbb{A}^2$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on this line bundle by sending the everywhere generating section dx/y to $\mu^{-1}dx/y$. It follows from relative duality that the action of μ on $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = (R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{A}^2})^\vee$ sends an everywhere generating section s to $\mu \cdot s$. Using the section dx/y to trivialize the bundle $R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{A}^2}$, an equivalent formulation is that the $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization on $R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{A}^2}$ is equivalent to the linearization on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\mu \cdot (g_2, g_3, z) = (\mu^4g_2, \mu^6g_3, \mu \cdot z)$, and the $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant sections of $R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{A}^2}$ correspond to the functions $f \in \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$ such that $f(\mu^4g_2, \mu^6g_3) = \mu \cdot f(g_2, g_3)$ (so that there are no invariant sections) and similarly for Zariski open subsets of $\mathbb{A}^2$.

Theorem 1.1.3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

(i) *Isomorphism classes of Weierstrass fibrations over B;*

(ii) *Principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundles $B^* \to B$, together with $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphisms $F\colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$, modulo the natural action of the automorphism group $H^0(B;\mathcal{O}_B^*)$ of the principal bundle B^*.*

If B^* and F correspond to $\pi\colon Z \to B$, then the line bundle corresponding to B^* is $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. This correspondence is natural under pullback. Finally, if $F\colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$ corresponds to Z and Z^* is the pullback of Z to B^*, then Z^* is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariantly isomorphic to $F^*\mathcal{E}$ and Z is isomorphic to $F^*\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{C}^*$, which exists as a scheme since $B^* \to B$ is locally trivial in the Zariski topology.

Proof. As we have seen above, there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of Weierstrass fibrations over B and line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ over B, together with sections $G_2 \in H^0(B;\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4})$ and $G_3 \in H^0(B;\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$, modulo the action of $H^0(B;\mathcal{O}_B^*)$ on $H^0(B;\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}) \times H^0(B;\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$ defined by $\lambda \cdot (G_2, G_3) = (\lambda^4 G_2, \lambda^6 G_3)$. Such a pair of sections is equivalent to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism $F\colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$, where B^* is the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$. In one direction, the morphism $F = (f_1, f_2)$ is defined via

$$f_1(s) = G_2(s^{\otimes 4}) \in \mathbb{C}; \quad f_2(s) = G_3(s^{\otimes 6}) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Conversely, if say $f\colon B^* \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $f(\mu \cdot s) = \mu^a f(s)$, then f corresponds to a section of the line bundle $B^* \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ via the character $\mu \mapsto \mu^{-a}$, and thus f is a section of the line bundle $B^* \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{L}^a$. Hence a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism $F\colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$ defines sections $G_2 \in H^0(B;\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4})$ and $G_3 \in H^0(B;\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$, and these two constructions are easily checked to be inverses of each other, and to be compatible with the natural action of $H^0(B;\mathcal{O}_B^*)$. The remaining statements of the theorem are clear. □

Recall that the quotient stack $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$ is the functor whose objects are principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundles $p\colon B^* \to B$, together with $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphisms $F\colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$, and whose morphisms are Cartesian diagrams compatible with the morphisms to $\mathbb{A}^2$. We shall denote an object of $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$ as a triple (B^*, p, F). One way to rephrase the preceding theorem, which makes more precise the sense in which the family $\pi\colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2$ is almost universal, is as follows:

Theorem 1.1.4. *The functor $\mathbf{w}\colon [\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*] \to \mathbf{E}$ which assigns to a triple (B^*, p, F) the Weierstrass model $F^*\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{C}^*$ is an equivalence of categories, i.e. the stacks $\mathbf{E}$ and $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Every (Z, π, σ) is isomorphic to a Weierstrass model, i.e. to an object of the form $\mathbf{w}(o)$ for some object o of $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$. Thus, it is enough to check that the group of automorphisms of a triple (B^*, p, F) covering the identity on B is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the corresponding Weierstrass model. In both cases, this group is isomorphic to the group of $\lambda \in H^0(B; \mathcal{O}_B^*)$ such that $\lambda^4 G_2 = G_2$ and $\lambda^6 G_3 = G_3$, and hence the groups are the same. $\square$

1.2 Vector bundles over the moduli stack

Definition 1.2.1. A *scheme* $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{E}$ *over the moduli stack* consists of the following: for each triple (Z, B, σ), we are given a scheme $X_Z \to B$, and, for each morphism $(g, f) \in \operatorname{Hom}((Z', \pi', \sigma'), (Z, \pi, \sigma))$, i.e. a commutative diagram as in Definition 1.1.1, we are given a B'-isomorphism $\varphi_{(g,f)} \colon X_{Z'} \to f^* X_Z$, satisfying:

1. $\varphi_{(\mathrm{Id},\mathrm{Id})} = \mathrm{Id}$;
2. $\varphi_{(g_2 \circ g_1, f_2 \circ f_1)} = \varphi_{(g_2,f_2)} \circ f_2^* \varphi_{(g_1,f_1)}$ if the domain of (g_2, f_2) is the range of (g_1, f_1).

We define a *line bundle over the moduli stack* or a *coherent sheaf over the moduli stack* similarly. The group of all isomorphism classes of line bundles over $\mathbf{E}$ will be denoted $\operatorname{Pic} \mathbf{E}$.

We can also define a *scheme over the total space of the moduli stack* $\mathbf{Y} \to \operatorname{Tot}(\mathbf{E})$ as follows: for each (Z, B, σ), we are given a scheme $Y_Z \to Z$, and, for each morphism $(g, f) \in \operatorname{Hom}((Z', \pi', \sigma'), (Z, \pi, \sigma))$, we are given a Z'-isomorphism $\psi_{(g,f)} \colon Y_{Z'} \to g^* Y_Z$, satisfying:

1. $\psi_{(\mathrm{Id},\mathrm{Id})} = \mathrm{Id}$;
2. $\psi_{(g_2 \circ g_1, f_2 \circ f_1)} = \psi_{(g_2,f_2)} \circ g_2^* \psi_{(g_1,f_1)}$ if the domain of (g_2, f_2) is the range of (g_1, f_1).

Line bundles, coherent sheaves and principal bundles over the total space of the moduli stack are defined similarly.

Since $\mathbf{E}$ is isomorphic to $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$, we can identify schemes over $\mathbf{E}$ with schemes over $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$. To see this concretely, let $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{E}$ be a scheme over the moduli stack. Then in particular, we can define the scheme $X_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{A}^2$, and by functoriality it has a natural action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ lifting the action on $\mathbb{A}^2$. Given a triple (Z, B, σ), with corresponding morphism $F \colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$, the scheme $F^* X_{\mathcal{E}}$ has a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action covering that on B^*, coming from that on $X_{\mathcal{E}}$, and this agrees with the action viewing $F^* X_{\mathcal{E}}$ as the pullback of X_Z to B^*. Conversely, given a scheme $X_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{A}^2$ together with an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ lifting the action on $\mathbb{A}^2$, there is an induced scheme $F^* X_{\mathcal{E}}$ over B^*. Since $B^* \to B$ is a Zariski locally trivial fibration, the quotient $F^* X_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathbb{C}^*$ exists as a scheme X_Z, and it is easy to see that the X_Z fit together to give a scheme over $\mathbf{E}$. Similarly, schemes $\mathbf{Y} \to \operatorname{Tot}(\mathbf{E})$ may be identified with schemes $Y_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{E}$ together with a lifting of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{E}$. Thus we see:

Theorem 1.2.2. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between schemes* $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{E}$ *and schemes* $X_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{A}^2$ *together with an action of* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *on* $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ *lifting the action on* $\mathbb{A}^2$. *A similar statement holds for coherent sheaves over* $\mathbf{E}$. *Likewise, there is a one-to-one correspondence between schemes* $\mathbf{Y} \to \operatorname{Tot}(\mathbf{E})$ *and schemes* $Y_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{E}$ *together with a lifting of the* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action on* $\mathcal{E}$. $\square$

For example, let us consider line bundles $\mathbf{L}$ over the moduli stack. These correspond to line bundles over $\mathbb{A}^2$ together with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization. Every line bundle over $\mathbb{A}^2$ is trivial. Since $\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathbb{A}^2} = \mathbb{C}^*$, it is easy to see that every $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization on the trivial bundle is given by a character $\chi\colon \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$. We denote the corresponding linearized line bundle by $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}, \chi)$. In terms of the total space $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$, the action is via $\mu\cdot(g_2, g_3, z) = (\mu^4 g_2, \mu^6 g_3, \chi(\mu)z)$. Suppose that $F\colon B^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism corresponding to $Z \to B$. Pulling back the linearized bundle $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}, \chi)$ gives a linearized bundle $(\mathcal{O}_{B^*}, \chi)$. Clearly, the line bundle over B corresponding to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearized bundle $(\mathcal{O}_{B^*}, \chi)$ is exactly $B^* \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ via χ^{-1}. The characters of $\mathbb{C}^*$ are exactly the χ_a defined by $\chi_a(\mu) = \mu^a$, and for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let $\mathbf{L}^a$ be the line bundle over $\mathbf{E}$ corresponding to the linearization on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}$ given by χ_a. Since B^* is the $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle corresponding to $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the line bundle over B defined in Theorem 1.1.3, it follows that the line bundle over B induced by $\mathbf{L}^a$ is $\mathcal{L}^a$. Thus:

Theorem 1.2.3. *The map* $a \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbf{L}^a$ *defines an isomorphism from* $\mathbb{Z}$ *to* $\operatorname{Pic}\mathbf{E}$. *Given* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the corresponding bundle over* B *is* $\mathcal{L}^a$. $\square$

For example, using the remarks at the beginning of this section we recover the well-known fact that $\mathcal{L} = R^0\pi_*\omega_{Z/B}$.

Similarly, one can determine the algebra of the sections of $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{L}^a$ over $\mathbf{E}$ (in an obvious sense):

Proposition 1.2.4. $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbf{E}; \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{L}^a) = \mathbb{C}[G_2, G_3]$. *Thus, if* $\mathbf{\Phi}\colon \bigoplus_i \mathbf{L}^{a_i} \to \bigoplus_j \mathbf{L}^{b_j}$ *is a morphism of vector bundles over* $\mathbf{E}$ *and if, for all* i, j, *either* $b_i - a_j < 4$ *or* $b_i - a_j$ *is odd, then* $\mathbf{\Phi} = 0$. $\square$

We turn now to the classification of vector bundles over $\mathbf{E}$.

Theorem 1.2.5. *Let* $\mathbf{V}$ *be a vector bundle over* $\mathbf{E}$. *Then* $\mathbf{V} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{L}^{a_i}$ *for integers* a_i, *uniquely determined up to order.*

Proof. We begin with the following lemma (which is a partial generalization of Horrocks' splitting criterion for vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ [15, Theorem 2.3.2]):

Lemma 1.2.6. *Let* $R = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} R_k$ *be a graded ring such that* $R_0 = \mathbb{C}$, *and let* $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{k>0} R_k$. *Suppose that* M *is a finitely generated graded* R*-module such that* $M_\mathfrak{m}$ *is a free* $R_\mathfrak{m}$*-module. Then* M *is free as a graded* R*-module, i.e. there exists a homogeneous basis* $e_1, \dots, e_n$ *for* M.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the rank of $M_\mathfrak{m}$. If $M_\mathfrak{m} = 0$, then $M = \mathfrak{m}\cdot M$. We claim in this case that $M = 0$, i.e. that the graded Nakayama lemma holds. For, if $M \neq 0$, then since M is finitely generated there is a nonzero homogeneous element of smallest degree in M, which cannot lie in $\mathfrak{m}\cdot M$. This contradiction shows that $M = 0$.

If the rank of $M_\mathfrak{m}$ is positive, choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in M$ mapping to a basis $\{\overline{f}_i\}$ of $M_\mathfrak{m}$. Let e_i be the initial part of f_i , i.e. the nonzero homogeneous component of f_i of minimal degree. Possibly after changing the basis $\{\overline{f}_i\}$, we may clearly assume that, for some $k \geq 1$, the elements $e_1, \dots, e_k$ have the smallest degree among the possible e_i and that they are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Write $e_1 = a_1 f_1 + \sum_{i\geq 2} a_i f_i$. Then $a_1 = 1 + m$ with $m \in \mathfrak{m}$. Thus the images of $e_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ are also a basis of $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$. An easy argument shows that, if $re_1 = 0$, then $r = 0$ (since e_1 maps to a basis element of the free module $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and the map $R \to R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is injective by a homogeneity argument).

Let $Q = M/Re_1$. Clearly Q is a finitely generated graded R-module, and $Q_{\mathfrak{m}} = M_{\mathfrak{m}}/R_{\mathfrak{m}}e_1$. By the above, $Q_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$-module of rank $n-1$, and the sequence

$$0 \to R \to M \to Q \to 0$$

is exact, where the first map is defined by $r \mapsto re_1$. By induction, there exists a homogeneous basis $\hat{e}_2, \dots, \hat{e}_n$ for Q. Lift the $\hat{e}_i$ to homogeneous elements $e_i \in M$. It follows that $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is a homogeneous basis of M, as desired. □

Returning to the proof of the theorem, let $R = \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$. A $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearized vector bundle V over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ corresponds to a finitely generated projective graded R-module M, and thus $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free. The lemma then implies that there is a homogeneous basis for M, and hence V is a direct sum of $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearized line bundles. The uniqueness statement is clear. □

The following lemma, whose proof is clear, shows how to determine the integers a_i in Theorem 1.2.5:

Lemma 1.2.7. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{V}$ *corresponds to the* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-linearized vector bundle* V *over* $\mathbb{A}^2$*. Since* $0 \in \mathbb{A}^2$ *is a fixed point for the* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action, there is an induced linear action of* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *on the fiber* V_0 *of* V *over* 0*. Let* $a_1, \dots, a_n$ *be the weights of this action. Then* $\mathbf{V} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{L}^{a_i}$. □

1.3 The stable bundle over the total space

We begin by recalling the following general facts (see e.g [11]). For each Weierstrass cubic C, with origin p_0, there is a unique stable vector bundle W_n of rank n and such that $\det W_n = \mathcal{O}_C(p_0)$. Given the fibration $Z \to B$, there is a unique vector bundle $\mathcal{W}_n$ over Z whose restriction to every fiber is isomorphic to W_n and such that there exists a surjection $p\colon \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathcal{O}_Z(\sigma)$. The bundle $\mathcal{W}_n$ is a successive extension of $\mathcal{O}_Z(\sigma)$ by $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}^2$, … , $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}$. Every other vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ over Z whose restriction to every fiber is isomorphic to W_n is of the form $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \pi^*M$ for some line bundle M over B, with $\det(\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \pi^*M) = \mathcal{O}_Z(\sigma) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{n(n-1)/2} \otimes M^n$. In particular,

$$\det \mathcal{W}_n \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^a = \mathcal{O}_Z(\sigma) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{n(n-1)/2+an}.$$

Since W_n is simple, $\pi_* Hom(\mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{W}_n) = \mathcal{O}_B$, and the sheaf of relative automorphisms of $\mathcal{W}_n$ is $\mathcal{O}_B^*$. To identify $\mathcal{W}_n$ up to a unique isomorphism, it is best to consider pairs $(\mathcal{W}, p)$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is a bundle whose restriction to every slice is isomorphic to W_n and $p\colon \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O}_Z(\sigma)$ is a surjection. It is easy to see that the pair $(\mathcal{W}_n, p)$ is in fact a vector bundle over the total space of the stack $\mathbf{E}$ (in a slightly generalized sense, because of the choice of the surjection p). More generally, the vector bundles $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^a$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ are also naturally bundles over the total space of the moduli stack.

We now wish to do the same thing equivariantly for the family $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2$. The section σ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant, and thus there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-\sigma)$ compatible with the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-\sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is given the $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization coming from pullback of functions). From the isomorphism $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-\sigma) \cong R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$, we see that $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-\sigma)$ via the character χ_{-1}. Thus, letting $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}, \chi_1)$ denote the trivial bundle with the linearization given by χ_1, and similarly for the pulled back linearization $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \chi_1)$, we see that there is an invariant extension class in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma), (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \chi_1)) \cong H^0(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(-\sigma))$, and hence that there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization on the extension $\mathcal{W}_2$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma)$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ which is compatible with the linearizations on the factors. Likewise, we can obtain a $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization inductively on $\mathcal{W}_n$, such that there is an exact sequence of linearized vector bundles

$$0 \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \chi_{n-1}) \to \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \to 0$$

(compare [11, Prop. 4.4]). Thus, using the equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{E}$ and $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$, there is a (non-canonical) vector bundle $\mathbf{W}_n$ over the total space of $\mathbf{E}$, defined as follows: given a triple $(B^*, p, F) \in [\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$ corresponding to (Z, π, σ), define $\mathcal{W}_n \to Z$ as the vector bundle $F^*\mathcal{W}_n/\mathbb{C}^*$ over $F^*\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{C}^* = Z$. By construction, there is a surjection $\mathbf{W}_n \to \mathbf{W}_{n-1}$ for every n.

1.4 A universal unstable conformal bundle over the moduli stack

Let G be a simple and simply connected complex linear group. In the introduction, we have defined a *special root* α of G, cf. also [7, §3.1]. Let P be a maximal parabolic subgroup of G associated to a special root and let L be the Levi factor. These groups have been studied in [7, §1.2, §3.2]. In particular

$$L \cong \left\{ (A_1, \dots, A_t) \in \prod_{i=1}^{t} GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) : \det A_1 = \cdots = \det A_t \right\},$$

where $t \leq 3$. Thus, the bundles $W_{n_i}^{\vee}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, define an L-bundle, which was denoted by η_0 in [7]. Of course, we can define the corresponding L-bundle for a singular Weierstrass cubic as well, and we shall continue to denote it by η_0.

Let $\pi \colon Z \to B$ be an elliptic fibration. We would like to construct, in a functorial way, an L-bundle $\eta \to Z$ which restricts on every smooth fiber to the bundle η_0 constructed in [7]. While this is possible for many groups, it is not always possible, and instead we shall have to work with a conformal extension of the form $\hat{G} = G \times_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is embedded in G as a subgroup of the center.

An L-bundle over Z consists of t vector bundles $V_1, \dots, V_t$ over Z, where the rank of V_i is n_i, and such that $\det V_1 = \cdots = \det V_t$. We must have $V_i = (\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{a_i})^{\vee}$. Thus the condition that the V_i define an L-bundle is that it is possible to choose integers a_i so that the integers $n_i(n_i-1)/2+a_in_i$ are all equal. This is trivially satisfied if $t=1$. If $t=2$ and at least one of n_1, n_2 is odd, then we can again find integers a_1, a_2 such that

$$n_1(n_1-1)/2+a_1n_1=n_2(n_2-1)/2+a_2n_2.$$

To see this, if both n_1 and n_2 are odd, then this equation becomes $A_1n_1=A_2n_2$, where $A_i=(n_i-1)/2+a_i$. Choosing a_i so that $A_1=n_2$ and $A_2=n_1$ gives a solution. Likewise, if say n_1 is odd and n_2 is even, then the equation becomes $A_1n_1=A_2n_2/2$, where $A_1=(n_1-1)/2+a_1$ and $A_2=n_2-1+2a_2$. Choosing a_i so that $A_1=n_2/2$ and $A_2=n_1$, which is possible since n_1 is odd and n_2 even, gives a solution. This constructs the desired L-bundle for A_n, n even and half of the special vertices for A_n and n odd, B_n and n even, C_n, F_4, G_2. In case $t=3$, if two of n_1, n_2, n_3 are equal and at least one is odd, the same argument goes through. This handles D_n, where $(n_1,n_2,n_3)=(2,2,n-2)$, for n odd, as well as E_6, where $(n_1,n_2,n_3)=(2,3,3)$. The case of E_8 can be handled directly. Here $(n_1,n_2,n_3)=(2,3,5)$, so we seek a_1,a_2,a_3 such that

$$1+2a_1=3+3a_2=10+5a_3.$$

This equation can be solved by taking $a_1=7, a_2=4, a_3=1$.

Some of the remaining cases can also be solved directly. For B_n, a solution exists exactly when n is not congruent to 1 mod 4. For D_n, a solution exists exactly when n is not congruent to 2 mod 4. In the case of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, where we consider the maximal parabolic with Levi factor $SL_{2k}(\mathbb{C})\times SL_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ we can solve the problem if and only if k and ℓ are both divisible by the same power of 2. But in general we need to take a conformal extension of G. In the following, we omit the case of SL_n since that has been discussed extensively elsewhere [11].

Theorem 1.4.1. *Let $\pi\colon Z\to B$ be a Weierstrass fibration. Suppose that G is not of type A_n. For G of type B_n, $n\equiv 1 \mod 4$, D_n, $n\equiv 2 \mod 4$, or E_7, let $\hat{G}=G\times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is included in $\mathbb{C}^*$, is the full center for G of type B_n or E_7, and is the subgroup whose quotient is $SO(2n)$ in case $G=Spin(2n)$, and let $\hat{L}=L\times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}^*\subseteq\hat{G}$. In all other cases, let $\hat{G}=G$ and $\hat{L}=L$. Then there exists an $\hat{L}$-bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$ over Z which lifts to the L-bundle η_0 on every fiber.*

Proof. In the case of B_n, n odd, the group $\hat{L}\cong GL_2(\mathbb{C})\times GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$. In the case of D_n, n even, the group

$$\hat{L}\cong\{(B_1,B_2,B_3)\in GL_2(\mathbb{C})\times GL_2(\mathbb{C})\times GL_{n-2}(\mathbb{C}):\det B_1=\det B_2\}.$$

In each of these cases the result is clear. In the case of E_7,

$$\hat{L}\cong\{(B_1,B_2,B_3)\in GL_2(\mathbb{C})\times GL_3(\mathbb{C})\times GL_4(\mathbb{C}):\det B_2\det B_3=(\det B_1)^2\}.$$

Choosing bundles $V_1=(\mathcal{W}_2\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{a_1})^\vee$, $V_2=(\mathcal{W}_3\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{a_2})^\vee$, $V_3=(\mathcal{W}_4\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{a_3})^\vee$, we see that we want to choose a_1,a_2,a_3 so that

$$3+3a_2+6+4a_3=2+4a_1.$$

This can be solved with $a_1=0, a_2=a_3=-1$. □

We can again make an equivariant version of the above construction over $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2$. In this version, we replace the bundle $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^a$ by the linearized bundle $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \chi_a)$, where $\mathcal{W}_n$ is the linearized bundle over $\mathcal{E}$ constructed in §1.3, with the natural linearization on the tensor product. The constructions of Theorem 1.4.1 then give, in this context:

Theorem 1.4.2. *Let $\hat{G}$ and $\hat{L}$ be as in Theorem 1.4.1. Then there exists a $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearized $\hat{L}$-bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$ over $\mathcal{E}$ which lifts to the L-bundle η_0 on every fiber.* ☐

Once we have the linearized $\hat{L}$-bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$ over $\mathcal{E}$, by using the equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{E}$ and $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{C}^*]$, there is a (non-canonical) principal $\hat{L}$-bundle $\boldsymbol{\hat{\eta}_0}$ over the total space of $\mathbf{E}$.

The unipotent radical U of L is still the unipotent radical of $\hat{L}$. Thus, we can form the sheaf of unipotent groups $U(\hat{\eta}_0)$ over Z or $\mathcal{E}$, depending on the context. If $\hat{\eta}_0$ reduces to an L-bundle η_0, then clearly $U(\hat{\eta}_0) = U(\eta_0)$. We shall discuss the representability of the corresponding functor in §4.1.

2 Vector bundles over singular curves

In this section, we collect standard facts about vector bundles on cuspidal and nodal curves of arithmetic genus one.

2.1 Vector bundles over cuspidal curves

We begin with the cuspidal case. Let C be cuspidal, with normalization $\iota\colon \widetilde{C} \to C$, and suppose that $0 \in C$ is the singular point. Let $R_0 = \mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ be the (analytic) local ring at 0 and let $\widetilde{R}_0$ be the normalization of R_0. Fixing once and for all a coordinate t at the preimage of 0 in $\widetilde{C}$, we can identify $\widetilde{R}_0$ with $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ and R_0 with $\mathbb{C}\{t^2, t^3\}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0 = (t)$ be the maximal ideal of 0 in $\widetilde{R}_0$, and let $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (t^2, t^3)R_0$ be the maximal ideal of R_0.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let U be a finite-dimensional complex vector space and let $\widetilde{M}$ be the free $\widetilde{R}_0$-module $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0$, which we can view as the $\widetilde{R}_0$-module of germs of morphisms $m\colon \widetilde{C} \to U$ at 0. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between projective (i.e. free) R_0-submodules M of $\widetilde{M}$ such that the natural map $M \otimes_{R_0} \widetilde{R}_0 \to \widetilde{M}$ is an isomorphism, and endomorphisms A of U. If M corresponds to the endomorphism A, then*

$$M = \left\{ m \in \widetilde{M} : \frac{dm}{dt}(0) = Am(0) \right\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that M is a projective R_0-submodule of $\widetilde{M}$ such that the natural map $\widetilde{M} \otimes_{R_0} \widetilde{R}_0 \to \widetilde{M}$ is an isomorphism. Since $R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0 \cong \widetilde{R}_0/\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M \cong M \otimes_{R_0} (R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) \cong M \otimes_{R_0} (\widetilde{R}_0/\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0) \cong \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0\widetilde{M} \cong U.$$

Of course, the image of $m \in M$ under the composition $M \to M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M \cong U$ is just $m(0)$, viewing M as a submodule of $\widetilde{M}$. Define the endomorphism A as follows: given $u \in U$, choose $m \in M$ such that $m(0) = u$, and set

$$Au = \left.\frac{dm}{dt}\right|_{t=0}.$$

It is easy to see that Au only depends on u, not the choice of m, and defines a linear map from U to itself. Clearly

$$M = \left\{ m \in \widetilde{M} : \frac{dm}{dt}(0) = Am(0) \right\}.$$

Thus, A determines M as well, and every possible A arises in this way. □

For example, the submodule $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_0$ corresponds to $0 \in \operatorname{End} U$.

In the above situation, suppose that U_1 and U_2 are two finite-dimensional vector spaces and that $T\colon U_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0 \to U_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0$ is a homomorphism of free $\widetilde{R}_0$-modules. Let M_1 be a projective R_0-submodule of $U_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0$ and let M_2 be a projective submodule of $U_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0$, such that the natural homomorphism $M_i \otimes_{R_0} \widetilde{R}_0 \to U_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0$ is an isomorphism for $i = 1, 2$, and such that $T(M_1) \subseteq M_2$. Then clearly, for all $m \in M_1$,

$$\left.\frac{d(Tm)}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = \left.\frac{dT}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \cdot m(0) + T(0) \cdot \left.\frac{dm}{dt}\right|_{t=0}.$$

In particular, if $U_1 = U_2 = U$ and $M_1 = M_2$, suppose that $T = \operatorname{Id} + t^2 B$ for some B. Then automatically T has coefficients in R_0, so that $T(M_1) = M_1$. By the above remarks, $d(Tm)/dt|_{t=0} = dm/dt|_{t=0}$. Hence the endomorphism A only depends on the isomorphism $\widetilde{M_0} \otimes_{R_0} \widetilde{R}_0/(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_0)^2 \cong U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{t\}/(t^2)$, which we refer to as a *first order trivialization* of $\widetilde{M_0}$. However, we shall not use this in what follows.

Passing from the local ring of a cuspidal curve to the global setting of the curve itself, we immediately obtain:

Corollary 2.1.2. *Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a vector bundle on $\widetilde{C}$, and fix a trivialization at 0, i.e. an isomorphism from the stalk of $\widetilde{V}$ at 0 to $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{t\}$, where U is a finite-dimensional vector space. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between locally free $\mathcal{O}_C$-subsheaves V of $\iota_* \widetilde{V}$, such that the natural map $\iota^* V \to \widetilde{V}$ is an isomorphism, and elements $A \in \operatorname{End} U$. Under this correspondence, sections s of V correspond to sections $\widetilde{s}$ of $\widetilde{V}$ such that*

$$\frac{d\widetilde{s}}{dt}(0) = A\widetilde{s}(0),$$

where the derivative is with respect to the trivialization of $\widetilde{V}$ at 0, and similarly for local sections. □

Corollary 2.1.3. *Let* $\widetilde{V}_1$ *and* $\widetilde{V}_2$ *be vector bundles on* $\widetilde{C}$*, and fix isomorphisms from the stalk of* $\widetilde{V}_i$ *at* 0 *to* $U_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{t\}$*. Let* V_1 *and* V_2 *be locally free subsheaves of* $\iota_*\widetilde{V}_1$ *and* $\iota_*\widetilde{V}_2$ *respectively, such that the natural map* $\iota^*V_i \to \widetilde{V}_i$ *is an isomorphism, and let* $A_{V_i} \in \operatorname{End} U_i$ *be the corresponding elements. Then, using the induced trivializations of the stalks of the corresponding bundles,*

(i) *The subbundle* $V_1^\vee$ *of* $\iota_*\widetilde{V}_1^\vee$ *corresponds to the element* $-A_{V_1}^* \in \operatorname{End} U_1^*$*;*

(ii) *The subbundle* $V_1 \otimes V_2$ *of* $\iota_*(\widetilde{V}_1 \otimes \widetilde{V}_2)$ *corresponds to the element* $A_{V_1} \otimes \mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id} \otimes A_{V_2} \in \operatorname{End}(U_1 \otimes U_2)$*;*

(iii) *Homomorphisms* $f\colon V_1 \to V_2$ *correspond to homomorphisms* $\widetilde{f}\colon \widetilde{V}_1 \to \widetilde{V}_2$ *such that*

$$\frac{d\widetilde{f}}{dt}(0) = A_{V_2} \circ \widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f} \circ A_{V_1}.$$

(iv) *The subbundle* $\bigwedge^k V_1$ *of* $\iota_*(\bigwedge^k \widetilde{V}_1)$ *corresponds to the element* $A_k \in \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge^k U_1)$ *which is the coefficient of* t *in* $\wedge^k(\mathrm{Id} + tA)$*.*

Proof. These are standard constructions via elementary linear algebra. □

We first apply the corollary to bundles which become trivial on the normalization. In general, if $\widetilde{V}$ is a vector bundle over $\widetilde{C}$ with a given trivialization $\widetilde{V} \cong U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$, where U is a vector space, we always use the fixed global trivialization to define a trivialization of $\widetilde{V}$ at 0. By the remarks after Lemma 2.1.1, changing the choice of global trivialization has the effect of conjugating the matrix A by an invertible matrix T.

Corollary 2.1.4. (i) *Let* $\widetilde{V} = U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$ *be the trivial rank* n *vector bundle over* $\widetilde{C}$*, where* U *is a finite-dimensional vector space. Let* V *be the locally free subsheaf of* $\iota_*\widetilde{V}$ *corresponding to* $A \in \operatorname{End} U$*. Then the composed map*

$$H^0(C; V) \to H^0(\widetilde{C}; \iota^*V) \cong H^0(\widetilde{C}; \widetilde{V}) \cong U$$

is injective and its image is equal to $\operatorname{Ker} A \subseteq U$*.*

(ii) *Suppose that, for* $i = 1, 2$, $\widetilde{V}_i = U_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$ *are trivial vector bundles over* $\widetilde{C}$*, where* U_i *are finite-dimensional vector spaces, and that* $V_i \subseteq \iota_*\widetilde{V}_i$ *correspond to* $A_i \in \operatorname{End} U_i$*. Then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \cong \{f \in \operatorname{Hom}(U_1, U_2) : A_2 \circ f = f \circ A_1\}.$$

(iii) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of rank* n *vector bundles* V *on* C *such that* ι^*V *is a trivial vector bundle on* $\widetilde{C}$ *and conjugacy classes of* $n \times n$ *matrices.*

Proof. The corollary follows easily by using the fact that every section of the trivial bundle over $\widetilde{C}$ is constant, and so has derivative zero. □

Next let us describe the bundle $W_n^\vee$. In [11], $W_n^\vee$ is defined inductively as follows: $W_1^\vee = \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$, and for $n > 1$, there is a unique nonsplit extension

$$0 \to W_{n-1}^\vee \to W_n^\vee \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0.$$

It follows that $\iota^* W_n^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}^{n-1}$. Choose a local trivialization $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}^{n-1}$ at 0 which is compatible with the direct sum decomposition, is standard on the trivial factors, and is the pullback to $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0) = \iota^*\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$ of a local trivialization of $\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$ at 0. For example, we can take for e_1 the standard local section 1 which has a pole at p_0, viewed as a section of $\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$. We now use this choice to describe $W_n^\vee$. Of course, the dual description gives W_n.

Lemma 2.1.5. *With this choice of local trivialization, the matrix A corresponding to $W_n^\vee$ is the upper triangular matrix with ones along the superdiagonal and zeroes elsewhere.*

Proof. The proof is by induction. For $n = 1$ the result is clear. Suppose that we have established the result for $W_n^\vee$. Let X_n be the bundle defined by the $n \times n$ matrix described in the statement of the lemma. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to X_n \to X_{n+1} \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0,$$

and it will suffice to prove that this extension is not split. A splitting would be a homomorphism $f\colon \mathcal{O}_C \to X_{n+1}$, or equivalently a section of X_{n+1}, projecting to the identity on the last factor. Using the standard trivialization of $\mathcal{O}_C$ with basis e and the given local trivialization $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}^n$ at 0, we see that

$$\widetilde{f}(e) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot e_i + e_{n+1}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0)) = 0$, $f_1 = 0$. Similarly, the remaining coefficients f_i are constant. Thus $\dfrac{d\widetilde{f}}{dt}(0) = 0$. By (i) of Corollary 2.1.4 applied to the section f, we must have $A_1 \circ \widetilde{f}(0) = 0$, where A_1 defines X_{n+1}. But

$$A_1 \circ \widetilde{f}(0) = \sum_{i=2}^n f_i e_{i-1} + e_n \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence the sequence is not split. $\square$

2.2 The nodal case

Here we let C be a nodal Weierstrass cubic with normalization $\iota\colon \widetilde{C} \to C$. Suppose that the singular point of C is 0 and that $\iota^*(0) = \{x, y\}$. Let $R_0 = \mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ be the (analytic) local ring at 0 and let $\widetilde{R}_0$ be the normalization. Fixing once and for all coordinates t_1, t_2 at x and y respectively in $\widetilde{C}$, we can identify R_0 with $\mathbb{C}\{t_1, t_2\}/(t_1t_2)$ and $\widetilde{R}_0$ with $\mathbb{C}\{t_1\} \oplus \mathbb{C}\{t_2\}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (t_1, t_2)R_0$ be the maximal ideal of R_0, let $\mathfrak{m}_x = t_1\widetilde{R}_0$ be the maximal ideal in $\widetilde{R}_0$ corresponding to the point x, and similarly for $\mathfrak{m}_y$.

Lemma 2.2.1. *Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a free $\widetilde{R}_0$-module. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between projective R_0-submodules M of $\widetilde{M}$ such that the natural map $M \otimes_{R_0} \widetilde{R}_0 \to \widetilde{M}$ is an isomorphism, and isomorphisms $A\colon \widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_x\widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_y\widetilde{M}$. Moreover, under this correspondence, the R_0-module M corresponding to A is given by*

$$M = \left\{ m \in \widetilde{M} : Am(x) = m(y) \right\}.$$

Proof. In this case, $R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0 \cong \widetilde{R}_0/\mathfrak{m}_x \cong \widetilde{R}_0/\mathfrak{m}_y$. As before, given a projective R_0-submodule M of $\widetilde{M}$ such that the natural map $\widetilde{M} \otimes_{R_0} \widetilde{R}_0 \to \widetilde{M}$ is an isomorphism, the induced homomorphism $M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M \to \widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_x\widetilde{M}$ is an isomorphism. Given $u \in \widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_x\widetilde{M}$, choose $m \in M$ which maps to u under the composition $M \to M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M \to \widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_x\widetilde{M}$, and let Au be the image of m in $\widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_y\widetilde{M}$ under the analogous composition $M \to M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M \to \widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_y\widetilde{M}$. Clearly, this image only depends on u, not on the choice of m, and defines a linear isomorphism A from $\widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_x\widetilde{M}$ to $\widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_y\widetilde{M}$, and all such isomorphisms arise in this way. □

In case we have an isomorphism $\widetilde{M} \cong U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{R}_0$, where U is a finite-dimensional vector space, the fibers $\widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_x\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{M}/\mathfrak{m}_y\widetilde{M}$ are both canonically identified with U, and thus A corresponds to a linear automorphism of U.

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a vector bundle on $\widetilde{C}$. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between locally free $\mathcal{O}_C$-subsheaves V of $\iota_*\widetilde{V}$, such that the natural map $\iota^*V \to \widetilde{V}$ is an isomorphism, and isomorphisms $A\colon \widetilde{V}(x) \to \widetilde{V}(y)$. Under this correspondence, sections s of V correspond to sections $\widetilde{s}$ of $\widetilde{V}$ such that*

$$\widetilde{s}(y) = A\widetilde{s}(x),$$

and similarly for local sections. □

Corollary 2.2.3. *Let $\widetilde{V}_1$ and $\widetilde{V}_2$ be vector bundles on $\widetilde{C}$. Let V_1 and V_2 be locally free subsheaves of $\iota_*\widetilde{V}_1$ and $\iota_*\widetilde{V}_2$ respectively, satisfying the hypotheses of the above corollary, and let $A_{V_i}\colon V_i(x) \to V_i(y)$ be the corresponding elements. Then*

(i) *The subbundle $V_1^\vee$ of $\iota_*\widetilde{V}_1^\vee$ corresponds to the element $(A_{V_1}^{-1})^*\colon \widetilde{V}_1(x)^* \to \widetilde{V}_1(y)^*$;*

(ii) *The subbundle $V_1 \otimes V_2$ of $\iota_*(\widetilde{V}_1 \otimes \widetilde{V}_2)$ corresponds to the element $A_{V_1} \otimes A_{V_2}$;*

(iii) *Homomorphisms* $f\colon V_1 \to V_2$ *correspond to homomorphisms* $\widetilde{f}\colon \widetilde{V}_1 \to \widetilde{V}_2$ *such that*

$$A_{V_2} \circ \widetilde{f}(x) = \widetilde{f}(y) \circ A_{V_1}. \quad \square$$

We shall usually be interested in the case where there is a fixed trivialization $\widetilde{V} = U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$, where U is a finite-dimensional vector space. Using this trivialization to identify the fibers $\widetilde{V}(x)$ and $\widetilde{V}(y)$ with U means that we can identify A with a fixed automorphism of U. Changing the trivialization has the effect of conjugating A by an element of $\operatorname{Aut} U$.

Corollary 2.2.4. (i) *Let* $\widetilde{V} = U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$ *be the trivial rank* n *vector bundle over* $\widetilde{C}$, *where* U *is a finite-dimensional vector space. Let* V *be the locally free subsheaf of* $\iota_*\widetilde{V}$ *corresponding to* $A \in \operatorname{Aut} U$. *Then the composed map*

$$H^0(C; V) \to H^0(\widetilde{C}; \iota^*V) \cong H^0(\widetilde{C}; \widetilde{V}) \cong U$$

is injective and its image is equal to $\operatorname{Ker}(A - \mathrm{Id}) \subseteq U$.

(ii) *Suppose that, for* $i = 1, 2$, $\widetilde{V}_i = U_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$ *are trivial vector bundles over* $\widetilde{C}$, *where* U_i *are vector spaces, and that* $V_i \subseteq \iota_*\widetilde{V}_i$ *correspond to* $A_i \in \operatorname{Aut} U_i$. *Then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \cong \{f \in \operatorname{Hom}(U_1, U_2) : A_2 \circ f = f \circ A_1\}.$$

(iii) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of rank* n *vector bundles* V *on* C *such that* ι^*V *is a trivial vector bundle on* $\widetilde{C}$ *and conjugacy classes of invertible* $n \times n$ *matrices.* $\square$

We have the description of the bundle $W_n^\vee$ corresponding to Lemma 2.1.5: given the isomorphism $\iota^*W_n^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}^{n-1}$, choose a local trivialization $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}(-p_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}^{n-1}$ at x and y as in the cuspidal case. Then:

Lemma 2.2.5. *With this choice of local trivialization, the matrix* A *corresponding to* $W_n^\vee$ *is the matrix satisfying* $Ae_1 = e_1$ *and* $Ae_i = e_i + e_{i-1}$ *for* $i > 1$. $\square$

2.3 Semistable vector bundles supported at the singular point

Let V be a torsion free sheaf on C which is semistable of degree zero (but not necessarily with trivial determinant). Via the Fourier-Mukai correspondence [14, 18, 8], there is an equivalence of categories between the category of all such V and the category of torsion $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules M. We define the *support* of V to be the support of M.

Assume that C is singular, with singular point 0. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the unique rank one torsion free, non-locally free sheaf on C of degree zero, so that the support of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to the $\mathcal{O}_C$-module $\mathcal{O}_C/\mathfrak{m}_0$. We shall only consider torsion sheaves supported at 0, and let $R_0 = \mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ be the analytic local ring of C at 0. Hence $R_0 = \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(xy)$ if C is nodal and $R_0 = \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(x^2 - y^3) = \mathbb{C}\{t^2, t^3\}$ if C is cuspidal. Finally, recall that a semistable torsion free sheaf V of degree zero on C and with support equal to $\{0\}$ is *strongly indecomposable* if and only if $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathcal{F}) = 1$ if and only if $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, V) = 1$. Every strongly indecomposable vector bundle V with $\det V \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ arises from the parabolic construction [11] and has a nontrivial pullback to the normalization of C. Thus $\iota^* V \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. More generally, the vector bundles V with $\det V \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ arising from the parabolic construction for $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are exactly the vector bundles of the form $V_0 \oplus V_1$, where V_0 is a strongly indecomposable vector bundle supported at the singular point, and V_2 has no support at the singular point and is regular.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let V be a semistable torsion free sheaf of degree zero on C and with support equal to $\{0\}$, and let M be the corresponding R_0-module. Then:*

(i) *The sheaf V is locally free if and only if M has homological dimension one as an R_0-module.*

(ii) *The sheaf V is strongly indecomposable if and only if $M \cong R_0/I$ for some ideal I in R_0 such that R_0/I has finite length. Hence V is locally free and strongly indecomposable if and only if $M \cong R_0/(f)$, where f is not a zero divisor or a unit in R_0.*

Proof. The proof of (i) is in [18]. To see (ii), the equivalence of categories implies that V is strongly indecomposable if and only if $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(M, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) = 1$. First suppose that $M = R_0/I$. Then clearly $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0/I, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) = 1$. Conversely, suppose that $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(M, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) = 1$, and choose $\varphi \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(M, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0)$, and $m \in M$ such that $\varphi(m) \neq 0$. The map $r \in R_0 \mapsto rm$ defines an exact sequence

$$0 \to R_0/I \to M \to \overline{M} \to 0,$$

where I is the annihilator of m and $\overline{M} = M/R_0 m$. Hence there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(\overline{M}, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(M, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0/I, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0).$$

By construction, the image of φ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0/I, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0)$ is nonzero. By assumption, $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(M, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) = 1$, and thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(\overline{M}, R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0) = 0$, which implies that $\overline{M} = 0$ and $M = R_0/I$. Finally, if M has homological dimension one, then I is a rank one torsion free R_0-module of homological dimension zero, and it then follows directly from the classification of all such (cf. [11, (0.2)]) that I is principal. $\square$

Direct calculation then gives the following [18]:

Corollary 2.3.2. (i) *If C is nodal, then every semistable vector bundle V of rank n on C, supported at the singular point and strongly indecomposable, is of the form $V_{a,b;\lambda}$ for a, b are positive integers such that $a + b = n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, corresponding to $M = R_0/(x^a + \lambda y^b)$. For an appropriate choice of the coordinates x, y and an identification of* $\operatorname{Pic}^0 C$ *with $\mathbb{C}^*$, we may assume that* $\det V_{a,b;\lambda} = \lambda$*, and shall abbreviate $V_{a,b;1} = V_{a,b}$.*

(ii) *If C is cuspidal, then every semistable vector bundle V of rank n on C, supported at the singular point and strongly indecomposable, is of the form $V_{n;\lambda}$ for some $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, corresponding to $M = \mathbb{C}[[t^2, t^3]]/(t^n + \lambda t^{n+1})$. Here $\lambda = 0$ corresponds to trivial determinant, and we shall abbreviate $V_{n;\lambda} = V_n$.* □

It is easy to check that the dual of $V_{a,b;\lambda}$ is $V_{b,a;\lambda^{-1}}$, and the dual of $V_{n;\lambda}$ is $V_{n;-\lambda}$. The next corollary also follows easily by direct calculation.

Corollary 2.3.3. *With notation as in the preceding corollary, suppose that C is nodal and that $a, b \geq 2$. For each pair c, d of positive integers such that $a - c \geq 1$ and $b - d \geq 1$ and for $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\lambda = \lambda'\lambda''$, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to V_{c,d;\lambda'} \to V_{a,b;\lambda} \to V_{a-c,b-d;\lambda''} \to 0.$$

Likewise, suppose that C is cuspidal, that $n \geq 4$, and that $2 \leq n' \leq n - 2$. Then, for $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda = \lambda' + \lambda''$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to V_{n';\lambda'} \to V_{n;\lambda} \to V_{n-n';\lambda''} \to 0. \quad \square$$

The following is the main application of the above description:

Corollary 2.3.4. *In case C is nodal, suppose that $a, b \geq 2$ and that $n = a + b$. For all k such that $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$, the vector bundle $\bigwedge^k V_{a,b;\lambda}$ is unstable. Likewise, if C is cuspidal and $n \geq 4$, then for all k such that $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, the vector bundle $\bigwedge^k V_{n;\lambda}$ is unstable.*

Proof. We shall just write down the proof in the nodal case and for $\lambda = 1$; the proof in the cuspidal case is essentially identical. Beginning with the exact sequence

$$0 \to V_{1,1} \to V_{a,b} \to V_{a-1,b-1} \to 0,$$

we have the Koszul filtration on $\bigwedge^k V_{a,b}$ whose associated gradeds are $\bigwedge^k V_{a-1,b-1}$, $V_{1,1} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$, and $\bigwedge^{k-2} V_{a-1,b-1}$. Since each graded piece has degree zero, $\bigwedge^k V_{a,b}$ is unstable provided that one of the graded pieces is unstable. Furthermore, if $\bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$ is unstable, then so is $V_{1,1} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$. Thus we may assume that $\bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$ is semistable. Note that the rank of $V_{a-1,b-1}$ is $n-2$ and that $1 \leq k-1 \leq n-3$. Thus, since $\iota^*V \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, $\iota^* \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$ is not the trivial vector bundle on $\widetilde{C}$. Since by assumption $\bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$ is semistable, it must have some support at the singular point $\{0\}$, and in particular there is a nonzero homomorphism $\mathcal{F} \to \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$. Identifying $V_{1,1}$ with its dual gives an identification $V_{1,1} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1} \cong \mathit{Hom}(V_{1,1}, \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1})$. The surjection $V_{1,1} \to \mathcal{F}$ then induces an inclusion $\mathit{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \to V_{1,1} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$. But $\mathit{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \iota_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}$ has degree one, and so $V_{1,1} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V_{a-1,b-1}$ is unstable. It follows that $\bigwedge^k V_{a,b}$ is unstable as well. □

We shall see below that, in contrast, $\bigwedge^k V_{1,n-1}$ and $\bigwedge^k V_{n-1,1}$ are always semistable.

2.4 Vector bundles via étale covers

In Proposition 2.2.2, we described bundles on a nodal curve C via bundles on the normalization together with gluing maps. In this section, we describe another method for constructing vector bundles over a nodal curve, using instead the nontrivial étale covers of degree n. (See Teodorescu [18] for the case $n = 2$.) In fact, this is a special case of Proposition 2.2.2, where the bundle on the normalization is a direct sum of line bundles and the gluing maps are of a special type, but it will be convenient to have this second description as well.

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let C be a nodal curve, and let $\pi\colon C_n \to C$ be the unique connected étale cover of C of degree n. Let $q \in C_n$ be a smooth point. Then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q)$ is a stable vector bundle on C of rank n and degree one, and moreover every stable vector bundle on C of rank n and degree one arises in this way. Likewise, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-q)$ is stable of degree -1, and every stable rank n bundle on C of degree -1 arises in this way.*

Proof. Since π is étale, it is a finite flat morphism of degree n, and thus $W = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q)$ is a vector bundle on C of rank n and degree one. Moreover, for every line bundle λ of degree zero on C, $W \otimes \lambda = \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q) \otimes \pi^*\lambda) = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q')$ for some smooth point q'. Since every stable vector bundle on C of rank n and degree one is of the form $W_n \otimes \lambda$ for some line bundle λ of degree zero, it will suffice to prove that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q)$ is stable.

Applying π_* to the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{C_n} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q)$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i \to W \to \mathbb{C}_p \to 0,$$

where $p = \pi(q)$ and θ is the n-torsion line bundle in $\operatorname{Pic} C$ defining C_n. Suppose that W is unstable. Then there is a quotient Q of W of degree at most zero. The image of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i$ in Q is a subsheaf of Q with torsion cokernel τ and hence its degree is $\deg Q - \ell(\tau) \leq 0$, with equality if and only if $\tau = 0$ and $\deg Q = 0$. Since $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i$ is semistable of degree zero, it follows then that $\deg Q = 0$ and that the induced map $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i \to Q$ is surjective. Since $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i$ is a direct sum of line bundles of degree zero, this is only possible if Q is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i$. Hence the surjection $W \to Q$ also splits, so that $W = Q \oplus W'$, where $\deg W' = 1$. In particular, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, $h^0(C; W' \otimes \lambda) \geq 1$ for every line bundle λ of degree zero. Clearly, by choosing $\lambda = \theta^j$ for an appropriate power of θ, we can arrange that $h^0(C; Q \otimes \lambda) \neq 0$. Thus $h^0(C; W \otimes \lambda) \geq 2$. On the other hand,

$$h^0(C; W \otimes \lambda) = h^0(C; \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q) \otimes \pi^*\lambda)) = h^0(C_n; \mathcal{O}_{C_n}(q) \otimes \pi^*\lambda) = 1,$$

as one checks by a straightforward direct calculation on C_n. This is a contradiction, so that W is stable. The statements about $W_n^\vee$ follow from a very similar argument, or from relative duality applied to the étale morphism π. $\square$

Corollary 2.4.2. *Let* $f\colon C_{n_1} \times_C C_{n_2} \times_C \cdots \times_C C_{n_k} \to C$, $\pi_i\colon C_{n_i} \to C$, *and* $p_i\colon C_{n_1} \times_C C_{n_2} \times_C \cdots \times_C C_{n_k} \to C_{n_i}$ *be the natural projections. Then there exists smooth points* $q_i \in C_{n_i}$ *such that the bundle*

$$W_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{n_a} \otimes W_{n_{a+1}}^\vee \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{n_k}^\vee$$

is isomorphic to

$$f_*\left(p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{C_{n_1}}(q_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_a^*\mathcal{O}_{C_{n_a}}(q_a) \otimes p_{a+1}^*\mathcal{O}_{C_{n_{a+1}}}(-q_{a+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_k^*\mathcal{O}_{C_{n_k}}(-q_k)\right).$$

Proof. An easy exercise shows that, in the case of two factors C_{n_1} and C_{n_2}, if $\mathcal{S}_i$ is a coherent sheaf on C_{n_i}, then $f_*\left(p_1^*\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{S}_2\right) = (\pi_1)_*\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes (\pi_2)_*\mathcal{S}_2$. The general case follows by induction. □

We now use the étale cover $\pi\colon C_n \to C$ to construct semistable vector bundles on C of degree zero. Let us begin with some notation. Order the components of C_n as $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$, where $D_i \cap D_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$. Let $d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ be integers. We shall view the subscripts of the D_i or the d_i as integers modulo n. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ a line bundle of multidegree $(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ on C_n, i.e. a line bundle whose restriction to each component D_i has degree d_i. This does not specify $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ uniquely, but it is specified up to tensoring by a line bundle of the form $\pi^*\lambda$, where λ is a line bundle on C of degree zero. Thus, the rank n vector bundle $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ is well-defined up to tensoring with a line bundle λ of degree zero.

Assume now that $\sum_i d_i = 0$. Then $\deg \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1}) = 0$, and we wish to decide when it is semistable. If all of the d_i are zero, then, up to tensoring by a line bundle of degree zero, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i$, where θ is a line bundle of degree zero, and thus $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ is semistable. If $d_i \geq 2$ for some i, then it is easy to see that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ is unstable, and by relative duality or a direct argument the same is true if $d_i \leq -2$ for some i, although we shall not use this fact. Thus we may assume that all of the d_i are 0 or ± 1. The next lemma tells us when $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ is semistable:

Lemma 2.4.3. *With notation as above, suppose that, for every* i, d_i *is either* 0 *or* ± 1 *and that* $\sum_i d_i = 0$. *Then* $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ *is semistable if and only if, for every* i, *if* $d_i = 1$, $d_{i+1} = \cdots = d_{i+k-1} = 0$ *and* d_{i+k} *is nonzero, then* $d_{i+k} = -1$. *In other words, the nonzero multidegrees must alternate in sign. In this case,* $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ *is supported at the singular point* 0. *Finally,* $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$ *is strongly indecomposable if and only if exactly one of the* d_i *is equal to* 1 *and one is equal to* -1.

Proof. A vector bundle V of degree zero on C is unstable if and only if, for every line bundle λ of degree zero on C, $h^0(C; V \otimes \lambda) \neq 0$, and V is semistable with support equal to $\{0\}$ if and only if, for every line bundle λ of degree zero on C, $h^0(C; V \otimes \lambda) = 0$. Now

$$H^0(C; \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1}) \otimes \lambda) = H^0(C_n; \mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1}) \otimes \pi^*\lambda).$$

Moreover $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})\otimes\pi^*\lambda$ has the same multidegree as $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$, namely $(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$. Let λ be a line bundle of degree zero on C. Direct computation then shows that $H^0(C_n;\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})\otimes\pi^*\lambda)=0$ if the nonzero d_i alternate in sign and that $H^0(C_n;\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})\otimes\pi^*\lambda)\neq 0$ if there are two d_i equal to 1 which are separated only by zeroes. This proves the first two statements.

To see the remaining statement, it suffices to show, for the unique non-locally free torsion free rank one sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of degree zero, that

$$\dim\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1}))=1$$

if exactly one of the d_i is equal to 1 and one is equal to -1, and that the dimension is greater than 1 otherwise. To do so, note that $\mathcal{F}=\iota_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}\otimes\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1}))=\operatorname{Hom}(\pi^*\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})).$$

But $\pi^*\mathcal{F}\cong(\iota_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}_n}\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$, where $\iota_n\colon\widetilde{C}_n\to C_n$ is the normalization map. There is a canonical isomorphism $Hom((\iota_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}_n},\mathcal{O}_{C_n})\cong I$, where I is the ideal of the reduced singular locus of C_n, i.e. $I=\pi^*\mathfrak{m}_0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hom}(\pi^*\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1}))&=H^0(C_n;Hom((\iota_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}_n}\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0),\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1}))\\&=H^0(C_n;I\otimes\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0+1,\dots,d_{n-1}+1)).\end{aligned}$$

In other words, on each component $D_i\cong\mathbb{P}^1$, we look for sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i+1)$ vanishing at the two points of D_i lying in the singular locus. Clearly, the only contributions are from the components D_i where $d_i+1=2$, i.e. $d_i=1$, and each such component contributes an independent section. Thus, $\dim\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1}))=1$ if exactly one of the d_i is 1 and it is greater than one in all other cases. ☐

Remark 2.4.4. One can show that, if $(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ satisfies: $d_0=1, d_a=-1$, and $d_i=0$ for $i\neq 0,a$, then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ is isomorphic to $V_{a,n-a;\lambda}$, where λ depends on the actual gluing maps. We shall prove the special case of this where $a=1$ below.

Proposition 2.4.5. *In the above notation, suppose that $d_0=1$, $d_1=-1$ and all of the remaining d_i are 0. Then $\bigwedge^k\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ is semistable and supported at the singular point.*

Proof. We may assume that $k<n$. The vector bundle $\bigwedge^k\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ is obtained as follows. For each k-element subset $\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, we have a component $D_{\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}}\cong\mathbb{P}^1$ and a line bundle on $D_{\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}}$ of degree $d_{a_1}+\cdots+d_{a_k}$. The component $D_{\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}}$ is glued to $D_{\{a_1+1,\dots,a_k+1\}}$, where the addition is in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and the line bundles are glued in an appropriate way (which we shall not need to describe). This gives an étale cover C' of C, with several components, and a line bundle on C' whose push-forward is $\bigwedge^k\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$.

Clearly, $d_{a_1} + \cdots + d_{a_k}$ is either 0, 1, or -1, and we must show that the nonzero degrees alternate in a suitable sense. Suppose that $D_{\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}}$ is a component where the line bundle has degree one. Then we may assume that $a_1 = 0$ and that we have ordered the remaining a_i so that $2 \le a_2 < a_3 < \cdots < a_k$. Then $D_{\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}}$ is glued to $D_{\{a_1+1,\dots,a_k+1\}}$, where $a_1 + 1 = 1$ and the $a_i + 1$ are increasing unless $a_k = n-1$, in which case $a_k + 1 = 0$. If we are not in this last case, the degree on $D_{\{a_1+1,\dots,a_k+1\}}$ is -1, and otherwise it is zero. Assuming that the degree is zero, then the next component is $D_{\{a_1+2,\dots,a_k+2\}}$, $a_k + 2 = 1$, $a_1+2 = 2$, and the integers a_i+2, $2 \le i \le k-1$ are in increasing order unless $a_{k-1} = n-2$, in which case $a_{k-1}+2 = 0$. Thus either the degree is -1 or it is zero and $\{a_1+2,\dots,a_k+2\} = \{0,1,a_2+2,\dots,a_{k-2}+2\}$. Continuing in this way, either we reach degree -1 at some stage or all the degrees are zero until at stage k we reach $\{0,1,\dots,k-1\}$. At the next stage, we get $\{1,\dots,k\}$. where by assumption $k < n$, and the corresponding degree is then -1. ☐

Corollary 2.4.6. *If $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = -1$ and all of the remaining d_i are 0, then the bundle $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ is either $V_{1,n-1} \otimes \lambda$ or $V_{n-1,1} \otimes \lambda$, where λ is a line bundle of degree zero. Hence $\bigwedge^k V_{1,n-1}$ and $\bigwedge^k V_{n-1,1}$ are semistable for all k.*

Proof. Under our assumptions, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ is semistable, supported at the singular point, and strongly indecomposable. By Corollary 2.3.4, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ cannot be isomorphic to $V_{a,b} \otimes \lambda$ if both a and b are at least 2. Thus $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(d_0,\dots,d_{n-1})$ is either $V_{1,n-1} \otimes \lambda$ or $V_{n-1,1} \otimes \lambda$. Hence at least one of $\bigwedge^k V_{1,n-1}$ or $\bigwedge^k V_{n-1,1}$ is semistable for all k, and by duality so is the other. ☐

3 G-bundles over singular curves

3.1 G-bundles over cuspidal curves

As in the case of vector bundles, we begin by assuming that C is cuspidal. Let G be a reductive linear algebraic group of rank r. We want to generalize our results on vector bundles to G-bundles. Our first goal is to prove:

Theorem 3.1.1. *Let ξ be a principal G-bundle over C, let C_0 be a Zariski open subset of C containing the singular point, and let $\tau\colon \iota^*(\xi|C_0) \to \widetilde{C}_0 \times G$ be an isomorphism of principal G-bundles. Then:*

(i) *There exists a unique right invariant vector field X on G such that the local sections of $\xi|C_0$ are the local sections of $\iota^*\xi|\widetilde{C}_0$ which, when viewed via the isomorphism τ as local functions $f\colon \widetilde{C}_0 \to G$, satisfy*

$$f_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = -X_{f(0)} \in T_{f(0)}G.$$

(ii) *For $C_0 = C$, this correspondence sets up a bijection between pairs (ξ, τ) as above and right invariant vector fields on G, which we can identify with $\mathfrak{g}$.*

(iii) *In the situation of* (ii)*, if the pair (ξ, τ) corresponds to $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $\operatorname{Aut}\xi \cong \{g \in G : \operatorname{ad} g(X) = X\}$. In particular, $\dim \operatorname{Aut}\xi \geq r$, with equality if and only if X is a regular element of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. We shall just write out the case $C_0 = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where $R = \mathbb{C}[t^2, t^3]$ and $\widetilde{C}_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \widetilde{R}$, where $\widetilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[t]$; the general case follows by localizing the argument. We start with a more general situation: Let $M = \operatorname{Spec} S$ be a smooth affine scheme, and consider smooth morphisms $\xi_0 \to C_0$, locally trivial in the étale topology, together with an isomorphism $\iota^*\xi_0 \cong \widetilde{C}_0 \times M$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (t^2, t^3)R$ be the maximal ideal at the origin of R. We are looking for finite C_0-morphisms $\widetilde{C}_0 \times M \to \xi_0$ which identify $\widetilde{C}_0 \times M$ with $\iota^*\xi_0$. By a theorem of Chevalley [12, ex. 4.2, p. 222], ξ_0 is affine, and hence $\xi_0 = \operatorname{Spec} A$ for a subring A of $\widetilde{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S = S[t]$, which is an algebra over R. The assumptions that $\xi_0 \to C_0$ is locally trivial with fibers isomorphic to M and that $\iota^*\xi_0 \cong \widetilde{C}_0 \times M$ imply A is flat over R and that the scheme-theoretic fiber of ξ_0 over $0 \in C_0$ is M. These requirements easily imply that A has the following two properties:

1. $A/\mathfrak{m}A \cong S[t]/tS[t] = S$ under the natural homomorphism;
2. The R-algebra $(\widetilde{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S)/A$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}$.

The second property implies that $t^2 S \subseteq A$. Using this and the first, it follows that there exists a function $X\colon S \to S$ such that every element of A has the form $s_0 + X(s_0)t + \sum_{i\geq 2} s_i t^i$, and conversely every such element of $S[t]$ lies in A. The fact that A is a subring implies that X is a derivation. A section of $\widetilde{C}_0 \times M$ is of the form $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$, where f is a morphism from $\widetilde{C}_0$ to $\operatorname{Spec} S$ and thus corresponds to the homomorphism from S to $\mathbb{C}[t]$ given by $s \mapsto s \circ f$. In this case, the corresponding homomorphism $S[t] \to \mathbb{C}[t]$ is the natural extension of the above homomorphism which sends t to t. A local calculation shows the following: suppose that the section $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$ of $\widetilde{C}_0 \times M$ has the property that it induces a section $C_0 \to \operatorname{Spec} A$, in other words that the image of $A \subseteq S[t]$ under the above homomorphism is contained in $\mathbb{C}[t^2, t^3]$. Then the function $f\colon \widetilde{C}_0 \to M$ satisfies

$$f_*\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right) = -X_{f(0)} \in T_{f(0)}M,$$

and conversely every such function defines a section. Clearly the R-automorphisms of A are identified with the $\widetilde{R}$-automorphisms of $\widetilde{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S$ fixing X.

Conversely, given a derivation X of S, we can define the subring A via

$$A = \{s_0 + X(s_0)t + \sum_{i\geq 2} s_i t^i : s_i \in S\}.$$

Clearly A is an algebra over R and $A \otimes_R \widetilde{R} \to S[t]$ is an isomorphism. Let $\xi_0 = \operatorname{Spec} A$, so that the morphism $\widetilde{C}_0 \times M \to \xi_0$ is a bijection. We next show that the induced morphism

$\xi_0 \to C_0$ is smooth, in fact there are étale local cross sections. Given $p \in M$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ coordinates at p, let $z_i' = z_i + X(z_i)t$. Then $z_i' \in A$, and it is easy to check that the maximal ideal of A corresponding to $(0,p)$ is generated by $t^2, t^3, z_1', \dots, z_n'$. The functions $t^2, t^3, z_1', \dots, z_n'$ define a morphism $\xi_0 \to C_0 \times \mathbb{A}^n \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+2}$, sending $(0,p)$ to $(0,0)$, say. The induced homomorphism on the completed local rings $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_0\times\mathbb{A}^n,(0,0)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\xi_0,(0,p)}$ is then surjective. Since both rings have the same dimension and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_0\times\mathbb{A}^n,(0,0)}$ is an integral domain, it follows that the homomorphism is an isomorphism, and hence the map $\xi_0 \to C_0 \times \mathbb{A}^n$ is étale at $(p,0)$. In particular, some open subset of the preimage of $C_0 \times \{0\}$ defines an étale cross section.

In case $M = G$, it is easy to see that, given a derivation X of the affine coordinate ring of G, the condition that the right multiplication action of G on $\widetilde{C} \times G$ induces a right action of G on ξ is exactly that X is right invariant. Thus, identifying the right invariant vector fields on G with $\mathfrak{g}$ gives Part (i) of the theorem. The proofs of Parts (ii) and (iii) are straightforward and left to the reader. □

It is easy to check that, in case $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, the element $-X_{f(0)} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is just the matrix A of Lemma 2.1.1.

Later, we shall need the following:

Corollary 3.1.2. *Suppose that ξ is a G-bundle over C and that $\tau\colon \iota^*\xi \to \widetilde{C} \times G$ is a global trivialization of $\iota^*\xi$. Let X be the corresponding right invariant vector field of G, identified with an element of $\mathfrak{g}$. Under the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action of Definition 1.1.2, the bundle $\mu^*\xi$ corresponds to the vector field $\mu^{-1} \cdot X$.*

Proof. This follows from the construction of Theorem 3.1.1 and the fact that $\mu^* t = \mu \cdot t$. □

In case the G-bundle $\iota^*\xi$ is trivialized on $\widetilde{C}$, we use the local trivialization induced by the global one. Changing the global trivialization by an element $g \in G$ has the effect of replacing $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathrm{ad}(g)(X)$. Thus:

Corollary 3.1.3. *The correspondence of Theorem 3.1.1 sets up a bijection between isomorphism classes of G-bundles ξ over C such that $\iota^*\xi$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle and* $\mathrm{ad}\, G$*-orbits in* $\mathfrak{g}$. □

Let ξ be a bundle such that $\iota^*\xi$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle. We can define S-equivalence for the restricted class of such bundles, in the usual way. It is easy to see that this definition is equivalent to the definition of S-equivalence, in the sense of geometric invariant theory, for the adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$. We shall call such a bundle ξ *regular* if $\dim \mathrm{Aut}\, \xi = r$. Thus, every S-equivalence class of bundles which become isomorphic to the trivial bundle on $\widetilde{C}$ contains a unique regular representative. Furthermore, the adjoint quotient of $\mathfrak{g}$, namely $\mathfrak{h}/W$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and W is the corresponding Weyl group, describes the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of bundles which become isomorphic to the trivial bundle on $\widetilde{C}$.

Another corollary of Theorem 3.1.1 is:

Corollary 3.1.4. *Let ξ be a holomorphic, topologically trivial G-bundle over C. Then* $\dim H^1(C; \operatorname{ad}\xi) \geq r$.

Proof. There is a small deformation of $\iota^*\xi$ to a semistable bundle over $\widetilde{C}$, which is necessarily the trivial bundle. It is easy to see that we can deform the choice of $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ to obtain a small deformation of ξ to a G-bundle ξ' whose pullback to $\widetilde{C}$ is trivial, and thus by Theorem 3.1.1 satisfies $h^0(C; \operatorname{ad}\xi') = h^1(C; \operatorname{ad}\xi') \geq r$. By semicontinuity, the same must hold for ξ. □

We also need a variant of Theorem 3.1.1 for families.

Theorem 3.1.5. *Let B be a scheme. The isomorphism classes of principal G-bundles $\Xi \to C \times B$, together with G-isomorphisms $\iota^*\Xi \cong \widetilde{C} \times B \times G$, are classified by morphisms $f\colon B \to \mathfrak{g}$. Thus isomorphism classes of principal G-bundles $\Xi \to C \times B$ such that $\iota^*\Xi \cong \widetilde{C} \times B \times G$ are classified by morphisms $f\colon B \to \mathfrak{g}$ modulo the adjoint action of the group of morphisms from B to G.*

Proof. The arguments of the previous theorem show that it suffices to classify global sections of the sheaf of derivations of $B \times G$, which are linear over $\mathcal{O}_B$ and invariant under the right action of G. These may be identified with an element of $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. a morphism from B to $\mathfrak{g}$. □

3.2 The nodal case

We turn now to G-bundles over nodal curves. As before, we assume that $\{x, y\} \subseteq \widetilde{C}$ is the preimage of the singular point. There is the following analogue of Theorem 3.1.1, whose proof however is simpler:

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let ξ be a principal G-bundle over C, let C_0 be a Zariski open subset of C containing the singular point, and let $\tau\colon \iota^*(\xi|C_0) \to \widetilde{C}_0 \times G$ be an isomorphism of principal G-bundles. Then:*

(i) *There exists a unique $g \in G$ such that the local sections of $\xi|C_0$ are the local sections of $\iota^*\xi|\widetilde{C}_0$ which, when viewed via the isomorphism τ as local functions $f\colon \widetilde{C} \to G$, satisfy*

$$f(x) = gf(y).$$

(ii) *For $C_0 = C$, this correspondence sets up a bijection between pairs (ξ, τ) as above and $g \in G$.*

(iii) *In the situation of* (ii)*, if the pair (ξ, τ) corresponds to $g \in G$, then $\operatorname{Aut}\xi$ is isomorphic to the centralizer of g in G. In particular, $\dim \operatorname{Aut}\xi \geq r$, with equality if and only if g is a regular element of G.*

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that $\widetilde{C}_0 = \operatorname{Spec}\widetilde{R}$, where $\widetilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[t]$, and that $C_0 = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where $R = \{(f_1, f_2) \in \widetilde{R} : f_1(x) = f_2(y)\}$. We again consider the more general situation, where $M = \operatorname{Spec} S$ is an affine scheme, not necessarily smooth, and $\xi_0 \to C_0$ is a morphism such that $\iota^*\xi_0 \cong \widetilde{C}_0 \times M$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of R. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1.1, we are looking for a subring A of $\widetilde{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S = S[t]$ which is an R-subalgebra such that $A/\mathfrak{m}A \cong S$ and such that $\widetilde{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S/A$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}$. This implies that there exists an automorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M$ such that

$$A = \Big\{ s = \sum_{i \geq 0} s_i t^i \in S[t] : s(x) = \varphi^*(s(y)) \Big\}.$$

Clearly, as a ringed space, in the obvious categorical sense,

$$\xi_0 = \operatorname{Spec} A = \widetilde{C}_0 \times M/(x, p) \sim (y, \varphi(p)),$$

and the local sections of ξ_0 correspond to those morphisms $f\colon \widetilde{C}_0 \to M$ such that $f(x) = \varphi(f(y))$. Moreover, the automorphisms of ξ_0 covering the identity on C_0 are exactly those automorphisms ψ of $\widetilde{C}_0 \times M$ covering the identity on $\widetilde{C}_0$ and such that

$$\psi(x, p) = \psi(y, \varphi(p)).$$

Conversely, given A, we shall show that there is an étale base change $C_0' \to C_0$ such that $\xi_0 \times_{C_0} C_0' \cong C_0' \times M$ as spaces over C_0'. In fact, let $C_1 = C_0 - \{x\}$ and $C_2 = C_0 - \{y\}$, and take the Wirtinger double cover

$$C_0' = C_1 \amalg C_2 / x \in C_2 \sim y \in C_1.$$

The natural map $C_0' \to C_0$ is then an étale cover of degree 2, and the preimage of the singular point in C_0 is the unique point (y, x) of C_0'. The fiber product $\xi_0 \times_{C_0} C_0'$ is given by

$$(C_1 \times M) \amalg (C_2 \times M)/(x, p) \in C_2 \times M \sim (y, \varphi(p)) \in C_1 \times M.$$

We can then define $\sigma\colon C_0' \times M \to \xi_0 \times_{C_0} C_0'$ by $\sigma|C_2 \times M = (\mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id})$ and $\sigma|C_1 \times M = (\mathrm{Id}, \varphi)$. It is straightforward to check that σ defines an isomorphism of ringed spaces.

In case $M = G$, an automorphism $\varphi\colon G \to G$ commutes with right multiplication if and only if φ is given by left multiplication by $g \in G$. This proves the first part of the theorem, and the proofs of the second and third parts are straightforward. □

Corollary 3.2.2. *Isomorphism classes of G-bundles ξ over C such that $\iota^*\xi$ is trivial are classified by* $\operatorname{Ad} G$*-orbits in G.* □

Let ξ be a bundle such that $\iota^*\xi$ is trivial. We can again define S-equivalence for such bundles, and it is the same as S-equivalence in the sense of geometric invariant theory for the conjugation action of G on itself. We shall call such a bundle ξ *regular* if $\dim \operatorname{Aut} \xi = r$. Thus, every S-equivalence class of bundles which become trivial on $\widetilde{C}$ contains a unique regular representative. Moreover, the adjoint quotient of G, namely H/W, describes the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of bundles which become trivial on $\widetilde{C}$.

As in the cuspidal case, we have the following:

Corollary 3.2.3. *Let ξ be a holomorphic, topologically trivial G-bundle over C. Then $\dim H^1(C; \operatorname{ad}\xi) \geq r$.* $\square$

There is also the following for families:

Theorem 3.2.4. *Let B be a scheme. The isomorphism classes of principal G-bundles $\Xi \to C \times B$, together with G-isomorphisms $\iota^*\Xi \cong \widetilde{C} \times B \times G$, are classified by morphisms $f\colon B \to G$. Thus isomorphism classes of principal G-bundles $\Xi \to C \times B$ such that $\iota^*\Xi \cong \widetilde{C} \times B \times G$ are classified by morphisms $f\colon B \to G$ modulo the adjoint action of the group of morphisms from B to G.* $\square$

3.3 Bundles which become trivial on the normalization

Here we shall let C be either nodal or cuspidal. In this section, we show that the bundles ξ on C such that $\iota^*\xi$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle are characterized by a strong semistability property:

Theorem 3.3.1. *Let C be nodal or cuspidal and let ξ be a G-bundle. Then $\iota^*\xi$ is trivial if and only if, for every representation $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$, the associated vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is semistable.*

Proof. It is easy to see that, if V is an unstable vector bundle on C, then ι^*V is unstable. Thus if ι^*V is semistable, then so is V. Applying this remark to the vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ associated to a representation ρ, we see that, if $\iota^*\xi$ is trivial, then so is $\iota^*\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$, and hence $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is semistable.

To prove the converse, we begin with the following notation. If ξ is a G-bundle on C, then $\iota^*\xi$ is a G-bundle on $\widetilde{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. By Grothendieck's theorem, every G-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ reduces to an H-bundle, unique up to the action of the Weyl group. Denote by Λ the fundamental group of H, i.e. the coroot lattice if G is simply connected. Using the exponential sheaf sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda \to \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to \underline{H} \to 1,$$

it follows that H-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ are classified by $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Definition 3.3.2. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, denote by γ_λ the corresponding H-bundle.

Next, there is the following lemma concerning the instability of vector bundles on C.

Lemma 3.3.3. *Let V be a vector bundle of degree zero on C such that $\iota^*V \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$. If $a_i \geq 2$ for some i, then V is unstable.*

Proof. Suppose for example that C is nodal and let x, y be the preimages of the singular points. If $a_i \geq 2$, then there is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ vanishing at x and y. It is easy to check that this defines an inclusion of $\iota_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ in V. But $\deg \iota_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 1$, so that V is unstable. A similar argument works in the cuspidal case, where we choose instead a section vanishing to order 2 at the preimage of the cusp point. $\square$

Returning to the proof of Theorem 3.3.1, suppose that $\iota^*\xi$ is nontrivial. By the remarks at the beginning of the section, $\iota^*\xi = \gamma_\lambda \times_H G$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $\rho\colon G \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is a representation with weights μ, then

$$\iota^*\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N = \bigoplus_\mu \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mu(\lambda)).$$

Clearly, if $\lambda \neq 0$, then by choosing ρ correctly, we can make some of the integers $\mu(\lambda)$ arbitrarily large, and in particular at least 2. Thus, by the preceding lemma, $\iota^*\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable. □

3.4 A partial moduli space

If C is a smooth elliptic curve, we can define the moduli space of semistable holomorphic G-bundles $\mathcal{M}_C(G)$. When C is singular, there is no longer a good notion of semistability, defined for every group G, which leads to a compact moduli space. However, we do have the following, which can be proved for example by examining the arguments of Balaji and Seshadri [1], especially those in Section 8 and the proof of Proposition 2.8:

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let C be nodal or cuspidal. Then there is a coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}^0_C(G)$ whose points correspond to S-equivalence classes of G-bundles ξ which pull back to the trivial bundle on the normalization, or equivalently such that $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is a semistable vector bundle for every representation $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$. Likewise, given a Weierstrass fibration $\pi\colon Z \to B$, there is a relative moduli space $\mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G) \to B$.* □

Here the method of proof of [1] shows that the equivalence used in defining the coarse moduli space is the usual S-equivalence of bundles, where all bundles satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. Similarly, if C is any reduced irreducible curve, there is a coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}^0_C(G)$ whose points correspond to G-bundles ξ which pull back to semistable bundles on the normalization $\widetilde{C}$, modulo S-equivalence.

We can describe the structure of the relative moduli space $\mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G)$ quite concretely. Let $Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \to B$ be the commutative group scheme defined by the regular points of the morphism π, and let $\underline{\Lambda}$ be the product group scheme $B \times \Lambda$. Then we can define a tensor product group scheme, which we denote by $Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \underline{\Lambda}$, and the Weyl group W acts on the tensor product by its action on Λ. Standard constructions then prove the following:

Theorem 3.4.2. *There is an H-bundle over $Z \times_B (Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \underline{\Lambda})$ whose associated G-bundle becomes trivial on the normalization of every singular fiber. The resulting morphism $Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \underline{\Lambda} \to \mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G)$ is W-invariant and identifies $\mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G)$ with $(Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \underline{\Lambda})/W$ as schemes over B.* □

4 The parabolic construction for singular curves and families

4.1 Cohomology computations for singular curves

In this section C will be either nodal or cuspidal, and $\iota\colon \widetilde{C} \to C$ will denote the normalization. For a simply connected group G, α denotes the special root, P is the corresponding maximal parabolic subgroup, U is the unipotent radical of P, and L is a Levi factor of P. Thus

$$L \cong \left\{ (A_1, \dots, A_t) \in \prod_{i=1}^{t} GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) : \det A_1 = \cdots = \det A_t \right\},$$

where $t \leq 3$. An L-bundle over C thus corresponds to t vector bundles $V_1, \dots, V_t$, where V_i has rank n_i, such that $\det V_1 \cdots = \det V_t$. We define η_0 to be the unique L-bundle corresponding to the bundles $W_{n_1}^\vee, \dots, W_{n_t}^\vee$. Its determinant, i.e. the line bundle associated to the primitive dominant character of L, is $\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$.

We now examine the parabolic construction for η_0, in other words the set of liftings of η_0 to a P-bundle. The set of isomorphism classes of pairs (ξ_P, φ) where is a P-bundle over C and φ is an isomorphism from ξ_P/U to η_0 is as usual the cohomology set $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$. There is also a corresponding functor from schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ to sets defined in [7, 4.1.1]: if S is a scheme over $\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathbf{F}(S)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (Ξ_P, Φ), where Ξ_P is a P-bundle over $C \times S$ and $\Phi\colon \Xi_P/U \to \pi_1^*\eta_0$ is an isomorphism of L-bundles. In this paper, in contrast to the notational conventions of [7], since our main interest is in G-bundles, we shall use the letter ξ to denote the G-bundle $\xi_P \times_P G$, and similarly for Ξ. We wish to study $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ and its linearized analogue $H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_0)) = \bigoplus_{k>0} H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0))$. The center of L contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ which acts on $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ and $H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_0))$. Let us begin by recalling the situation for a smooth elliptic curve E [7, Corollary 2.1.7 and Theorem 3.3.1]. In this case, the semistability of η_0 and the fact that $\mathfrak{u}^k$ is an irreducible L-module imply that $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$ is a semistable vector bundle over E of negative degree. Thus, $H^0(E; \mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, and so by the Riemann-Roch theorem the dimension of $H^1(E; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_0))$ is simply given by the negative of the degree of $\mathfrak{u}(\eta_0)$, which can be computed to be $r + 1$.

In case C is singular, it is rarely the case that the vector bundles $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$ are semistable. However, we can directly enumerate them in Table 1. As a guide to working out the bundle $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$, note that $\mathfrak{u}^k$ is an irreducible L-module with highest weight $\lambda_k(\alpha)$, the highest root such that α occurs with coefficient k. Moreover, the determinant of $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$ as a vector bundle is $\mathcal{O}_C(-i(k)p_0)$, where $i(k)$ is the number of $\beta \in \widetilde{\Delta}$ such that $g_\beta = k$.

The meaning of the following theorem is that, although the bundles $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$ are typically not semistable, their cohomology almost always looks like that of a semistable bundle.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let G be a simple and simply connected group. If C is nodal, then for all k, $H^0(C; \mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)) = 0$. If C is cuspidal, then $H^0(C; \mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)) = 0$ except for the case where G is of type E_8 and $k = 1$, where $h^0(C; \mathfrak{u}^1(\eta_0)) = 1$.*

Proof. The proof boils down to a very lengthy computation. First, by the stability of $W_k^\vee$, $H^0(C; W_k^\vee) = 0$, which handles the case of $E_6, k = 3$, $E_7, k = 4$, $E_8, k = 6$, $F_4, k = 3$,

G	k	$i(k)$	$\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$
A_{n-1}	1	n	$W_k^\vee \otimes W_{n-k}^\vee$
B_n	1	3	$W_{n-1}^\vee \otimes \operatorname{ad} W_2$
B_n	2	$n-2$	$\bigwedge^2 W_{n-1}^\vee$
C_n	1	$n+1$	$\operatorname{Sym}^2 W_{n-1}^\vee$
D_n	1	4	$W_{n-2}^\vee \otimes (W_2 \otimes W_2^\vee)$
D_n	2	$n-3$	$\bigwedge^2 W_{n-2}^\vee$
E_6	1	3	$W_2^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee$
E_6	2	3	$\bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_3 \otimes W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$
E_6	3	1	$W_2^\vee$
E_7	1	2	$W_2^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee$
E_7	2	3	$\bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_4^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_3 \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$
E_7	3	2	$\bigwedge^3 W_4^\vee \otimes W_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_4 \otimes W_2^\vee$
E_7	4	1	$W_3^\vee$
E_8	1	1	$W_2^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee$
E_8	2	2	$\bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = \bigwedge^2 W_5^\vee \otimes W_3$
E_8	3	2	$W_2^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^3 W_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = \bigwedge^2 W_5 \otimes W_2^\vee$
E_8	4	2	$W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^4 W_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_5 \otimes W_3^\vee$
E_8	5	1	$W_2^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0) = W_2^\vee \otimes W_3$
E_8	6	1	$W_5^\vee$
F_4	1	2	$W_2^\vee \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0)$
F_4	2	2	$\operatorname{Sym}^2 W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$
F_4	3	1	$W_2^\vee$
G_2	1	2	$\operatorname{Sym}^3 W_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_0)$
G_2	2	1	$\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$

Table 1: The vector bundles $\mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0)$

and $G_2, k = 2$. To handle the case of A_{n-1} as well as $B_n, k = 2$, C_n, and $D_n, k = 2$, we use the fact that $H^0(C; W_k^\vee \otimes W_{n-k}^\vee) = 0$ by [11, 3.3]. By the stability of W_a and W_b, $H^0(C; W_a^\vee \otimes W_b) = \mathrm{Hom}(W_a, W_b) = 0$ as long as $a < b$. This handles $E_7, k = 3$, $E_8, k = 4, 5$. Another easy case along these lines is $E_6, k = 2$, where $H^0(C; W_3 \otimes W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)) = \mathrm{Hom}(W_3^\vee, W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)) = 0$ since both $W_3^\vee$ and $W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$ are stable and the slope of $W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$ is $-2/3 < \mu(W_3^\vee) = -1/3$. This also handles the case of $F_4, k = 2$. The cases of $B_n, k = 1$, $D_n, k = 1$, and $G_2, k = 1$ are handled by the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1.2. *For all $k \geq 1$, the bundle $W_k \otimes W_k^\vee$ is semistable. If V is a semistable sheaf of degree zero, then $h^0(C; W_n^\vee \otimes V) = 0$. Thus, for all positive n and k, $h^0(C; W_k \otimes W_k^\vee \otimes W_n^\vee) = 0$.*

Proof. First, we may write $W_k \otimes W_k^\vee = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathrm{ad}\, W_k$, where $\mathrm{ad}\, W_k$ has degree zero and $h^0(\mathrm{ad}\, W_k) = 0$ since W_k is simple. But then $\mathrm{ad}\, W_k$ is semistable, for if it had a torsion free subsheaf S of positive degree, then we would have $h^0(\mathrm{ad}\, W_k) \geq h^0(S) > 0$. To prove the second statement, write $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, where V_0 is supported at $p_0 \in C$ and V_1 has support not containing p_0. Since V_0 has a filtration whose associated gradeds are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C$ and $H^0(C; W_n^\vee) = 0$, it follows that $H^0(C; W_n^\vee \otimes V_0) = 0$ as well. Thus it suffices to show that $H^0(C; W_n^\vee \otimes V_1) = 0$, which follows inductively from the fact that $h^0(C; V_1) = h^0(C; V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)) = 0$. The final statement follows from the first two. □

Returning to the proof of the theorem, we now handle the case of E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 and $k = 1$. From the inclusion $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_{n-1}^\vee \subseteq W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_n^\vee$, we see that it suffices to prove:

Lemma 4.1.3. *If C is nodal, then $h^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) = 0$. If C is cuspidal, then $h^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee) = 0$ and $h^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) = 1$.*

Proof. In the nodal case, a computation based on Corollary 2.4.2 shows that $h^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) = 0$.

In the cuspidal case, first consider the bundle $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee$ of rank 24. It is easy to see that $H^0(\widetilde{C}; \iota^*(W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee))$ has dimension 23. By Corollary 2.1.2, there are 24 linear conditions that these sections must satisfy in order to give sections of $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee$. One can check using Lemma 2.1.5 and Corollary 2.1.3 that there is no nonzero section satisfying these conditions. In the case of $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee$, it is likewise easy to check that $H^0(\widetilde{C}; \iota^*(W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee))$ has dimension 30, which is the rank of $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee$. In this case, although there are 30 equations in 30 unknowns, it turns out that there is a 1-dimensional space of solutions. We leave these involved computations to the reader. □

We will give a different proof of Lemma 4.1.3 in the next section.

There remain the cases of $E_7, k = 2$ and $E_8, k = 2, 3$. These can be computed on an *ad hoc* basis, again by using Lemma 2.1.5 and Corollary 2.1.3. The result is summarized in the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1.4. *Let C be either nodal or cuspidal. Then*

$$H^0(C; W_3 \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)) = H^0(C; \bigwedge^2 W_5^\vee \otimes W_3) = H^0(C; \bigwedge^2 W_5 \otimes W_2^\vee) = 0. \quad \square$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.1. $\square$

Corollary 4.1.5. *Let C be a Weierstrass cubic. Suppose either that C is not cuspidal or that G is not of type E_8. Then the functor $\mathbf{F}$ corresponding to $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ defined at the beginning of this section is representable by an affine space $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ and a pair (Ξ_P, Φ). There is a marked point $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ corresponding to the trivial lift $\eta_0 \times_L P$. The embedding of $\mathbb{C}^*$ as the identity component of the center of L induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ fixing 0 and a compatible action on the pair (Ξ_P, Φ). Let $\Xi \to C \times \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ be the G-bundle $\Xi_P \times_P G$. If ξ_x denotes the restriction of Ξ to $C \times \{x\}$, then, for all $x \neq 0$, $h^0(C; \operatorname{ad} \xi_x) = r$ and the Kodaira-Spencer homomorphism from the tangent space T_x to $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ at x to $H^1(C; \operatorname{ad} \xi_x)$ induces an isomorphism from the quotient of T_x by the tangent space to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-orbit through x to $H^1(C; \operatorname{ad} \xi_x)$.*

Proof. The first two statements follow from Theorem 4.1.1 and [7, Theorem A.2.2]. The final statement follows by checking directly that the method of proof of [7, Corollary 4.5.2] works in the singular case as well. $\square$

Remark 4.1.6. In case G is of type E_8 and C is cuspidal, the dimension of $H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_0))$ is 10 instead of 9. More seriously, however, the functor $\mathbf{F}$ does not satisfy the representability criterion of [7, Theorem A.2.2], and in fact one can show that it is not representable.

By applying [7, Theorem A.2.6 and Remark A.2.7] to the conformal bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$ constructed in §1.4, we have:

Corollary 4.1.7. *Suppose that $\pi\colon Z \to B$ is a Weierstrass fibration and either that $G \neq E_8$ or that π has no cuspidal fibers. Let $\hat{\eta}_0$ be the $\hat{L}$-bundle of §1.4. Then there is a bundle of affine spaces $\mathcal{A} \to B$ whose fibers have dimension $r+1$ and a pair $(\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}, \Phi)$ consisting of a $\hat{P}$-bundle $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ over $Z \times_B \mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism $\Phi\colon \widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}/U \to \pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0$, with the property that the set $H^1(Z; U(\hat{\eta}_0))$ of liftings of η_0 to a $\hat{P}$-bundle is identified with the set of sections of $\mathcal{A}$. The bundle $\mathcal{A}$ has a zero-section corresponding to the trivial lift $\pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0 \times_{\hat{L}} \hat{P}$. The group $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$, covering the identity on B and fixing the zero section, and the quotient of the complement of the zero section is a bundle of weighted projective spaces $\mathcal{WP}(G)$. If $B = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is an affine space $\mathbb{A}^k$, then $\mathcal{A} \cong \operatorname{Spec} R[z_0, \dots, z_r]$ as spaces over $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Finally, in case $G \neq E_8$, the bundles $\mathcal{A} \to B$ form a space over the moduli stack.* $\square$

There is also a universal conformal bundle $\mathcal{WP}(G)$ in an appropriate sense. For each group G, we have defined a subgroup F of the center of G in Theorem 1.4.1. We also have the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/n_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ defined in [7, Lemma 1.2.4]. The group $F \cdot \mathbb{Z}/n_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ is always cyclic, since in case G is of type D_{2n}, $n_\alpha = 1$ by [7, Lemma 3.2.2]. Thus there is an embedding of $F \cdot \mathbb{Z}/n_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$ and we can form the group $\widetilde{G} = G \times_{F \cdot \mathbb{Z}/n_\alpha\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*$. We then have:

Theorem 4.1.8. *Let $Z \to B$ be a Weierstrass fibration and suppose either that $G \neq E_8$ or that π has no cuspidal fibers. For each group G, let $\mathcal{WP}(G)$ be the bundle of weighted projective spaces constructed above and let $\mathcal{WP}(G)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the locus where the projection $\mathcal{WP}(G) \to B$ is a smooth morphism. Then there is a $\widetilde{G}$-bundle $\widetilde{\Xi} \to Z \times_B \mathcal{WP}(G)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ which reduces on every fiber C to the universal conformal bundle over $C \times \mathbb{WP}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ constructed in* [7, Prop. 4.3.2]. □

Thus, sections of $\mathcal{WP}(G)_{\mathrm{reg}} \to B$ define $\widetilde{G}$-bundles over Z, which can be reduced to G-bundles over every fiber. However, the bundles over Z do not necessarily reduce to G-bundles.

4.2 The case of E_8 revisited

We will give another argument for Lemma 4.1.3, which will be used later, and will also handle the weight one cohomology for E_6 and E_7. The idea of the proof will be to work on the moduli stack, or equivalently over $\mathbb{A}^2$ with the universal family $\mathcal{E}$, and to give an inductive computation of the relative cohomology of $\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_n^\vee$ for $n \leq 5$. We will stick to the case of a general $\pi\colon Z \to B$, using the universal family as needed. The L-bundle η_0 corresponds to the three vector bundles $(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^7)^\vee$, $(\mathcal{W}_3 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^4)^\vee$, $(\mathcal{W}_5 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L})^\vee$. We wish to analyze the sheaves $R^i\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_5^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$. The factor $\pi^*\mathcal{L}^2$ will not however be important. We use the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W}_4^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_5^\vee \to \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-4} \to 0.$$

Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_4^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^2 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_5^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^2 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-2} \to 0.$$

The idea will be to apply $R^i\pi_*$ to the above exact sequence and analyze the coboundary map. We begin with a series of lemmas.

Lemma 4.2.1. *$R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\vee) \cong \mathcal{O}_B$ and $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\vee) = 0$.*

Proof. By stability, $\mathrm{Hom}(W_n, W_{n+1}) = 0$ and hence $H^1(C; W_n \otimes W_{n+1}) = 0$ as well. Thus, there is a unique nonzero homomorphism $W_{n+1} \to W_n$ mod scalars, and clearly it is the surjection of the inductive construction realizing W_{n+1} as an extension of W_n by $\mathcal{O}_C$. Thus the surjection $\mathcal{W}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{W}_n$ defines an everywhere generating section of $R^0\pi_*Hom(\mathcal{W}_{n+1}, \mathcal{W}_n) = R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\vee)$. Hence $R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_{n+1}^\vee) \cong \mathcal{O}_B$. □

Lemma 4.2.2. *$R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee) = 0$ and*

$$R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee) \cong \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}.$$

Proof. We shall apply $R^i\pi_*$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-\sigma) \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \to 0.$$

Let $\delta\colon R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \to R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-\sigma))$ be the connecting homomorphism. Using the fact that $\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-\sigma) \cong \mathcal{W}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and [11, Corollary 4.7], we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-\sigma)) = 0;\\ &R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-\sigma)) = \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, from Lemma 4.2.1, it follows that $R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and that $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$. Thus $R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee) = \operatorname{Ker}\delta$ and $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee) = \operatorname{Coker}\delta$. On the other hand, δ defines a section of $H^0(B; \mathcal{L}^3 \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2})$, and clearly this section is well-defined over the moduli stack. By Proposition 1.2.4, the only possibility is that the component of δ in $H^0(B; \mathcal{O}_B)$ is a constant, possibly zero, and that all other components are zero. If $\delta = 0$, then $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee)$ is a rank four vector bundle, implying that for every C, $h^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_2^\vee) = 4$ and thus that $h^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_2^\vee) = 1$ by Riemann-Roch. On the other hand, for a smooth elliptic curve C, we know that $H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_2^\vee) = 0$ by semistability. Thus δ defines an isomorphism from $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ to the corresponding factor of $\mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.2. □

The next lemma deals with the weight one cohomology for E_6:

Lemma 4.2.3. *For all Weierstrass cubics C, $H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee) = 0$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} &R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee) = 0;\\ &R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee) \cong \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying $R^i\pi_*$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_2^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-2} \to 0$$

and using Lemma 4.2.2 gives a connecting homomorphism $\delta\colon \mathcal{L}^{-2} \to \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}\delta = R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee)$ and $\operatorname{Coker}\delta = R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee)$. Again using the fact that δ is defined over the moduli stack, it follows from Proposition 1.2.4 that the induced homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-2} \to \mathcal{L}^2$ is a constant multiple of G_2, the homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-2} \to \mathcal{L}^{-2}$ is given by a constant, possibly zero, and all other components are zero. Arguments as in the proof of the previous lemma show that $\delta \neq 0$. If the induced map $\mathcal{L}^{-2} \to \mathcal{L}^{-2}$ were zero, then it would follow that $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee)$ is the direct sum of a rank three vector bundle plus a torsion sheaf supported along the divisor where $G_2 = 0$, and of rank one along its support. By standard cohomology and base change result, for C a smooth elliptic curve with $g_2 = 0$, we would have $h^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee)$ of rank at least four, which contradicts the semistability of $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee$. Thus the induced homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-2} \to \mathcal{L}^{-2}$ is an isomorphism, and so the quotient is $\mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}$. In particular, $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee)$ is locally free of rank three, so that, again by base change, $h^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee) = 3$. Thus, by Riemann-Roch, $h^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Next we deal with the weight one cohomology for E_7:

Lemma 4.2.4. *For all Weierstrass cubics C, $H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee) = 0$, and*

$$R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_4^\vee) = 0;$$
$$R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_4^\vee) \cong \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B.$$

Proof. In this case, we apply $R^i\pi_*$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_4^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-3} \to 0.$$

The result is a connecting homomorphism $\delta\colon \mathcal{L}^{-3} \to \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3}$. The only possible nonzero term is the induced map $\mathcal{L}^{-3} \to \mathcal{L}^{-3}$, and we can argue as before. □

Finally we turn to the case of E_8, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_4^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_5^\vee \to \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-4} \to 0.$$

Lemma 4.2.5. *Let $\delta\colon R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-4}) \to R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_4^\vee)$ be the connecting homomorphism in the above exact sequence. Then we may identify δ with a homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-4} \to \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B$. Under this identification, $\delta = (c_1G_3, c_2G_2)$ with both c_1 and c_2 nonzero constants. It follows that if C is either smooth or nodal, then $H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) = 0$, but if C is cuspidal, then $H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$ has dimension one.*

Proof. We work on $\mathbb{A}^2$ with the family $\mathcal{E}$. As before, we can identify δ with a homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-4} \to \mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}$, which thus has the form $\delta = (c_1g_3, c_2g_2)$, where the c_i are constant. As before, if one of the c_i is zero, we reach a contradiction by considering the cohomology for a smooth elliptic curve with either g_2 or g_3 equal to zero. Thus both c_1 and c_2 are nonzero. Hence, $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_5^\vee) \cong \mathcal{L}^6 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_0$, where $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is the maximal ideal of $0 \in \mathbb{A}^2$. Via base change, for a cuspidal curve C, this leads to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2 \to H^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$, and hence $h^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) = 2$ if C is cuspidal. If C is nodal or smooth, the same argument shows that $h^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) = 1$. The final statement of Lemma 4.2.5 then follows from Riemann-Roch. □

4.3 Unipotent cohomology on the normalization

We now analyze the pullback $\iota^*\eta_0$ as a bundle on $\widetilde{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Recall that, by Definition 3.3.2, given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there is the corresponding H-bundle γ_λ over $\widetilde{C}$. Then:

Lemma 4.3.1. *$\iota^*\eta_0 = \gamma_{-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee} \times_H L$, where $\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta}\beta^\vee$ is the highest coroot such that the coefficient of $\alpha^\vee$ in $\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee$ is one.*

Proof. First, as usual we view the maximal torus D of diagonal matrices of $GL_k(\mathbb{C})$ as the quotient $\mathbb{C}^k/\mathbb{Z}^k$, where $e_1, \dots, e_k$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^k$, in such a way that the coroots of $SL_k(\mathbb{C})$ are given by $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$. Note that $\langle e_k, e_{k-1} - e_k\rangle = -1$, so that e_k projects to the element $-\varpi_{\alpha_k}$. Under the correspondence between D-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and elements of $\mathbb{Z}^k$, the element $-e_1$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{k-1}$, and so to $\iota^*W_k^\vee$.

Consider the morphism $H \to L \to GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ induced by projection of L onto one of its factors. If we label the simple roots corresponding to the factor $SL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ as $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_i-1}$, so that β_{n_i-1} is the unique simple root not orthogonal to α, then $\alpha^\vee$ projects to $-\varpi^\vee_{\beta_{n_i-1}}$, by [7, Lemma 1.2.3]. Thus in the description of the maximal torus of $GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ above, possibly after reordering the β_i, we may assume that $\beta_1^\vee, \dots, \beta_{n_i-1}^\vee, \alpha^\vee$ correspond to $(e_1-e_2), \dots, (e_{n_i-1} - e_{n_i}), e_{n_i}$ and so $\beta_1^\vee + \cdots + \beta_{n_i-1}^\vee + \alpha^\vee$ corresponds to $(e_1 - e_2) + \cdots + (e_{n_i-1} - e_{n_i}) + e_{n_i} = e_1$. On the other hand, $\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee$ is the sum of all the simple coroots, and the only simple coroots which are not annihilated by the roots in $GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ under the projection are the coroots $\beta_1^\vee, \dots, \beta_{n_i-1}^\vee, \alpha^\vee$. Hence $-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee$ projects to the element $-e_1$. It follows that $\gamma_{-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee} \times_H \mathbb{C}^{n_i} = \iota^*W_{n_i}^\vee$ for every i, which proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let β be a positive root. Then $\mathfrak{g}^\beta(\gamma_{-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ if and only if $\beta = \lambda_1(\alpha)$. In all other cases $\mathfrak{g}^\beta(\gamma_{-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee})$ is isomorphic either to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ or to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\pm 1)$.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathfrak{g}^\beta(\gamma_{-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\beta(\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n(\beta, \lambda_1(\alpha)))$, where $n(\beta,\gamma)$ denotes the Cartan integer. The result is then immediate, bearing in mind that α is a long root and hence so is $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ since, by [7, Lemma 1.4.6], it is Weyl conjugate to $\sigma_1(\alpha) = \alpha$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3.3. *$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathfrak{u}^k(\iota^*\eta_0)) = 0$ for all $k > 1$, and $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathfrak{u}^1(\iota^*\eta_0)) \cong \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. First note that $\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda_1(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}^1$. Since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)) = 0$ for all $a \geq -1$ and $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) = \mathbb{C}$, the result is immediate from Lemma 4.3.1 and Lemma 4.3.2. $\square$

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$ be the functor from schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ to sets corresponding to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1; U(\iota^*\eta_0))$: for a scheme S, $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}(S)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\widetilde{\Xi}_P, \Phi)$, where $\widetilde{\Xi}_P$ is a P-bundle over $\widetilde{C} \times S$ and $\Phi\colon \widetilde{\Xi}_P/U \to \pi_1^*(\iota^*\eta_0)$ is an isomorphism of L-bundles. If $\mathbf{F}$ is the corresponding functor for P-bundles over C defined at the beginning of this section, then the map $(\Xi_P, \Phi) \mapsto ((\iota \times \mathrm{Id})^*\Xi_P, (\iota \times \mathrm{Id})^*\Phi)$ defines a natural transformation of functors from $\mathbf{F}$ to $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$.

Proposition 4.3.4. *The functor* $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$ *is representable by an affine space* $\mathbb{A}^1$ *and a pair over* $\widetilde{C}\times\mathbb{A}^1$*. The inclusion of* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *in* $\mathrm{Aut}_L(\iota^*\eta_0)$ *defined by the homomorphism* φ_α *from* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *to the center of* L *given in* [7, Lemma 1.2.3] *defines an action of* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *on* $\mathbb{A}^1$*, which is isomorphic to the linear action with weight* n_α*. If the functor corresponding to* $H^1(C;U(\eta_0))$ *is also representable by an affine space* $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$*, then pullback defines an* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant morphism* $f\colon \mathbb{A}^{r+1}\to\mathbb{A}^1$*.*

Proof. The representability of the functor by an affine space follows from [7, Theorem A.2.2 and Remark A.2.5] and the inclusion of $\mathbb{C}^*$ into the center of L gives an action on $\mathbb{C}^*$ on the corresponding affine space. Arguing as in [7, §4.2], there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant isomorphism from this affine space to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0))$. By the preceding corollary, this last vector space is one-dimensional, and the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on it is given by $\lambda_1(\alpha)\circ\varphi_\alpha$, where we view $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ as a character on H. Since φ_α is in the kernel of all simple roots except α, $\lambda_1(\alpha)\circ\varphi_\alpha(t)=\alpha\circ\varphi_\alpha(t)=t^{n_\alpha}$. The last statement then follows since both functors are representable. $\square$

Lemma 4.3.5. *Let* $\widetilde{\xi}_x$ *be the* G*-bundle corresponding to* $x\in H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;U(\iota^*\eta_0))$*. Then* $x\neq 0$ *if and only if* $\widetilde{\xi}_x$ *is the trivial* G*-bundle.*

Proof. By Lemmas 4.3.1 and 4.3.2, the bundle $\iota^*\eta_0\times_L G$ is not the trivial G-bundle, and hence the origin in $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;U(\iota^*\eta_0))$ does not correspond to the trivial G-bundle. Since all other points of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;U(\iota^*\eta_0))$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivalent, it will suffice to show that there is one point $x\in H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;U(\iota^*\eta_0))$ such that the corresponding P-bundle $\widetilde{\xi}$ satisfies: $\widetilde{\xi}\times_P G$ is trivial. Since all G-bundles are topologically trivial, there is a small deformation of $\iota^*\eta_0\times_L G$ which is the trivial bundle. Thus it will suffice to show that the Kodaira-Spencer map of the family corresponding to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;U(\iota^*\eta_0))$ is an isomorphism. This map is given by a homomorphism from $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0))$ to $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathrm{ad}_G(\iota^*\eta_0\times_L G))$, which is just the inclusion of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0))$ in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathrm{ad}_G(\iota^*\eta_0\times_L G))=H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0))\oplus H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathrm{ad}_L(\iota^*\eta_0))\oplus H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0)^\vee).$$

Since $\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0)^\vee$ is a direct sum of line bundles of degrees $0,\pm1$, or 2, $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathfrak{u}(\iota^*\eta_0)^\vee)=0$. Moreover, $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1;\mathrm{ad}_L(\iota^*\eta_0))=0$ since $\iota^*\eta_0$ is rigid ($\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{k-1}$ has no nontrivial deformations). Hence the Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism. $\square$

It is easy to give a direct proof of Lemma 4.3.5 by working in the subgroup of G isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}^{-\lambda_1(\alpha)}\oplus\mathbb{C}\cdot\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee\oplus\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda_1(\alpha)}$ and using the reduction of structure of $\iota^*\eta_0$ to a Cartan subgroup of this $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 4.3.6. *Suppose either that* C *is not cuspidal or that* G *is not of type* E_8*, so that the functor corresponding to* $H^1(C;U(\eta_0))$ *is representable by an affine space* $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$*. Let*

$\mathbb{WP}(G)$ denote the corresponding weighted projective space $\mathbb{A}^{r+1} - \{0\}/\mathbb{C}^*$. For $p \in \mathbb{WP}(G)$, let ξ_p denote the corresponding G-bundle, and define

$$D_\infty = \{p \in \mathbb{WP}(G) : \iota^*\xi_p \textit{ is not isomorphic to the trivial } G\textit{-bundle}\}.$$

Then D_∞ is an irreducible Weil divisor on $\mathbb{WP}(G)$,isomorphic to a weighted projective subspace, and $\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty$ is an affine space $\mathbb{A}^r$.

Proof. Let $f\colon \mathbb{A}^{r+1} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism of Proposition 4.3.4. Since $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^1$ with weight n_α, and n_α divides all of the weights of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$, it follows that f is linear with respect to every linear structure on $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ for which the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is linearized. Moreover, $f^{-1}(0)$ is a linear, $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant subspace, and the quotient $(f^{-1}(0) - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$ is a weighted projective subspace of $\mathbb{WP}(G)$ which is exactly the hypersurface D_∞. Thus D_∞ is irreducible.

Fixing a nonzero point $x \in H^1(\widetilde{C}; U(\iota^*\eta_0)) \cong \mathbb{A}^1$, $f^{-1}(x)$ is an affine subspace $\mathbb{A}^r \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$, and the induced morphism from $\mathbb{A}^r$ to $\mathbb{WP}(G)$ embeds $\mathbb{A}^r$ in $\mathbb{WP}(G)$. Clearly, this image is the complement of D_∞. ☐

We continue to assume either that G is not of type E_8 or that C is not cuspidal. Fix once and for all a nonzero point $x \in H^1(\widetilde{C}; U(\iota^*\eta_0))$ and a trivialization of the corresponding G-bundle $\widetilde{\xi}$, where $\widetilde{\xi}$ is the G-bundle corresponding to x. If $\Xi \to C \times \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ is the universal bundle of Corollary 4.1.5, then restriction defines a universal bundle $\Xi \to C \times f^{-1}(x)$ and the trivialization of $\widetilde{\xi}$ defines a trivialization of $(\iota \times \mathrm{Id})^*\Xi$. By Theorems 3.1.5 and 3.2.4, there are induced morphisms $\sigma\colon f^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{g}$, in the cuspidal case, and $\sigma\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to G$, in the nodal case. By Corollary 4.1.5, the image of σ in either case is contained in the set of regular elements.

Definition 4.3.7. We shall call either $\sigma\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{g}$ (in the cuspidal case) or $\sigma\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to G$ (in the nodal case) a *parabolic section*. Note that changing the trivialization of $\widetilde{\xi}$ corresponds to composing σ with the adjoint action of a fixed $g \in G$.

4.4 A morphism to the relative moduli space

Let $\pi\colon Z \to B$ be a Weierstrass fibration, and suppose either that G is not of type E_8 or that there are no cuspidal fibers of π. For each fiber C, we have the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ and the corresponding weighted projective space $\mathbb{WP}(G)$. Suppose that C is singular. There is a Zariski open subset $\mathbb{WP}(G)_0$ of $\mathbb{WP}(G)$ corresponding to G-bundles which are trivial on $\widetilde{C}$. In the relative situation, we can define the space $\mathcal{WP}(G)_0 \to B$, whose fibers consist of the full weighted projective spaces over points corresponding to smooth fibers of π, and are the affine spaces $\mathbb{WP}(G)_0$ over those points of B corresponding to singular fibers. By the universal property of the relative coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G)$ defined in §3.4, there is a morphism $\Psi\colon \mathcal{WP}(G)_0 \to \mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G)$. Clearly Ψ is compatible with base change.

Theorem 4.4.1. *The morphism* $\Psi\colon \mathcal{WP}(G)_0 \to \mathcal{M}^0_{Z/B}(G)$ *is an isomorphism, and hence* $\mathcal{WP}(G)_0$ *is isomorphic to* $(Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \underline{\Lambda})/W$ *as schemes over* B*.*

Proof. First assume that the generic fiber of π is smooth. By Looijenga's theorem, the restriction of Ψ to the fiber above every smooth point is an isomorphism and the differential of Ψ is an isomorphism by Corollary 4.1.5. Thus Ψ is a quasi-finite degree one morphism between two normal varieties, so that Ψ is an open embedding by Zariski's main theorem. We must show that Ψ is surjective. It suffices to check this at the singular fibers. For such a fiber, the restriction of Ψ is an étale injective morphism from $\mathbb{A}^r$ to $\mathbb{A}^r$. It is an elementary fact [2, Theorem (2.1)] that every such morphism is also surjective.

Let C now be a singular curve; if G is of type E_8 we also assume that C is not cuspidal. Choose some Weierstrass fibration $Z' \to B'$ containing C as a singular fiber and such that the generic fiber is smooth; if G is of type E_8 we also assume that no fiber is cuspidal. For example, we can use the universal family $\mathcal{E}$ or its restriction to $\mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\}$. Applying the above and the compatibility with base change, we see that Ψ induces an isomorphism over the singular fibers from $\mathbb{A}^r$ to $\mathcal{M}^0_C(G)$. The same is now true for every Weierstrass fibration. The last statement of the proof follows from Theorem 3.4.2. □

The above theorem implies that the parabolic construction is a compactification of the space $(Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \underline{\Lambda})/W$. In the next section, we will compare this compactification with other possible compactifications.

Applying the above to the case where Z is a point and the corresponding Weierstrass cubic is singular gives:

Corollary 4.4.2. *Suppose that* C *is nodal. Then* $\mathbb{WP}(G)_0 \cong \mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty \cong \mathbb{A}^r$*, and the induced morphism* $\mathbb{A}^r \to H/W$ *is an isomorphism. The composition of the inverse isomorphism* $H/W \cong \mathbb{A}^r$ *with the morphism* $\sigma\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to G$ *of Definition 4.3.7 is a section of the adjoint quotient morphism* $G \to H/W$*, whose image is contained in the set of regular elements. A similar statement holds if* C *is cuspidal and* G *is not of type* E_8*, provided that we replace* H/W *by* $\mathfrak{h}/W$ *and* G *by* $\mathfrak{g}$*.* □

The content of the above corollary is that the parabolic section functions much like the Kostant or Steinberg section [13, 17] in the cuspidal or nodal case. Using the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, we will give an elementary proof of this fact in the cuspidal case (i.e. one that does not use Looijenga's theorem). It would be nice to have a direct argument in the nodal case as well.

4.5 Linearization of the action over the universal elliptic curve

For the rest of this section, we shall be concerned with constructions over the moduli stack, including the case of cuspidal fibers. Indeed, the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the cuspidal curve will be crucial to the arguments. **Thus, throughout the remainder of this section, we shall assume that G is not of type E_8.**

We now consider the case of the universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$. There is the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{E}$ of Definition 1.1.2. We shall construct a bundle of affine spaces $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$

over $\mathbb{A}^2$ and a principal $\hat{G}$-bundle over $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ such that the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action lifts to an action on the bundle. There is also a section $e\colon \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ corresponding to the origin in every fiber.

Theorem 4.5.1. *Let $\lambda\colon \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ be the action of Definition 1.1.2. There is a bundle of affine spaces $f\colon \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r] \to \mathbb{A}^2 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$ and a universal $\hat{G}$-bundle $\widehat{\Xi}$ over $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, whose restriction to each fiber C is naturally identified with the affine space $H^1(C; U(\hat{\eta}_0))$ and the universal $\hat{G}$-bundle $\Xi \times_G \hat{G}$ over $C \times H^1(E; U(\hat{\eta}_0))$, where Ξ is the G-bundle constructed in Corollary 4.1.5. Finally, there are $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and on $\widehat{\Xi}$, where the second action commutes with that of $\hat{G}$, such that the projections $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{A}^2$ and $\widehat{\Xi} \to \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ are equivariant.*

Proof. In Theorem 1.4.2, we have constructed an $\hat{L}$-bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$ and a $\mathbb{C}^*$-linearization of the bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$, which we can view as giving an isomorphism $\lambda^*\hat{\eta}_0 \cong \pi_2^*\hat{\eta}_0$ over $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{E}$. There is the functor $\mathbf{F}$ which associates to a scheme S over $\mathbb{A}^2$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\xi_{\hat{P}}, \varphi)$, where $\xi_{\hat{P}}$ is a $\hat{P}$-bundle over $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} S$ and φ is an isomorphism from $\xi_{\hat{P}}/U$ to $\pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0$, and, by Corollary 4.1.7, $\mathbf{F}$ is represented by the triple $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}, \Phi)$, where $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a bundle of affine spaces over $\mathbb{A}^2$, $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ is a $\hat{P}$-bundle over $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and $\Phi\colon \widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}/U \to \pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0$ is an isomorphism. It will suffice to find the requisite $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and on $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$, and then to set $\widehat{\Xi} = \widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}} \times_{\hat{P}} \hat{G}$.

Let $\tau\colon \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{A}^2$ be defined by $\tau(\mu, a) = \mu \cdot f(a)$, i.e. $\tau = \lambda_0 \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times f)$. Then the morphism

$$\tilde{p}\colon \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tau) \to \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

defined by $\tilde{p}(e, (\mu, a)) = (\mu^{-1} \cdot e, a)$ has image contained in $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and we denote the induced morphism by $p\colon \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tau) \to \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Clearly, up to a permutation of the factors, $\pi_1 \circ p$ is the natural projection of $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}})$ to $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{E}$ followed by λ^{-1}, the inverse of the action on $\mathcal{E}$. The pullback bundle $p^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ has an induced isomorphism

$$p^*\Phi\colon p^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}/U \cong p^*\pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0 \cong (\lambda^{-1})^*\eta_0 \cong \pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0,$$

where we have used the given linearization of the action λ on $\hat{\eta}_0$. By abuse of notation, we denote the induced isomorphism $p^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}/U \to \pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0$ by $p^*\Phi$ as well. The pair $(p^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}, p^*\Phi)$ defines an element of $\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tau)$, and hence there is a classifying morphism $\lambda'\colon \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Moreover, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \arrow[r, "\lambda'"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id} \times f"'] & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{A}^2 \arrow[r, "\lambda_0"] & \mathbb{A}^2.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is straightforward to check that λ' defines an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Since both λ and λ' cover the action of λ_0 on $\mathbb{A}^2$, there is an induced action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, which we denote by $\lambda \times \lambda'$.

Finally, we must show that the action $\lambda \times \lambda'$ lifts to an action on $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$. To do so, note that by definition $(\mathrm{Id} \times \lambda')\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}} = p^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$, and hence $(\lambda \times \lambda')^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ is identified with the pullback of $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ under the composition of the morphisms

$$\mathbb{C}^* \times (\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}) \to \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tau) \to \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

where the first morphism is $(\mu, (e, a)) \mapsto (\mu \cdot e, (\mu, a))$ and the second is $(e, (\mu, a)) \mapsto (\mu^{-1} \cdot e, a)$. Thus the composition is just projection onto the last two factors. Thus we have found an isomorphism from $(\lambda \times \lambda')^*\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ to the bundle over $\mathbb{C}^* \times (\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{A}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}})$ obtained by pulling back $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ by projection onto the last two factors. Again, it is straightforward to check that this gives an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on the principal bundle $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$. $\square$

Remark 4.5.2. The proof actually shows that, in the above notation, the linearization on the $\hat{G}$-bundle $\widehat{\Xi}$ is induced from one on $\widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}$ which fixes the trivialization $\Phi\colon \widehat{\Xi}_{\hat{P}}/U \to \pi_1^*\hat{\eta}_0$.

Thus there are two commuting actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r]$. Here the first is the action of the identity component of the center of L, and this action is equivariant with respect to the trivial action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$. The other action is the lifting of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action λ on $\mathcal{E}$ given by Theorem 4.5.1 and is equivariant with respect to the action on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$ given by $\mu \cdot (g_2, g_3) = (\mu^4 g_2, \mu^6 g_3)$. The two commuting actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$ define an action of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, preserving the zero-section e of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{E}$. The dual action of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r]$ is equivalent to a bigrading on $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r]$.

Proposition 4.5.3. *Let $\mathcal{T} = e^*T_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathbb{A}^2}$ be the restriction of the relative tangent bundle to the zero section e . Since e is preserved by $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$, there is an induced action of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{T}$. There is a $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant isomorphism of spaces over $\mathbb{A}^2$ from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ to $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. The argument is very similar to that for [7, Lemma 4.2.3]. First, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r]$, and the construction of the Appendix to [7] shows that we can assume that the zero-section e is defined by $z_0 = \cdots = z_r = 0$. Let M be the projective $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-module corresponding to $\mathcal{T}^*$. The $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$-action on $\mathcal{T}$ defines a bigrading $M = \bigoplus_{p,q} M_{p,q}$, and the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r] \to M$ defined by sending a function to its differential along e is a surjective homomorphism of bigraded $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-modules. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$ is weighted homogeneous of degree n, then $f \cdot M_{p,q} \subseteq M_{p,q+n}$. Let $M(p) = \bigoplus_q M_{p,q}$. Then $M(p)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-module and $M = \bigoplus_p M(p)$, where only finitely many summands are nonzero. Each $M(p)$ is a projective graded $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-module, compatibly with the grading on $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$. Thus, by Lemma 1.2.6, each $M(p)$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-module, and moreover there is a homogeneous $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-basis for $M(p)$. It follows that M is free and that there exists a bihomogeneous basis for M. Lifting these to bihomogeneous elements of $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r]$ defines a homomorphism of bigraded $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-algebras from $\operatorname{Sym}^*_{\mathbb{C}[g_2,g_3]} M$ (the symmetric algebra on the free $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3]$-module M) to $\mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, z_0, \dots, z_r]$, corresponding to a $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ to $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T})$. The arguments of [7, Lemma 4.2.3] show that this morphism is an isomorphism over every point of $\mathbb{A}^2$, and hence it is an isomorphism. ☐

We have thus linearized the action of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$. The first $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts as a group of vector bundle isomorphisms, and hence with various weights, which we know to be $g_0, \dots, g_r$. The corresponding eigenspaces are subbundles on which the second $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts via a linearization covering the action on the base, and by Lemma 1.2.6 each such may be written as a direct sum of linearized line bundles. Since the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ minus the zero section by the first $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is the weighted projective bundle $\mathcal{WP}(G)$, we have:

Corollary 4.5.4. *Let $Z \to B$ be a Weierstrass fibration, and let $\mathcal{WP}(G) \to B$ be the bundle of weighted projective spaces corresponding to G and to the special root α. Then $\mathcal{WP}(G)$ is isomorphic to the weighted projective space bundle associated to*

$$\mathcal{L}^{-d_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_r}$$

for some integers d_i, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{L}^{-d_i}$ with weight g_i. ☐

To determine the integers d_i, or equivalently the characters in the linearizations for the family $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{E}$, it is enough to look at the induced action on the fiber of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ over the unique fixed point of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. We find a conceptual way to do this in the next subsection. Note however that, as in [7, Lemma 4.1.2], there is a canonical isomorphism (of $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$-linearized vector bundles)

$$\mathcal{T} \cong R^1\pi_*\mathfrak{u}(\hat{\eta}_0),$$

and using this description the linearization can be described directly via a case-by-case analysis.

4.6 The line bundles and the Casimir weights

We continue to assume that G is not of type E_8. This section has two goals. First, we want to determine the integers d_i above and relate them to the Casimir weights, which we shall define below. Second, we shall give a direct proof that the parabolic section defines a Kostant-type section in the cuspidal case (without using Looijenga's theorem for a smooth curve).

We begin with a general remark. Suppose that B is a scheme and that $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i$ is a direct sum of line bundles over B. Let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on the total space $\mathbb{V}(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i)$ by acting on each line factor $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ with weight w_i. We can then form the bundle of weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{WP}(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i)$. Let M be a line bundle on B. Let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on $\mathbb{V}(\bigoplus_i(\mathcal{L}_i \otimes M^{w_i}))$, again by acting on $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}_i \otimes M^{w_i})$ with weight w_i. Clearly this does not change $\mathbb{WP}(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i)$. More generally, if all of the w_i are divisible by some integer n, we can make the same construction with $\bigoplus_i(\mathcal{L}_i \otimes M^{w_i/n})$ and we will not change the corresponding weighted projective space bundle. A similar construction holds if B is a scheme with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, the $\mathcal{L}_i$ are linearized bundles which are trivial as line bundles, and similarly for M. We will refer to the bundle $\bigoplus_i(\mathcal{L}_i \otimes M^{w_i/n})$ as the *weighted tensor product* of the bundle $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i$ by the line bundle $M^{w_i/n}$.

We now specialize to $B = \mathbb{A}^2$, together with the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action defined by $\mu \cdot (g_2, g_3) = (\mu^4 g_2, \mu^6 g_3)$, and to the case of linearized bundles. We can further restrict the discussion above to the fiber over the origin, corresponding to a cuspidal curve C. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ denote the representation $(\mathbb{C}, \chi_1)$. Using the linearization of Proposition 4.5.3, $\mathbb{A}^{r+1} = (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}})_0$, and by Lemma 1.2.7 this can be written as a direct sum of lines $\bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathcal{L}_0^{a_i}$. Here $(\nu, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{L}_0^{a_i}$ as $\nu^{n_\alpha \cdot g_i}\mu^{a_i}$. We denote the action of $(1, \mu)$ on $p \in \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ by $\mu \cdot p$. Each point of $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ corresponds to a pair (ξ_P, φ), where ξ_P is a G-bundle. Let $\xi = \xi_P \times_P G$. Purely in terms of G-bundles, the μ-action sends ξ to $\mu^*\xi$, where $\mu\colon C \to C$ is the automorphism of C given by the action of $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ given in Definition 1.1.2. The action of ν is solely on φ, and so leaves the isomorphism class of ξ unchanged.

Let $\mathbb{A}^1 = H^1(\widetilde{C}; U(\iota^*\hat{\eta}_0))$. We can also linearize the $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$-action on $\mathbb{A}^1$. The morphism $\pi\colon \mathbb{A}^{r+1} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ defined by the normalization map is then a $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$-equivariant morphism. Note that the first factor acts with weight n_α, so that we may assume that (ν, μ) acts on $\mathbb{A}^1$ as $\nu^{n_\alpha}\mu^{a_0}$. Thus $\mathbb{A}^1 \cong \mathcal{L}_0^{a_0}$.

Fix $x \in \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ and let $\mathbb{A}^r$ be the affine subspace $\pi^{-1}(x)$. Multiplication by μ does not preserve this subspace. However, if we consider the weighted tensor product $\mathbb{A}^{r+1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-a_0}$, then π defines an equivariant morphism from this space to the one-dimensional vector space with trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, and $\mathbb{A}^r$ is invariant under this $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Another way to view this weighted tensor product is as follows: given μ, choose $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\nu^{n_\alpha} = \mu^{-a_0}$. Then μ acts on $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ via the action of $(\nu, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

In Definition 4.3.7, we have defined a morphism σ from the affine space $\mathbb{A}^r$ to $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, the parabolic section. It is well-defined up to the adjoint action of an element of G. The adjoint quotient of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathfrak{h}/W$, and hence there is a well-defined morphism $\mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{h}/W$. The linear action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ and on $\mathfrak{g}$ induces an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathfrak{h}/W$. The weights of this action are given as follows. By Chevalley's theorem, $(\mathrm{Sym}^* \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ is a polynomial algebra with homogeneous generators of degrees $d_1, \dots, d_r$, where the d_i are the *Casimir weights*. In other words, there is a linear structure on $\mathfrak{h}/W$ for which $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts with the weights d_i. With this said, we have the following:

Theorem 4.6.1. *The morphism σ induces an isomorphism $\overline{\sigma}\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{h}/W$ with the property that $\overline{\sigma}(\mu \cdot x) = \mu^{-1}\overline{\sigma}(x)$. Thus the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{A}^r$ is linearizable, with negative weights $-d_1, \dots, -d_r$.*

Proof. We first show the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariance. Let $x \in \mathbb{A}^r$ and let ξ be the corresponding G-bundle. Choose a trivialization τ of $\iota^*\xi$ and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the element associated to the pair (ξ, τ) by Theorem 3.1.1. Then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = [X]$, the class of X in the adjoint quotient of $\mathfrak{g}$. To calculate $\overline{\sigma}(\mu \cdot x)$, by the above remarks, choose $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\nu^{n_\alpha} = \mu^{-a_0}$ and consider the corresponding bundle $(\nu, \mu) \cdot \xi$. As an abstract G-bundle, this is isomorphic to $\mu^*\xi$, and hence corresponds by Corollary 3.1.2 under the pullback of the trivialization τ to $\mu^{-1}X$. Thus $\overline{\sigma}(\mu \cdot x) = [\mu^{-1}X] = \mu^{-1}[X] = \mu^{-1}\overline{\sigma}(x)$.

It thus suffices to show that the morphism $\overline{\sigma}\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{h}/W$ is an isomorphism. First we claim:

Lemma 4.6.2. *The differential of $\overline{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism at every point.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.1.5, the Kodaira-Spencer homomorphism induced from the universal bundle over $C\times\mathbb{A}^r$ is an isomorphism. Given a G-bundle ξ corresponding to a point x of $\mathbb{A}^r$, let $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the corresponding regular element of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is easy to check (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.1.1) that $H^1(C; \operatorname{ad}\xi) \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{ad} X)$ and that the differential of $\sigma\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{g}$ at x identifies the tangent space to $\mathbb{A}^r$ at x with $\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{ad} X) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. On the other hand, by a result of Kostant [13], since X is regular, the differential of the adjoint quotient morphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}/W$ is surjective at X and thus induces an isomorphism from $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{ad} X$ to the tangent space to $\mathfrak{h}/W$ at the image of X. Combining these two results, we see that the differential of $\overline{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism. □

The theorem then follows from the more general lemma:

Lemma 4.6.3. *Suppose that $f\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathbb{C}^r$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts linearly on $\mathbb{C}^r$ with strictly negative weights. If the differential of f is everywhere an isomorphism, then f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Directly via a topological argument, or by a theorem of Białynicki-Birula [3], there is a fixed point x_0 for the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{A}^r$, and $f(x_0) = 0$. Since the fibers of f are finite, there are only finitely many fixed points, say $x_0, \dots, x_N$. There exists a classical neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ such that, for all $u \in U$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|t| \geq 1$, $tu \in U$ and moreover such that $f^{-1}(U) = \coprod_i U_i$, a disjoint union of open sets U_i in $\mathbb{A}^r$ such that $x_i \in U_i$. Clearly, if $v \in U_i$, then $tv \in U_i$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|t| \geq 1$, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} tv = x_i$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{C}^* \cdot U_i = \{v \in \mathbb{A}^r : \lim_{t\to\infty} tv = x_i\}$$

and that $\mathbb{A}^r$ is the disjoint union of the open sets $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot U_i$. By connectedness, there is a unique fixed point x_0 and every $\mathbb{C}^*$-orbit contains it in its closure. A standard argument (cf. the proof of [7, Lemma 4.2.3]) then shows that f is a bijection, and hence an isomorphism. □

Remark 4.6.4. One can show quite explicitly that, for appropriate choices, the inverse of the morphism $\mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{h}/W$, composed with $\sigma\colon \mathbb{A}^r \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, can be identified with the Kostant section constructed in [13].

As a corollary of Theorem 4.6.1, we have the corresponding result for the moduli stack:

Corollary 4.6.5. *Suppose that G is not of type E_8. Let $Z \to B$ be a Weierstrass fibration, and let $\mathcal{WP}(G) \to B$ be the bundle of weighted projective spaces corresponding to G and* *to a special root α. Then $\mathcal{WP}(G)$ is isomorphic to the weighted projective space bundle associated to*

$$\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_r},$$

where the d_i are the Casimir weights of G. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{L}^{-d_i}$ with weight g_i for some ordering of the simple roots, and $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_B$ with weight one. $\square$

Of course, the corollary does not determine which g_i correspond to which d_i. Direct computation as in §4.2 shows the following: if the d_i are arranged in non-decreasing order, then so are the g_i, except for the case of D_n, where the ordering of the g_i as $1, 1, 1, 1, 2, \dots, 2$ corresponds to the ordering of the d_i as $0, 2, 4, n, 6, \dots, 2n-2$.

5 Comparison with other constructions

Our goal in this section is to compare the family of weighted projective bundles given by the parabolic construction with other compactifications of the moduli space of semistable G-bundles in families. For example, Wirthmüller [19] has constructed a compactification in case all fibers are smooth or nodal by toric methods. We shall show that, under very general circumstances, all such constructions lead to the same family of compactifications.

5.1 A lemma on bundles of weighted projective spaces

We begin with some general remarks on weighted projective spaces. Let $w_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$ and let $\mathbb{WP}$ be a weighted projective space of type $(w_0, \dots, w_r)$, in other words the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{r+1} - 0$ by $\mathbb{C}^*$ acting via $\lambda \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_r) = (\lambda^{w_0} z_0, \dots, \lambda^{w_r} z_r)$. We assume that the gcd of $w_0, \dots, w_r$ is one. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is the torsion free, reflexive coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(n)$, whose global sections are weighted homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ of degree n. Moreover, every effective Weil divisor on $\mathbb{WP}$ is the zero locus of a weighted homogeneous polynomial. However, the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(n)$ can behave in a rather complicated way. For example, if $r = 1$ so that $\mathbb{WP} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and w_0 and w_1 are relatively prime, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(w_0) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(w_1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ whereas $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(w_0 w_1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Hence the map $n \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(n)$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to the class group of Weil divisors on $\mathbb{WP}$ need not be injective, nor a homomorphism. As a consequence, not every automorphism of $\mathbb{WP}$ need be induced from a graded automorphism of the graded ring $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_r]$, as is clear from the above example.

On the other hand, suppose that the singular locus of $\mathbb{WP}$, in the sense of orbifolds, has codimension at least two, in other words that there is no prime which divides r of the positive integers w_i. Let $\mathbb{WP}_{\text{reg}}$ be the regular locus of $\mathbb{WP}$. Then since $\mathbb{WP}$ is normal and $\mathbb{WP} - \mathbb{WP}_{\text{reg}}$ has codimension at least two in $\mathbb{WP}$, a Weil divisor is determined by its restriction to $\mathbb{WP}_{\text{reg}}$. It follows that the map $n \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(n)$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to the class group of Weil divisors on $\mathbb{WP}$ is an isomorphism of groups, and that moreover the class group is also isomorphic to $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{WP}_{\text{reg}})$ via the restriction map. In this case, if f is an automorphism of $\mathbb{WP}$, then $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(k) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}}(k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence f is induced from a graded automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_r]$.

For B a connected complex space or scheme, we define a *fibration of weighted projective spaces over B of type* $(w_0, \dots, w_r)$ to consist of a complex space P and a morphism $p\colon P \to B$ such that there exists an open cover $\{\Omega_i\}$ of B and isomorphisms (of spaces over Ω_i) $p^{-1}(\Omega_i) \to \mathbb{WP} \times \Omega_i$, where $\mathbb{WP}$ is a weighted projective space of type $(w_0, \dots, w_r)$.

Theorem 5.1.1. *Let B be a smooth scheme and let $p_i\colon P_i \to B$ be two fibrations of weighted projective spaces over B of type $(w_0, \dots, w_r)$. Assume that no prime divides r of the positive integers $w_0, \dots, w_r$. Suppose that there exists a Zariski open and dense set $U \subseteq P_1$ and a holomorphic map $f\colon U \to P_2$ of spaces over B such that*

(i) *U contains an open set of the form $p_1^{-1}(V)$, where V is a Zariski dense subset of B;*

(ii) *U has nonempty intersection with every fiber;*

(iii) *f restricts to an isomorphism from $p_1^{-1}(V)$ to $p_2^{-1}(V)$.*

(iv) *For all $b \in B$, $f(U \cap p_1^{-1}(b))$ is not contained in a proper subvariety of $p_2^{-1}(b)$.*

Then f extends to a holomorphic isomorphism of fibrations of weighted projective spaces over B.

Proof. The hypotheses imply that P_1 and P_2 are normal, that $\operatorname{codim}(P_1 - U) \geq 2$, and that the orbifold singular locus of $\mathbb{WP}$ has codimension at least two. Moreover, the question is local around $b \in B$, and thus we may assume that $B = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine and that both P_1 and P_2 are products $\mathbb{WP} \times B$. Thus $P_1 = P_2 = \mathbf{Proj}\, R[s_0, \dots, s_r]$, where the weight of s_i is w_i, and we may assume that the w_i are arranged in nondecreasing order.

For each i, f^*s_i is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(w_i) \otimes \pi_2^* M_i | U$, where M_i is a line bundle over B. After further shrinking B, we may assume that M_i is trivial. Since $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(w_i)$ is reflexive, f^*s_i extends to a global holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(w_i)$. Clearly, to prove the theorem, it suffices to prove that, for all $b \in B$, the sections $(f^*s_i)_b$ generate the ring $\mathbb{C}[s_0, \dots, s_r]$. Order the s_i so that $s_0, \dots, s_{a_0}$ have weight w_0, $s_{a_0+1}, \dots, s_{a_1}$ have the next highest weight w_{i_1}, and so on. It will suffice to prove that, for all j, $f^*s_{a_{j-1}+1}, \dots, f^*s_{a_j}$ span the space of all homogeneous polynomials of weight w_{i_j} modulo those which are polynomials in variables of smaller weight. It is enough to prove that $f^*s_{a_{j-1}+1}, \dots, f^*s_{a_j}$ are linearly independent modulo polynomials in variables of smaller weight. The proof is by induction on the weight w_{i_j}, and we can begin the induction at weight 0, i.e. for the constants, where the result is clear. Suppose that there is a relation

$$\sum_k \lambda_k f^* s_{a_{j-1}+k} + P(s_0, \dots, s_{a_{j-1}}) = 0,$$

where the λ_i are not all 0 and P is homogeneous of weight w_{i_j}. Then by the inductive hypothesis we can write $P(s_0, \dots, s_{a_{j-1}}) = f^*Q(s_0, \dots, s_{a_{j-1}})$ for some homogeneous polynomial Q. It then follows that f, which is defined on U maps the open dense subset $U_b = p_1^{-1}(b) \cap U$ onto the proper subvariety of the weighted projective space $p_2^{-1}(b)$ defined by $\sum_k \lambda_k s_{a_{j-1}+k} + Q(s_0, \dots, s_{a_{j-1}}) = 0$. This contradicts Condition (iv). $\square$

5.2 Wirthmüller's construction

We briefly review the construction of Wirthmüller [19]. Let Γ be a torsion free subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ denote the upper half plane and let $B_0 = \mathfrak{H}/\Gamma$ be the corresponding affine curve, with $\mathcal{E}^0_\Gamma \to B_0$ the universal elliptic curve over B_0. If B is the projective completion of B_0, then there is a fibration of Weierstrass cubics $\pi\colon \mathcal{E}_\Gamma \to B$, whose singular fibers are nodal. Let $(\mathcal{E}_\Gamma)_{\mathrm{reg}} \to B$ be the restriction of this fibration to the smooth locus of π, so that $(\mathcal{E}_\Gamma)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a group scheme over B. The construction of [19] is a toroidal compactification of the fibration $\mathfrak{A}^0 = \mathcal{E}^0_\Gamma \otimes \Lambda \to B_0$ to a fibration $\mathfrak{A} \to B$. Unwinding the definitions of [19], one checks that $\mathfrak{A}$ contains $(\mathcal{E}_\Gamma)_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda$ as a dense open set, and in fact the fibers of $\mathfrak{A}$ over the cusps are toric compactifications of the corresponding fibers of $(\mathcal{E}_\Gamma)_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda$, which are algebraic tori $\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \Lambda$. The action of W on $\mathfrak{A}^0 = \mathcal{E}^0_\Gamma \otimes \Lambda$ defined by the action of W on Λ extends to an action of W on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Wirthmüller then proves:

Theorem 5.2.1. *Suppose that G is not of type E_8. Then the fibration $\mathfrak{A}/W \to B$ is a fibration of weighted projective spaces of type $(g_0, \dots, g_r)$.* $\square$

In light of Theorem 5.1.1, we then have:

Theorem 5.2.2. *Suppose that G is not of type E_8, and let $\mathcal{WP}(G) \to B$ be the fibration of weighted projective spaces associated to the fibration $\mathcal{E}_\Gamma \to B$ and the group G. Then the inclusions of $((\mathcal{E}_\Gamma)_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$ in $\mathcal{WP}(G)$ and in $\mathfrak{A}/W$ extend to define an isomorphism of fibrations of weighted projective spaces.* $\square$

Even in the case of E_8, the parabolic construction gives an extension of $\mathfrak{A}^0/W$ to a fibration of weighted projective spaces over B. Thus it is likely that $\mathfrak{A}/W$ is also a fibration of weighted projective spaces over B. If so, then Theorem 5.1.1 would imply that it is the same as the fibration given by the parabolic construction. However, $\mathfrak{A}/W$ is not the fibration of weighted projective spaces associated to $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}^{a_i}$ as is required by the method of proof of [19].

6 Inclusions of subgroups

In this section, we analyze the relation between the parabolic construction for a group G and for certain subgroups G'. The prototype for this question is the inclusion of $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and the problem, which was studied in [11], is that, if V is a regular semistable vector bundle of rank $n-1$ and trivial determinant over C, then $V \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$ is not always regular. We generalize the study of this problem to a wide variety of inclusions $G' \subseteq G$. One application, to be given in the next section, is to the characterization of G-bundles ξ arising from the parabolic construction and representations $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that the vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable. Very related methods give a rigidification of the construction for G of type E_8 and C a cuspidal curve, allowing us to construct a 9-dimensional weighted projective space together with an action of a one-dimensional unipotent group. Inside the

9-dimensional weighted projective space, there is a 9-dimensional affine space corresponding to bundles which become trivial on $\widetilde{C}$, and the group action on this affine space has an 8-dimensional affine slice which plays the role of the Kostant section.

6.1 Statement of the main theorem

For the moment, we consider the case where X is allowed to be an arbitrary scheme or analytic space.

Theorem 6.1.1. *Suppose that the algebraic group P is a semidirect product $L \ltimes U$, where U is a normal unipotent subgroup of P. Let U' be a subgroup of U and let Q be a subgroup of L. Suppose that U' is invariant under the conjugation action of Q, and that this action factors through a surjection $r\colon Q \to \overline{Q}$ with kernel N. Let η be an L-bundle over X, and suppose that there is a fixed reduction of the structure group of η to Q, i.e. an isomorphism*

$$\eta_Q \times_Q L \cong \eta,$$

where η_Q is a Q-bundle. Let $\eta_{\overline{Q}} = r_*\eta_Q$. Then:

(i) *As sheaves of unipotent groups over X, $U'(\eta_Q) \cong U'(\eta_{\overline{Q}})$, and thus $H^1(X; U'(\eta_Q)) \cong H^1(X; U'(\eta_{\overline{Q}}))$, where this isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_Q \eta_Q$;*

(ii) *There is a natural inclusion of sheaves of unipotent groups $U'(\eta_Q) \subseteq U(\eta)$, and hence there is an $\operatorname{Aut}_Q \eta_Q$-equivariant function $H^1(X; U'(\eta_Q)) \to H^1(X; U(\eta))$. Moreover, if ξ' is the $\overline{Q}U'$-bundle corresponding to a class in $H^1(X; U'(\eta_{\overline{Q}}))$ and ξ is the QU'-bundle corresponding to its image in $H^1(X; U'(\eta_Q))$, then $\xi/N \cong \xi'$;*

(iii) *Suppose that η satisfies the hypotheses of* [7, Theorem A.2.2] *with respect to the filtration $\{U_i\}$ and that either $\dim X = 1$ or the vector group $(U' \cap U_i)/(U' \cap U_{i+1})$ is a direct summand of U_i/U_{i+1} for every i. Then η_Q and $\eta_{\overline{Q}}$ satisfy these hypotheses with respect to $\{U' \cap U_i\}$, so that the functors corresponding to $H^1(X; U'(\eta_Q))$ and $H^1(X; U'(\eta_{\overline{Q}}))$ are isomorphic and representable by affine spaces. Moreover the functions $H^1(X; U'(\eta_Q)) \cong H^1(X; U'(\eta_{\overline{Q}}))$ and $H^1(X; U'(\eta_Q)) \to H^1(X; U(\eta))$ correspond to morphisms of functors which are represented by morphisms of affine spaces, equivariant with respect to the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_Q \eta_Q$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from the isomorphism $\eta_Q \times_Q U' \cong \eta_{\overline{Q}} \times_{\overline{Q}} U'$ (cf. [7, Lemma A.1.4]). The inclusion of Part (ii) is induced from the inclusion $U'(\eta_Q) = \eta_Q \times_Q U' \subseteq \eta_Q \times_Q U$ and the isomorphism

$$U(\eta_Q) = \eta_Q \times_Q U \cong (\eta_Q \times_Q L) \times_L U = U(\eta),$$

and the second statement in (ii) is clear. The first statement in Part (iii) follows since it is easy to check that the representability conditions of [7, Theorem A.2.2] are satisfied. It remains to see that the given functions are represented by morphisms of affine spaces. It suffices to exhibit morphisms of the corresponding functors. To do so, for example for the case of the function $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q)) \to H^1(X;U(\eta))$, suppose that S is an affine scheme and that (ξ', φ') is a pair consisting of a QU'-bundle ξ' and an isomorphism $\varphi'\colon \xi'/U' \to \pi_1^*\eta_Q$. Define $\xi = \xi' \times_{QU'} LU$ and let $\varphi\colon \xi/U \to \eta$ be the isomorphism given by the composition of the canonical isomorphisms

$$\xi/U = \xi' \times_{QU'} L \cong (\xi'/U') \times_Q L$$

with the isomorphism φ' and the fixed identification of $\eta_Q \times_Q LU$ with η. The map $(\xi', \varphi') \mapsto (\xi, \varphi)$ is then the required morphism of functors. □

We note the following, whose proof is standard and left to the reader:

Proposition 6.1.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1.1, suppose that U' is a normal subgroup of U and that $H^1(X;(U/U')(\eta_Q)) = 0$. Then the group $H^0(X;(U/U')(\eta_Q))$ acts on $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q))$ and the quotient of this action is $H^1(X;U(\eta_Q)) = H^1(X;U(\eta))$. If η_Q and U' satisfy the conditions of* [7, Theorem A.2.2]*, then $H^0(X;(U/U')(\eta_Q))$ acts as a unipotent algebraic group on the affine space representing the functor corresponding to $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q))$. Finally, if η also satisfies the conditions of* [7, Theorem A.2.2]*, then the affine space corresponding to $H^1(X;U(\eta))$ is a geometric quotient for the action of $H^0(X;(U/U')(\eta_Q))$ on $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q))$.* □

In many cases of interest to us, the homomorphism $r\colon Q \to \overline{Q}$ will be a split surjection, i.e. there is a homomorphism $i\colon \overline{Q} \to Q$ such that $r \circ i = \mathrm{Id}$. We identify $\overline{Q}$ with its image in Q. Via the isomorphism $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q)) \cong H^1(X;U'(\eta_{\overline{Q}}))$, elements of $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q))$, which define QU'-bundles $\xi_{QU'}$, also define $\overline{Q}U'$-bundles $\xi_{\overline{Q}U'}$. Their images in $H^1(X;U(\eta))$ define LU-bundles $\xi_{QU'} \times_{QU'} LU$. However, the bundle $\xi_{QU'} \times_{QU'} LU$ is not in general isomorphic to the bundle $\xi_{\overline{Q}U'} \times_{\overline{Q}U'} LU$. Instead, we have the following criterion for when the bundles $\xi_{QU'} \times_{QU'} LU$ and $\xi_{\overline{Q}U'} \times_{\overline{Q}U'} LU$ are S-equivalent in a generalized sense.

Proposition 6.1.3. *Suppose that there is a homomorphism $i\colon \overline{Q} \to Q$ such that $r \circ i = \mathrm{Id}$, and that there exists a subgroup of Q isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ with the following property: $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially on U' and the conjugation action $\psi\colon Q \times \mathbb{C}^* \to Q$ extends to a morphism $\overline{\psi}\colon Q \times \mathbb{C} \to Q$ such that $\overline{\psi}(q, 0) = i \circ r(q)$. Let $\xi_{QU'}$ be a QU'-bundle corresponding to an element of $H^1(X;U'(\eta_Q))$ and let $\xi_{\overline{Q}U'} = \xi_{QU'} \times_{QU'} \overline{Q}U'$. Then there is an QU'-bundle $\Xi \to X \times \mathbb{C}$ such that, for $t \neq 0$, $\Xi_t = \Xi|X \times \{t\} \cong \xi_{QU'}$ and such that $\Xi_0 \cong \xi_{\overline{Q}U'} \times_{\overline{Q}U'} QU'$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{\Omega_i\}$ is an open cover of X and that $\xi_{QU'}$ is defined by the cocycle $q_{ij}u_{ij}$, where $q_{ij}\colon \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j \to Q$ and $u_{ij}\colon \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j \to U'$ are morphisms. Define Ξ by the cocycles $\overline{\psi}(q_{ij}, t)u_{ij}$. Since $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially on u_{ij}, $\overline{\psi}(q_{ij}, t)u_{ij} = t(q_{ij}u_{ij})t^{-1}$ for $t \neq 0$. It follows that the $\overline{\psi}(q_{ij}, t)u_{ij}$ are indeed cocycles, that $\Xi_t = \Xi|X \times \{t\} \cong \xi_{QU'}$ for $t \neq 0$, and that $\Xi_0 \cong \xi_{\overline{Q}U'} \times_{\overline{Q}U'} QU'$. □

One circumstance where we can verify the hypotheses of Proposition 6.1.3 is the following:

Proposition 6.1.4. *With the above notation, let* $N = \operatorname{Ker} r$, *and let* $\operatorname{Lie}(Q) = \mathfrak{q}$, $\operatorname{Lie}(U') = \mathfrak{u}'$, $\operatorname{Lie}(N) = \mathfrak{n}$. *Suppose that* N *is unipotent and that, as in Proposition 6.1.3, there is a homomorphism* $i\colon \overline{Q} \to Q$ *such that* $r \circ i = \mathrm{Id}$, *with* $\operatorname{Lie}(\overline{Q}) = \overline{\mathfrak{q}}$, *which we identify via* i *with a subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{q}$, *and that there exists a subgroup of* Q *isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *which acts trivially on* $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$ *and on* $\mathfrak{u}'$ *and with positive weights on* $\mathfrak{n}$. *Then:*

(i) *The conjugation action* $\psi\colon Q \times \mathbb{C}^* \to Q$ *extends to a morphism* $\overline{\psi}\colon Q \times \mathbb{C} \to Q$ *such that* $\overline{\psi}(q, 0) = i \circ r(q)$.

(ii) *If* $\rho\colon QU' \to GL(V)$ *is a finite-dimensional representation, then there is a filtration on the vector bundle* $\xi_{QU'} \times_{QU'} V$ *whose associated graded is isomorphic to the vector bundle* $\xi_{\overline{Q}U'} \times_{\overline{Q}U'} V$.

(iii) *Suppose that* QU' *is contained in an algebraic group* G, *that* G' *is an algebraic subgroup of* G *containing* $\overline{Q}U'$, *and that the inclusion* $i\colon \overline{Q} \to Q$ *is induced from the inclusion of* G' *in* G. *Suppose further that the subgroup* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *of* Q *centralizes* G', *and that* $\rho\colon QU' \to GL(V)$ *is a finite-dimensional representation which is the restriction of a representation of* G. *Then the filtration defined in* (ii) *has the property that its associated graded is isomorphic to the vector bundle* $(\xi_{\overline{Q}U'} \times_{\overline{Q}U'} G') \times_{G'} V$.

Proof. To see (i), note that as varieties with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, $Q \cong \overline{Q} \times N \cong \overline{Q} \times \mathfrak{n}$ via the exponential map, where the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is trivial on the first factor. Since $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts with positive weights on $\mathfrak{n}$, the conjugation function $\psi\colon Q \times \mathbb{C}^* \to Q$ defined by $\psi(q, t) = tqt^{-1}$ extends to a morphism $\overline{\psi}\colon Q \times \mathbb{C} \to Q$ such that $\overline{\psi}(q, 0) = i \circ r(q)$. To see (ii), let V_i be the subspace of V where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts via ρ with weight i. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$, and this direct sum decomposition is preserved by $\overline{Q}U'$ since $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially on $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$ and on $\mathfrak{u}'$. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts with positive weights on $\mathfrak{n}$, $NV_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{j>i} V_j$. The filtration of V defined by $F^i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} V_j$ is preserved by $QU' = \overline{Q}NU'$, and the action on the associated graded factors through the action of $\overline{Q}U'$ on V. Part (ii) then follows. Finally, under the hypotheses of (iii), the V_i are G'-invariant subspaces, and thus the associated gradeds come from representations of G'. $\square$

6.2 Parabolic induction

In this section, we shall use the above results to relate G'-bundles to G-bundles, where G' is a semisimple subgroup of G whose Dynkin diagram is obtained by deleting one end of the Dynkin diagram of G. A key ingredient in the construction is the fact that the bundle η_0 reduces to a Borel subgroup of L.

We begin by introducing some notation. If $\gamma \in \Delta$ and $\beta \in R$, let $c_\gamma(\beta)$ be the coefficient of γ in the expression of β as a sum of simple roots, i.e. $c_\gamma(\beta) = \beta(\varpi_\gamma^\vee)$. As usual, let α

be the special root. Now choose a simple root $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha_1 \rangle \neq 0$, i.e. the vertex of the Dynkin diagram corresponding to α_1 is adjacent to the vertex corresponding to α. Let $n(\alpha, \alpha_1) = 2\langle \alpha, \alpha_1 \rangle / \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle$ be the Cartan integer, and let $m = -n(\alpha, \alpha_1) > 0$. Thus, if we normalize the inner product so that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$, then $\langle \alpha, \alpha_1 \rangle = -1$, $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle = 2/m$, and $\nu = \alpha + m\alpha_1$ is a long root. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are the simple roots such that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ is the set of vertices of a connected component of the Dynkin diagram of $\Delta - \{\alpha\}$ and such that $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1} \rangle \neq 0$.

Let R' be the set of all roots β such that $mc_\alpha(\beta) = c_{\alpha_1}(\beta)$. Clearly, R' is a root system in a hyperplane in the real span of R, and $\Delta' = (\Delta - \{\alpha, \alpha_1\}) \cup \{\nu = \alpha + m\alpha_1\}$ is a set of simple roots for R'. Let $\mathfrak{h}' \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ be the complex span of the corresponding coroots. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in R'} \mathfrak{g}^\beta$$

is a semisimple subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let G' be the corresponding subgroup of G, and denote by $i \colon G' \hookrightarrow G$ the inclusion. It is easy to see that G' is again simple and simply connected, and that the Dynkin diagram for G' is obtained from that of G by replacing α, α_1 and the edge connecting them by a single long root $\nu = \alpha + m\alpha_1$, with $\nu^\vee = \alpha^\vee + \alpha_1^\vee$. Note that $n(\gamma, \nu) = n(\gamma, \alpha)$ for $\gamma \in \Delta - \{\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ and $n(\alpha_2, \nu) = -m$. Hence ν is a special root for Δ'. The Dynkin diagram for Δ' is the same as that for $\Delta - \{\alpha_k\}$. In fact:

Lemma 6.2.1. *There exists a $w \in W$ such that $w\Delta' = \Delta - \{\alpha_k\} \subseteq \Delta$. Thus, if we let G'' be the standard simple subgroup of G corresponding to $\Delta - \{\alpha_k\}$, there is a $g \in G$ normalizing H which conjugates G' onto G''.*

Proof. Let $r_{\alpha_i} \in W$ be the reflection corresponding to the simple root α_i, and let $w = r_{\alpha_k} \circ r_{\alpha_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ r_{\alpha_1}$. Then it is straightforward to check that $w(\alpha_k) = \alpha_{k-1}, \dots, w(\alpha_2) = \alpha_1, w(\nu) = \alpha$, and $w(\beta) = \beta$ for $\beta \in \Delta - \{\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Thus w is as required. $\square$

In the simply laced case, the next lemma says that, for every positive root β, the coefficients of β expressed as a linear combination of the simple roots decrease along each arm of the Dynkin diagram.

Lemma 6.2.2. *In the above notation, suppose that β is a positive root and that $c_\alpha(\beta) > 0$. Then $mc_\alpha(\beta) \geq c_{\alpha_1}(\beta)$. Moreover, for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $c_{\alpha_i}(\beta) \geq c_{\alpha_{i+1}}(\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta_1 = \Delta - \{\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Write $\beta = t\alpha + \sum_i t_i\alpha_i + \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_1} s_\gamma \gamma$. The statement of the lemma is that $mt \geq t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq t_k$. The proof is by induction on the length $t + \sum_i t_i + \sum_\gamma s_\gamma$ of β. If the length is one, then $\beta = \alpha$ and the lemma is clear. Thus we may assume that $\beta = \beta' + \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Delta$ and $\beta' \in R$. If $c_\alpha(\beta') = 0$, then $\gamma = \alpha$. In this case, since $\Delta - \{\alpha\}$ is a union of diagrams of type A, β' is a sum of simple roots and there exists an $\ell, 1 \leq \ell < k$, such that $t_i = 1$ for $i < \ell$ and $t_i - 0$ for $i > \ell$. The lemma is clearly true in this case. Thus we may assume that $c_\alpha(\beta') > 0$, so that by the inductive hypothesis $\beta' = t'\alpha + \sum_i t'_i\alpha_i + \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta_1} s'_\gamma\gamma$ with $mt' \geq t'_1 \geq t'_2 \cdots$. If $\gamma \neq \alpha_i$, then $t'_i = t_i$ and $t \geq t'$ and the inductive step holds. If $\gamma = \alpha_1$, then $t_1 = t'_1 - 1$, $t_i = t'_i$ for $i > 1$, and $t = t'$. Since $\beta' + \alpha_1$ is a root, $n(\beta', \alpha_1) \leq 0$. If $n(\beta', \alpha_1) < 0$, then

$$-1 \geq \frac{2t'\langle\alpha, \alpha_1\rangle}{\langle\alpha_1, \alpha_1\rangle} + 2t'_1 - t'_2 = (t'_1 - mt') + (t'_1 - t'_2) = t_1 - 1 - mt + (t'_1 - t'_2).$$

Since $t'_1 - t'_2 \geq 0$, we must have $t_1 \leq mt$, which is the statement of the lemma in this case. If $n(\beta', \alpha_1) = 0$, then $\beta - \alpha_1$ is also a root, and so by the inductive hypothesis $(t'_1 - 1) - t'_2 \geq 0$, and a similar argument shows that $t_1 \leq mt$. A similar but simpler argument handles the case where $\gamma = \alpha_i$ for some $i > 1$. □

Let $P = LU$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup associated to α, with Levi factor L and unipotent radical U. Recall that $\mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{Lie}(U) = \bigoplus_{c_\alpha(\beta)>0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta$. Let Q be the Borel subgroup of L with

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{Lie}(Q) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{c_\alpha(\beta)=0,\beta>0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta.$$

Define the subgroup U' of U as follows: U' is the connected unipotent subgroup of U such that

$$\mathfrak{u}' = \mathrm{Lie}(U') = \bigoplus_{mc_\alpha(\beta)=c_{\alpha_1}(\beta)>0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta.$$

Let N be the unipotent subgroup of Q such that

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathrm{Lie}(N) = \bigoplus_{c_\alpha(\beta)=0,c_{\alpha_1}(\beta)>0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta.$$

Lemma 6.2.3. *We have $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{u}'] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}'$. Moreover, if $c_\alpha(\beta) = 0$ and $c_{\alpha_1}(\beta) > 0$, then $[\mathfrak{g}^\beta, \mathfrak{u}'] = 0$. Thus $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{u}'] = 0$, and $\mathfrak{n}$ is normal in $\mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof. The proof is immediate from Lemma 6.2.2. □

Corollary 6.2.4. *Conjugation by Q leaves the subgroup U' invariant. Moreover, the normal subgroup N of Q acts trivially on U'. Thus N is a normal subgroup of QU'.* □

Let $P' = (P')^\nu$ be the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of G' corresponding to the simple root ν. Then the group U' is the unipotent radical of P'. Let $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}} = L_{\Delta-\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}}$ be the closed connected subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is

$$\mathrm{Lie}(L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}}) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{c_\alpha(\beta)=c_{\alpha_1}(\beta)=0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta.$$

There is a Levi factor L' of P' whose Lie algebra is given by

$$\mathrm{Lie}(L') = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta\in R', c'_\nu(\beta)=0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta,$$

where the coefficient $c'_\nu(\beta)$ refers to writing β as a sum of elements of Δ'. Hence there is a finite group F such that $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}} \cong L' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*$. Here $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{C}^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ is the kernel of all simple roots except for α and α_1, as well as the root ν, and a nonzero element of this Lie algebra is given by $-m\varpi^\vee_\alpha + \varpi^\vee_{\alpha_1}$. The group $\mathbb{C}^*$ thus acts trivially on U' and with positive weights on $\mathfrak{n}$, and centralizes G'.

Quite concretely, L is the subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^t GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$, where the first factor $GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the simple roots $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k \in \Delta$, consisting of all tuples $(A_1,\dots,A_t)$ such that $\det A_1 = \cdots = \det A_t$. Then $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}}$ is the subgroup of L of all such tuples where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & A'_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, the corresponding roots for the first factor are $\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_k$. On the other hand, L' is the subgroup of $GL_{n_1-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=2}^t GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all tuples $(A'_1,\dots,A_t)$ such that $\det A'_1 = \cdots = \det A_t$. Given an upper triangular $n_1 \times n_1$ matrix, we can map it to the lower right hand block of size $(n_1-1)\times(n_1-1)$, and this is a homomorphism onto the group of upper triangular matrices in $GL_{n_1-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Returning to the general theory, we have

$$\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{c_\alpha(\beta)=c_{\alpha_1}(\beta)=0, \beta>0} \mathfrak{g}^\beta.$$

Thus

Lemma 6.2.5. *There is a surjection r from Q to a Borel subgroup $\overline{Q}$ of $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}} \cong L' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*$, which identifies $\overline{Q}$ with Q/N. Moreover, if $i\colon \overline{Q} \to Q$ is induced by the inclusion $i\colon G' \to G$, then $r \circ i = \mathrm{Id}$. Conjugation by the above $\mathbb{C}^*$ in the center of $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}}$ acts trivially on G', normalizes N, and acts with positive weights on $\mathfrak{n}$.* ☐

An L-bundle η consists of t vector bundles V_i of rank n_i, such that $\det V_1 = \cdots = \det V_t$. An $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}}$-bundle consists of a line bundle λ, a vector bundle V'_1 of rank $n_1 - 1$, and bundles $V_2,\dots,V_t$ of rank n_i such that $\lambda \otimes \det V'_1 = \det V_2 = \cdots = \det V_t$. An L'-bundle consists of a vector bundle V'_1 of rank $n_1 - 1$, and bundles $V_2,\dots,V_t$ of rank n_i such that $\det V'_1 = \det V_2 = \cdots = \det V_t$.

Let η'_0 be the unique stable L'-bundle of determinant $\mathcal{O}_C(-p_0)$. Because of the inductive construction of $W^\vee_k$ via the exact sequence

$$0 \to W^\vee_k \to W^\vee_{k+1} \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0,$$

we see:

Lemma 6.2.6. *There is a holomorphic reduction of structure* η_Q *of* η_0 *to a* Q*-bundle so that* $r_*\eta_Q$ *lifts to* η_0'. ☐

By Theorem 6.1.1, we have:

Corollary 6.2.7. *Let notation be as above. Then there is a* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant function from* $H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q))$ *to* $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$*, and a* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant isomorphism from* $H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q))$ *to* $H^1(C; U'(\eta_0'))$*. Except in the case where* C *is cuspidal and* $G = E_8$*, the cohomology sets are represented by affine spaces and the functions between them are represented by* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant morphisms.* ☐

In the statement of the above corollary, one has to be a little careful about what is meant by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions, because the centers of L and L' do not correspond to the same subgroup of Q. Instead, there is a homomorphism from $Z_1 \times Z_2$ into the center of $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}}$, where $Z_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ is the identity component of the center of L and the $Z_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ is the identity component of the center of L'. The first $\mathbb{C}^*$ factor acts on $H^1(C; (\mathfrak{u}')^k(\eta_Q))$ and $H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}^k(\eta_0))$ via kn_α and the second acts on $H^1(C; (\mathfrak{u}')^k(\eta_Q))$ and $H^1(C; (\mathfrak{u}')^k(\eta_0'))$ via kn'_α, where $n'_\alpha = n_\nu$, and these weights cannot agree unless $n_\alpha = n_\nu$. The point is that there is a third $\mathbb{C}^*$ in $Z(L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}})$ such that $L^{\{\alpha,\alpha_1\}} \cong L' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*$, and this $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially on U'. Thus, there is a positive integer n such that, for all $t \in Z_1$, $t^n = s\mu$ where $s \in Z_2$ and μ acts trivially on U', and in this way we can line up the two different $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions up to a positive multiple.

Applying Proposition 6.1.3, and Proposition 6.1.4 in this situation gives:

Lemma 6.2.8. *Let* $x \in H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q))$*, and let* ξ *be the* G*-bundle corresponding to the image of* x *in* $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$*. Let* ξ' *be the* G'*-bundle corresponding to the image of* x *in* $H^1(C; U'(\eta_0'))$*. Then there is a* G*-bundle* $\Xi \to C \times \mathbb{C}$ *such that, for* $t \neq 0$*,* $\Xi_t = \Xi | C \times \{t\} \cong \xi$ *and such that* $\Xi_0 \cong \xi' \times_{G'} G$*. Moreover, if* $\rho\colon G \to \mathbb{C}^N$ *is a representation of* G*, then there is a filtration on the vector bundle* $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ *whose associated graded is isomorphic to* $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^N$*, where* G' *acts on* $\mathbb{C}^N$ *via* $\rho | G'$. ☐

If C is smooth, the above lemma says that the semistable and regular G-bundle ξ is S-equivalent to the semistable, but not necessarily regular, G-bundle $\xi' \times_{G'} G$. In other words, the morphism of affine spaces $H^1(C; U'(\eta_0')) \to H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ defined by the previous corollary induces a corresponding map on weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{WP}(G') \to \mathbb{WP}(G)$, which via Looijenga's theorem is the same as the natural morphism $\mathcal{M}(G') \to \mathcal{M}(G)$ of moduli spaces. Of course, this morphism is also induced from the obvious morphism $(C \otimes \Lambda')/W' \to (C \otimes \Lambda)/W$, and in particular it is finite. However, this finite morphism need not be injective. A similar picture holds in the singular case on the open set $\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty \cong (C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$.

We now show that, even when C is singular, for most pairs of groups, the function $H^1(C; U'(\eta_0')) \to H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ induces a morphism on the level of weighted projective spaces, if this is meaningful:

Proposition 6.2.9. *Suppose that G is not of type of type E_8, and that the pair (G', G) is not of type (D_6, E_7). If $x \in H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q)) - \{0\}$, then the image of x in $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ is nonzero.*

Proof. We will deal with the case where (G', G) is of type (E_6, E_7) in [9] via the link with del Pezzo surfaces; the argument will also handle the case where (G', G) is of type (D_5, E_6) or (A_4, D_5). Here we will consider the case where $(G', G) = (Spin(2n-2), Spin(2n))$ and $n \geq 4$. The other classical cases or (G', G) of type (A_1, G_2) are similar and for the most part easier. The case where G is of type E_6 or F_4 involves a detailed analysis of the cubic form on the corresponding 27 or 26-dimensional representation but is otherwise similar, and the case of (A_6, E_7) can also be handled by these methods.

Consider the standard representation of $Spin(2n)$. The vector bundle V associated to a $Spin(2n)$-bundle ξ arising from the parabolic construction has a quadratic form and a filtration $F_{-1} \subseteq F_0 \subseteq F_1 = V$ such that $F_{-1} \cong W_{n-2}^\vee$, $F_{-1}^\perp = F_0$, and $F_0/F_{-1} \cong Hom(W_2, W_2)$ with its standard quadratic form. The bundle $\xi \cong \eta_0 \times_L G$ if and only if this filtration is split compatibly with the quadratic form, i.e. $V \cong W_{n-2}^\vee \oplus Hom(W_2, W_2) \oplus W_{n-2}$, where $W_{n-2}^\vee$ and W_{n-2} are isotropic and both are orthogonal to $Hom(W_2, W_2)$.

If ξ arises via parabolic induction from a $Spin(2n-2)$-bundle ξ', then there is a second filtration $G_{-1} \subseteq G_0 \subseteq G_1 = V$ with $G_{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, $G_{-1}^\perp = G_0$, and $G_0/G_{-1} = V'$, where V' is an $SO(2n-2)$-bundle associated to ξ'. Furthermore, $G_{-1} \subseteq V$ projects to F_1/F_0 to give the natural inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_C \to W_{n-2}$. Thus, the filtration

$$F_{-1} \cap G_0 \subseteq F_0 \cap G_0 \subseteq G_0/G_{-1}$$

is the parabolic filtration of ξ'. Now suppose that ξ is given by $0 \in H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ so that $V = W_{n-2}^\vee \oplus Hom(W_2, W_2) \oplus W_{n-2}$ compatibly with the quadratic form. The image of G_{-1} in the $W_{n-2}^\vee$ factor is trivial by stability. Hence G_{-1} is contained in the sum of the last two factors and the restriction of the quadratic form of V to G_{-1} is the same as the restriction of the quadratic form to the projection of G_{-1} into $Hom(W_2, W_2)$. But there is up to scale a unique map of $\mathcal{O}_C$ to $Hom(W_2, W_2)$, and the quadratic form on the image of any nonzero map is nontrivial. Since the restriction of the quadratic form to G_{-1} is trivial, it follows that the projection of G_{-1} to $Hom(W_2, W_2)$ is zero, and hence G_{-1} is contained in the last factor W_{n-2} of the direct sum decomposition of V. It then follows that the filtration of V' induced as above is also split and hence that the parabolic bundle ξ' is given by $0 \in H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q))$. □

Remark 6.2.10. (1) It is reasonable to expect that more complicated arguments will extend Proposition 6.2.9 to the remaining cases of parabolic induction, and that there is in fact a unified proof. The cases listed above will cover all of the applications in the next section.

(2) Similar arguments work in the case of a family $Z \to B$ or the linearized case of $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{A}^2$, except that we must work in various conformal forms of the groups G and G',

using the bundle $\hat{\eta}_0$, and a somewhat involved argument shows that there is a morphism between the corresponding weighted projective bundles. However, we shall not give the details here.

(3) If we iterate the above construction, we obtain an embedding of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ in G corresponding to the coroot $\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee$. It is easy to see that the corresponding morphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$ to the weighted projective space corresponding to G is an embedding onto a subprojective space in weight one. From this, one can show the following, assuming that G is not of type E_8: For every identification of the weighted projective bundle over the moduli stack with the weighted projective bundle associated to $\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_r}$, the section $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B) \subseteq \mathbb{WP}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-d_r})$, which is independent of the choice of the identification, is the section corresponding to the S-equivalence class of the trivial bundle, i.e. the image of the origin in $(C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$ for every fiber C. Of course, over the cusp fibers, this is consistent with the discussion of §4.6.

Definition 6.2.11. If $G' \subseteq G$ is an inclusion of subgroups as in this section and the conclusion of Proposition 6.2.9 holds, let $D_{G'} \subseteq \mathbb{WP}(G)$ be the image of $\mathbb{WP}(G')$ via the above construction. Clearly, $D_{G'}$ is an irreducible hypersurface in $\mathbb{WP}(G)$. We say that the G-bundles corresponding to points of $D_{G'}$ are obtained by *parabolic induction*.

6.3 The case of E_8

We turn now to E_8. We will again use Lemma 6.2.6, that there is a holomorphic reduction of structure η_Q of η_0 to a Q-bundle, where Q is an appropriate Borel subgroup of L. In this case, U' will be a normal subgroup of U. In terms of Lie algebras, we have $\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{u}^k$. Moreover, $\bigoplus_{k>1} \mathfrak{u}^k$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{u}$, and the quotient is abelian. Thus, any vector subspace $\mathfrak{u}'$ of $\mathfrak{u}$ containing $\bigoplus_{k>1} \mathfrak{u}^k$ is in fact an ideal of $\mathfrak{u}$, and the corresponding subgroup U' of U is a normal unipotent subgroup with abelian quotient. Of course, we also need Q to act on U'. In our case, $\mathfrak{u}^1$ is an L-module. Viewing L as a $\mathbb{C}^*$-extension of $SL_2 \times SL_3 \times SL_5$, we can identify $\mathfrak{u}^1$ with $V_2 \otimes V_3^* \otimes V_5^*$, where V_2 is the standard representation of SL_2 and similarly for V_3 and V_5. The Borel subgroup Q fixes a filtration of V_5, and hence it fixes a four-dimensional quotient V_4 of V_5. Thus there is an induced subspace $V_4^* \subseteq V_5^*$. We then have the subspace $V_2 \otimes V_3^* \otimes V_4^* \subseteq V_2 \otimes V_3^* \otimes V_5^*$. Let $\mathfrak{u}' = \bigoplus_{k>1} \mathfrak{u}^k \oplus (V_2 \otimes V_3^* \otimes V_4^*)$. Thus $\mathfrak{u}'$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{u}$ and the quotient $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}'$ is abelian and isomorphic to $V_2 \otimes V_3^*$. If U' is the corresponding subgroup of U, then U' is normal in U and $U/U' \cong V_2 \otimes V_3^*$.

Let η_Q be the reduction of η_0 to Q. There is thus an exact sequence

$$1 \to U'(\eta_Q) \to U(\eta_0) \to W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \to 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}_i = \bigoplus_{k\geq i} \mathfrak{u}^i$ and let U_i be the corresponding subgroup of U. The filtration $\{U_i\}$ induces a decreasing filtration $\{U_i'\}$ of U'. Note that $U_i = U_i'$ for $i \geq 2$.

Proposition 6.3.1. *In the above notation, let $\pi\colon Z \to B$ be a Weierstrass fibration, let η_0 be the L-bundle over Z corresponding to the triple $((\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^7)^\vee, (\mathcal{W}_3 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^4)^\vee, (\mathcal{W}_5 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L})^\vee)$ and let η_Q be the natural reduction of η_0 to a Q-bundle.*

(i) *The group* U', *the bundle* η_Q, *and the filtration* $\{U'_i\}$ *satisfy the hypotheses of* [7, Theorem A.2.2].

(ii) *The functor corresponding to* $H^1(Z; U'(\eta_Q))$ *is representable by a bundle of affine spaces* $\mathcal{A}$ *over* B *with a* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action induced by the center of* L *which is* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariantly isomorphic to*

$$(\mathcal{L}^4 \oplus \mathcal{L}^2) \oplus (\mathcal{L}^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2}) \oplus (\mathcal{L}^{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-4}) \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-4} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-6},$$

where the summands have $\mathbb{C}^*$*-weight* $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ *respectively.*

(iii) *The unipotent group scheme* $R^0\pi_*(U/U')(\eta_Q)$ *over* B *is isomorphic to the total space of the line bundle* $\mathcal{L}^{-2}$. *The* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action induced by the center of* L *is the standard linear action on* $\mathcal{L}^{-2}$ *of weight one.*

(iv) *The action of the unipotent group scheme of* (iii) *on* $\mathcal{A}$ *given in Proposition 6.1.2 is* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant. The differential of this action along the zero-section is given by* $(c_1G_3, c_2G_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, *where the* c_i *are nonzero. Away from the cuspidal fibers, the bundle of* 9*-dimensional affine spaces constructed in Corollary 4.1.7 is a geometric quotient of this action.*

Proof. The vector bundles $(U'_i/U'_{i+1})(\eta_Q)$ are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}^i(\eta_0)$ for $i > 1$ and to $W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee$ for $i = 1$. Thus, the vanishing of $H^0(C; (U'_i/U'_{i+1})(\eta_Q))$ follows from the computations used to prove Theorem 4.1.1. This proves Part (i). The representability statement in Part (ii) follows from [7, Theorem A.2.6], and the arguments of [7, Lemma 4.2.3] show that this affine space is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariantly isomorphic to $R^1\pi_*\mathfrak{u}'(\eta_Q)$. The rest of Part (ii) follows by direct computation.

To see Part (iii), we have

$$U/U'(\eta_Q) \cong \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^7 \otimes (\mathcal{W}_3 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^4)^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-5} \cong \mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-2}.$$

The calculation of $R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee)$ is given in Lemma 4.2.1 and the identification of the differential of the action follows from the calculation of the coboundary map in Lemma 4.2.5. Given that $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_2 \otimes \mathcal{W}_3^\vee) = 0$ by Lemma 4.2.1, the final statement in (iv) is a consequence of Proposition 6.1.2. ☐

Given a fibration $\pi\colon Z \to B$, it is natural to take the weighted tensor product with $\mathcal{L}^{-4}$ so as to get the family of weighted projective bundles associated to

$$(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-2}) \oplus (\mathcal{L}^{-6} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-8}) \oplus (\mathcal{L}^{-12} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-14}) \oplus (\mathcal{L}^{-18} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-20}) \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-24} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-30},$$

where the summands have weights $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ respectively. The unipotent group scheme then becomes the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-4} \cong \mathcal{L}^{-6}$. Except for the term $\mathcal{L}^{-6}$ in the above direct sum, we see the Casimir weights of E_8. We now give an explanation for this, and will show that the action of the unipotent group scheme accounts for the extra term $\mathcal{L}^{-6}$.

For C cuspidal, let $\mathbb{A}^{10}$ be the 10-dimensional affine space and let $\mathbb{WP}^9$ be the 9-dimensional weighted projective space constructed above from $H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q))$. As in Theorem 4.5.1 and the discussion following it, there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphism from $\mathbb{A}^{10}$ to $\mathbb{A}^1$ and compatible actions on the universal E_8-bundles $\Xi \to C \times \mathbb{A}^{10}$ and $(\iota \times \mathrm{Id})^*\Xi \to \widetilde{C} \times \mathbb{A}^1$, covering the product of this action with the λ-action on C given in Definition 1.1.2. The method of Proposition 4.3.4 constructs a Zariski open subset $\mathbb{WP}^9_0$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^9$, consisting of bundles whose pullbacks to the normalization $\widetilde{C}$ are trivial. Let us denote the one-dimensional unipotent group scheme by $\mathbb{G}_a$. The map from $H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q))$ to $H^1(C; U(\eta_Q))$ is constant on $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbits. Hence, given $x \in \mathbb{WP}^9$, if ξ_x is the associated G-bundle, then the action of$\mathbb{G}_a$ does not change the isomorphism class of ξ_x. Thus $\mathbb{G}_a$ acts on $\mathbb{WP}^9_0$, and the induced morphism from $\mathbb{WP}^9_0$ to $\mathfrak{h}/W$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant. To obtain the parabolic construction of an analogue of the Kostant section for G of type E_8, we use the following:

Theorem 6.3.2. *Fix a basis $e_0, \ldots, e_9$ of $\mathbb{A}^{10}$ corresponding to the ordering of the line bundles above. Then the subset $\mathbb{S}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{10}$ where the coefficient of e_0 is 1 and the coefficient of e_2 is 0 is a slice for the action of $\mathbb{G}_a$ on $\mathbb{WP}^9_0$.*

Proof. The proof is for the most part a very involved calculation, and we shall just sketch the general outlines. Note that $\mathbb{G}_a = H^0(C; (U/U')(\eta_Q))$ and the natural morphism $H^1(C; U'(\eta_Q)) \to H^1(C; (U'/U_k)(\eta_Q))$ is equivariant with respect to the actions of $\mathbb{G}_a$ and both actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$. The action of $\mathbb{G}_a$ on $H^1(C; (U'/U_2)(\eta_Q))$ is given by the coboundary and is trivial, by Lemma 4.2.5. The fibers of the morphism $H^1(C; (U'/U_3)(\eta_Q)) \to H^1(C; (U'/U_2)(\eta_Q))$ are principal homogeneous spaces over $H^1(C; (U_2/U_3)(\eta_Q))$, which is a vector space. Given $\zeta \in H^1(C; (U'/U_2)(\eta_Q))$ and a lift of ζ to an element $\widetilde{\zeta} \in H^1(C; (U'/U_3)(\eta_Q))$, and given $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_a$, $\gamma \cdot \widetilde{\zeta}$ lies in the same fiber as $\widetilde{\zeta}$. Thus the difference $\gamma \cdot \widetilde{\zeta} - \widetilde{\zeta}$ defines an element $p(\gamma, \widetilde{\zeta}) \in H^1(C; (U_2/U_3)(\eta_Q))$. Now $\mathbb{G}_a \cong H^0(C; (U/U')(\eta_Q))$ and the homomorphism $H^0(C; (U/U_2)(\eta_Q)) \to H^0(C; (U/U')(\eta_Q))$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, $H^0(C; (U/U_2)(\eta_Q)) \cong H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$. Thus we can identify γ with an element of $H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$, which we shall continue to denote by γ. Moreover, $\zeta \in H^1(C; (U'/U_2)(\eta_Q)) \cong H^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee)$. Of course, there is the surjection $j\colon H^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_4^\vee) \to H^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$. Finally,

$$H^1(C; (U_2/U_3)(\eta_Q)) \cong H^1(C; \bigwedge^2 W_2 \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_5^\vee).$$

With this said, a cocycle computation gives the following:

Lemma 6.3.3. *Under the above identifications, $p(\gamma, \widetilde{\zeta}) = \gamma \smile j(\zeta)$, where the cup product*

$$H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) \otimes H^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) \to H^1(C; \bigwedge^2 W_2 \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_5^\vee)$$

is the product corresponding to the homomorphism

$$(W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) \otimes (W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee) \to \bigwedge^2 W_2 \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_3^\vee \otimes \bigwedge^2 W_5^\vee$$

which is induced from the natural homomorphisms $W_i \otimes W_i \to \bigwedge^2 W_i$. *In particular,* $p(\gamma, \widetilde{\zeta})$ *only depends on the image* ζ *of* $\widetilde{\zeta}$ *in* $H^0(C; (U'/U_2)(\eta_Q))$ *and it is linear in* γ. ☐

Next, detailed computations as in the proof of Lemma 4.1.3, using the description of W_n given in Lemma 2.1.5, the recipe for computing $H^0(C; V)$ given in Corollary 2.1.3 and an analogous formula for $H^1(C; V)$, where V is a vector bundle on C described by a bundle on $\widetilde{C}$ together with an endomorphism of the fiber over 0, give:

Lemma 6.3.4. *Suppose that* $\tau \in H^1(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$ *maps to a nonzero element of* $H^1(\widetilde{C}; \iota^*(W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee))$ *and that* $\gamma \in H^0(C; W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_5^\vee)$ *is not zero. Then* $\gamma \smile \tau \neq 0$. ☐

To complete the proof of Theorem 6.3.2, choose a linearization

$$H^1(C; (U'/U)(\eta_Q)) \cong \mathcal{L}_0^0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_0^{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}_0^{-6} \oplus \mathcal{L}_0^{-8}.$$

(Here, the actual weights are not important.) The above lemmas imply that the $\mathbb{G}_a$-action is of the form

$$\gamma \cdot (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_0, x_1, x_2 + c_2 x_0 \gamma, x_3 + c_3 x_1 \gamma)$$

for some constants c_2, c_3 such that $c_2 \neq 0$. Fixing $x_0 = 1$, then, the subset where $x_2 = 0$ gives a slice to the $\mathbb{G}_a$-action, as required. ☐

Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the 8-dimensional affine slice of Theorem 6.3.2. After taking a suitable weighted tensor product, there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{S}$ covering the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on C. Since $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{10}$, there is a universal E_8-bundle over $C \times \mathbb{S}$ which is trivial over $\widetilde{C} \times \mathbb{S}$. By Theorem 3.1.5, choosing a trivialization gives an induced morphism $\sigma \colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of E_8. Let $\overline{\sigma} \colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathfrak{h}/W$ be the induced morphism.

Proposition 6.3.5. *In the above notation, the image of* σ *is contained in* $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. *The differential of* $\overline{\sigma}$ *is everywhere an isomorphism. Finally,* $\overline{\sigma}$ *is* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-equivariant with respect to the action on* $\mathbb{S}$ *defined above and the inverse of the usual action on* $\mathfrak{h}/W$.

Proof. Given $x \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\xi_{x,P}$ be the corresponding P-bundle. First, we claim that $H^0(C; \mathfrak{u}(\xi_{x,P})) = 0$. Indeed, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathfrak{u}_2/\mathfrak{u}_3)(\eta_Q) \to (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_3)(\xi_{x,P}) \to (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_2)(\eta_Q) \to 0,$$

and a straightforward calculation shows the coboundary

$$H^0(C; (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_2)(\eta_Q)) \to H^1(C; (\mathfrak{u}_2/\mathfrak{u}_3)(\eta_Q))$$

is given by taking cup product with the class of the image of x in $H^1(C; (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_2)(\eta_Q))$. By Lemma 6.3.4, the coboundary map is an isomorphism for $x \in \mathbb{S}$. Thus $H^0(C; (\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_3)(\xi_{x,P})) = 0$, and it follows easily that $H^0(C; \mathfrak{u}(\xi_{x,P})) = 0$. Given this, the arguments of [7, 4.5.1 and 4.5.2] imply that the homomorphism $H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}(\xi_{x,P})) \to H^1(C; \mathrm{ad}_G(\xi_{x,P} \times_P G))$ is surjective. But as $\dim H^1(C; \mathfrak{u}(\xi_{x,P})) = r+1$, $\dim H^1(C; \mathrm{ad}_G(\xi_{x,P} \times_P G)) \geq r$, and the homomorphism is constant on the image of $H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}_L \eta_0)$, it follows that $\dim H^1(C; \mathrm{ad}_G(\xi_{x,P} \times_P G)) = r$. Hence $\xi_{x,P} \times_P G$ is regular, and the Kodaira-Spencer homomorphism defines an isomorphism from the tangent space of $\mathbb{S}$ at x to $H^1(C; \mathrm{ad}_G(\xi_{x,P} \times_P G))$. The rest of the argument is identical to the proof of Theorem 4.6.1. □

In particular, the $\mathbb{C}^*$-weights on $\mathbb{S}$ are given by the Casimir weights of E_8. Thus, given a fibration $Z \to B$, when we write the 10-dimensional bundle of affine spaces as a direct sum of line bundles, normalized to begin with the factor $\mathcal{O}_B$, the remaining line bundle summands are of the form $\mathcal{L}^{-d_i}$, where the d_i are the Casimir weights, together with $\mathcal{L}^{-6}$, where -6 is the suitably normalized weight of the unipotent group scheme, thought of as a line bundle over the moduli stack.

Clearly, similar constructions with appropriate unipotent subgroups $U' \subseteq U$ can be made with groups other than E_8. For example, for E_6, if we again look at the weight one summand in $\mathfrak{u}$ and use the quotient corresponding to the subbundle $W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee \subseteq W_2 \otimes W_3^\vee \otimes W_3^\vee$, the result is a 10-dimensional affine space with the action of a 3-dimensional unipotent group. These actions seem closely connected to the equations defining the corresponding del Pezzo surfaces, and will be discussed in more detail in [9]. For example, in the case of E_8, the 9-dimensional weighted projective space seems very related to the equations of rational elliptic surfaces with a given fiber, and in the case of E_6, the affine space mentioned above seems connected with the space of cubic equations with a given hyperplane section.

7 Unstable bundles and minuscule representations

Throughout this section, we assume that C is a singular Weierstrass cubic and that $\iota\colon \widetilde{C} \to C$ is the normalization. As we mentioned in the introduction, there is no general definition for semistability of a G-bundle over a singular curve. Of course, for any reasonable definition of semistability, it is natural to require that a G-bundle whose pullback to the normalization is semistable should itself be semistable. But the set of all such bundles will never give a compact moduli space. For SL_n, we can define semistable vector bundles by the usual slope definition applied to torsion free subsheaves, and, in the case of a Weierstrass cubic, these will form a compact moduli space. On the other hand, tensor operations, for example exterior powers, applied to semistable vector bundles do not always yield semistable vector bundles. In this section, we answer the following question: given a G-bundle ξ arising from the parabolic construction and an irreducible representation $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$, when is the vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ semistable? We may as well restrict attention to the divisor D_∞ defined in Corollary 4.3.6, since for ξ corresponding to a point of $\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty$, the vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is semistable for every ρ. As we shall see, over D_∞, the ρ for which $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$

is semistable are in a very short list of "small" representations of G. Even for such ρ, the question is rather delicate.

7.1 A preliminary reduction

For the definitions of minuscule and quasi-minuscule, we refer to [5]. The following result shows that, if ξ is a G-bundle on C coming from the parabolic construction such that $\iota^*\xi$ is not trivial, then for almost every irreducible representation $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$, the vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable.

Lemma 7.1.1. *Let $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation of G with highest weight ϖ. Let ξ be a G-bundle arising from the parabolic construction, and suppose that ξ corresponds to a point in the divisor D_∞, i.e. the pullback $\iota^*\xi$ is not the trivial G-bundle. If $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is a semistable vector bundle, then, for all short coroots $\beta^\vee$, $\varpi(\beta^\vee) \leq 1$. In particular, ϖ is a fundamental weight ϖ_δ for some $\delta \in \Delta$, and the coefficient g_δ of $\delta^\vee$ in the coroot $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee$ dual to the highest root is one. Finally, if ϖ_δ is minuscule, then $g_\delta = 1$, and if G is simply laced and $g_\delta = 1$, then conversely ϖ_δ is minuscule.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.3.3 that, if V is a semistable vector bundle of degree zero on C and $\iota^*V \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$, then $a_i \leq 1$ for every i.

By Corollary 4.3.3 and Lemma 4.3.1, if $\iota^*\xi$ is not the trivial G-bundle, then $\iota^*\xi \cong \iota^*\eta_0 \times_L G = \gamma_{-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee} \times_H G$, where $\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta}\beta^\vee$ is the highest coroot such that the coefficient of $\alpha^\vee$ in $\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee$ is one. Hence, the pullback of $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ to $\widetilde{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ contains as direct summands bundles of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(w\varpi(-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee))$, for $w \in W$. Thus, there are summands of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)$ for every integer n of the form $\varpi(w^{-1}(-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee)$. On the other hand, $-\lambda_1(\alpha)^\vee$ is a short coroot, and so its orbit under W is the set of all short coroots. Hence $\varpi(\beta^\vee) \leq 1$ for every short coroot $\beta^\vee$. In particular, $\varpi(\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee) \leq 1$.

Write $\varpi = \sum_{\delta\in\Delta} n_\delta\varpi_\delta$, where ϖ_δ is the fundamental weight corresponding to δ. Then

$$1 \geq \varpi(\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee) = \sum_{\delta\in\Delta} n_\delta g_\delta.$$

It follows that n_δ is nonzero for exactly one $\delta \in \Delta$, and for this δ we have $n_\delta = g_\delta = 1$. The final statement is then clear. □

For example, in case G is of type E_8, C is nodal, and ξ is a G-bundle arising from the parabolic construction which does not pull back to the trivial bundle on $\widetilde{C}$, then $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable for every irreducible representation $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$. In fact, using the results of Ramanathan [16] (or directly), the same is true for every G-bundle ξ which does not pull back to the trivial bundle on $\widetilde{C}$.

The representations satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 7.1.1 are easy to tabulate:

Lemma 7.1.2. *Suppose that G is not simply laced. Then the non-minuscule representations with highest weight ϖ_δ, $\delta \in \Delta$ such that $g_\delta = 1$ are as follows: they are either* *the quasi-minuscule representations, in case G is of type B_n, F_4, or G_2, or any of the fundamental representations in case G is of type C_n.* $\square$

Note that, in every case but type C_n, the highest weight ϖ of a quasi-minuscule representation is the highest short root, and that ϖ is the fundamental weight dual to a simple coroot corresponding to an endpoint of the Dynkin diagram. The same is true in the minuscule case if G is not of type A_n, or if $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and ρ is either the standard representation or its dual. Hence, if we are not in one of these exceptional cases and if $G' \subseteq G$ is the simple subgroup corresponding to the root system defined by the kernel of ϖ_δ, or equivalently whose simple roots are $\Delta - \{\delta\}$, then $G' \subseteq G$ is one of the inclusions of groups arising via parabolic induction. Recall that, in this case, there is the hypersurface $D_{G'} \subseteq \mathbb{WP}(G)$ arising from parabolic induction.

7.2 The minuscule case

In this subsection, we assume that ρ is minuscule. Our goal is to show the following inductive result:

Theorem 7.2.1. *Suppose that G is not of type A. Let $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ be a minuscule representation of G, with highest weight ϖ_δ, and let $G' \subseteq G$ be the simple subgroup whose simple roots are $\Delta - \{\delta\}$. Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{WP}(G)$ corresponds to the G-bundle ξ, where $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable. Then $x \in D_{G'}$ is the image of $x' \in \mathbb{WP}(G')$. Moreover, if ξ' is the G'-bundle corresponding to x', then there exists an irreducible subrepresentation $\rho'\colon G' \to GL_{N'}(\mathbb{C})$ of $\rho|G'$, necessarily minuscule as well, such that $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ is unstable. Conversely, if ξ is obtained via parabolic induction from such a ξ', then $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable.*

Proof. If $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable, then $H^0(C; \xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) \neq 0$. The following result, which is of independent interest and also holds in case C is smooth, then tells us that $x \in D_{G'}$:

Theorem 7.2.2. *Suppose that $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is a minuscule representation of G, with highest weight ϖ_δ and let $G' \subseteq G$ be the simple subgroup whose simple roots are $\Delta - \{\delta\}$. Then the divisor $D_{G'}$ is exactly the set of $x \in \mathbb{WP}(G)$ such that, if ξ_x is the corresponding G-bundle, then $H^0(C; \xi_x \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) \neq 0$.*

Assuming Theorem 7.2.2, let us finish the proof of the first two conclusions of Theorem 7.2.1. It suffices to show that, if $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable and if ξ' is the corresponding G'-bundle, then there exists an irreducible subrepresentation $\rho'\colon G' \to GL_{N'}(\mathbb{C})$ of $\rho|G'$ such that $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ is unstable. Clearly, it suffices to prove that $(i_*\xi') \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable. By Lemma 6.2.8, there is a family of G-bundles $\Xi \to C \times \mathbb{C}$ such that, for $t \neq 0$, $\Xi_t \cong \xi$, and such that $\Xi_0 \cong i_*\xi'$. It follows that the vector bundle $(i_*\xi') \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is the limit of the unstable bundles $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$. Hence it is unstable.

Finally, the last statement of Theorem 7.2.1 follows from the fact that, by Proposition 6.1.4, there is a filtration of $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ whose associated graded is the bundle $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^N$. Moreover, by Part (iii) of Proposition 6.1.4, the successive summands of the associated graded are associated to representations of G' and thus have degree zero, since G' is simple. Since at least one summand in the associated graded is unstable and of degree zero, it follows that $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 7.2.2. Let D_ρ be the set of $x \in \mathbb{WP}(G)$ such that $H^0(C; \xi_x \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) \neq 0$. The argument will be in the following steps: First we show that D_ρ is a hypersurface containing $D_{G'}$. Then we show that D_ρ is irreducible, by showing that $D_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$ is irreducible and that D_ρ does not contain the irreducible hypersurface D_∞. It then follows that D_ρ is irreducible, and hence equal to $D_{G'}$.

We first claim that D_ρ is a either a hypersurface, is all of $\mathbb{WP}(G)$, or is empty. It suffices to work in $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ with the corresponding $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant subvariety. There is a universal bundle over $C \times \mathbb{A}^{r+1}$, and the inverse image of D_ρ is clearly the divisor associated to the canonical section of the inverse of the determinant line bundle of this family. Thus, either D_ρ is empty, is all of $\mathbb{WP}(G)$, or is a hypersurface.

Next, we claim that, under the identification of $\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty$ with $(C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$, $D_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$ is the image of $C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda'$, where Λ' is the coroot lattice of G'. In particular, this implies that $D_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$ is irreducible. If $t \in C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda$ is a lift of a point of $(C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$ corresponding to ξ, the corresponding vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is semistable, with support the divisor in C_{reg} given by $\sum_\lambda \lambda(t)$, where the sum is over the weights of ρ. In particular, the origin p_0 is in the support of $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ if and only if $H^0(C; \xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda(t) = 0$ for some weight λ of ρ. Since ρ is minuscule, all weights are conjugate under W, so the hypersurface $D_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$ is is the image in $(C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$ of the kernel of $\lambda \colon C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda \to C_{\mathrm{reg}}$, where λ is any fixed weight of ρ, for example $\lambda = \varpi_\delta$. So it suffices to show that the kernel of $\varpi_\delta \colon C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda \to C_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is $C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda'$. But ϖ_δ is a fundamental weight and hence primitive, and its kernel is Λ', the coroot lattice of G'. Moreover the sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda' \to \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varpi_\delta} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

is exact. Hence the kernel of $\varpi_\delta \colon C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda \to C_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is $C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda'$, and so $D_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$ is irreducible. It also follows that $D_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty) = D_{G'} \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$. Since $D_{G'}$ is irreducible, $D_{G'} \subseteq D_\rho$.

Finally, we claim that D_ρ is itself irreducible and hence is equal to $D_{G'}$. It suffices to show that D_ρ does not contain the irreducible hypersurface D_∞. The proof is by induction on the rank of G. In order to carry out the induction, we shall have to consider groups of type A, since it is possible that the group G' in the above notation is of type A. If the rank of G is one, then $G \cong SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, there is a unique minuscule representation, the standard one, D_∞ is a point, and the corresponding vector bundle, which is $V_{1,1}$ if C is nodal and V_2 if C is cuspidal, is semistable and supported at the singular point of C. Hence $H^0(C; V) = 0$. Now suppose that the rank of G is at least 2. If G is of type A_{n-1}, then it is easy to see that there are vector bundles of the form

$$V = (V_0 \otimes \lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-2} \lambda_i,$$

where V_0 is either $V_{1,1}$ or V_2 and λ and λ_i are general line bundles of degree zero such that $\lambda^2 \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{n-2}$ is trivial, satisfying: $h^0(C; \bigwedge^k V) = 0$ for all k such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. In particular, such bundles define points in $D_\infty - D_\rho$ for every representation ρ corresponding to a minuscule (i.e. fundamental) weight of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Thus we can apply the inductive hypothesis to the simple subgroup G' of G defined by ρ. We view ρ as a representation of G' by restriction. For every G'-bundle ξ', the bundle $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^N$ is a direct sum of bundles of the form $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^{N_i}$ associated to minuscule representations of G' and trivial factors, corresponding to weights of ρ which are trivial on the span of the simple coroots in G'. Choose a G'-bundle ξ', obtained by the parabolic construction for G', such that $\iota^*\xi'$ is not the trivial bundle and such that, for every minuscule representation $\rho_i \colon G' \to GL_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})$, $H^0(C; \xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^{N_i}) = 0$. Let ξ be the G-bundle obtained from ξ' by parabolic induction. We first claim that $\iota^*\xi$ is not trivial, so that ξ corresponds to a point of D_∞:

Lemma 7.2.3. *Let ξ' be a G'-bundle, obtained by the parabolic construction for G', such that $\iota^*\xi'$ is not the trivial G'-bundle, and let ξ be the G-bundle obtained from ξ' by parabolic induction. Then $\iota^*\xi$ is not the trivial G-bundle.*

Proof. The hypothesis that $\iota^*\xi'$ is not trivial easily implies that, for every nontrivial finite-dimensional representation of G' on a vector space V, $\iota^*\xi' \times_{G'} V$ is an unstable bundle of degree zero over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Suppose that $\iota^*\xi$ is trivial. In particular, if we choose some nontrivial finite-dimensional representation $\tau \colon G \to GL(V)$, then $\iota^*\xi \times_G V$ is the trivial bundle. By Proposition 6.1.4, there is a filtration of $\iota^*\xi \times_G V$ whose associated graded is the bundle $\iota^*\xi' \times_{G'} V$. Moreover, by (iii) of Proposition 6.1.4 the successive summands of the associated graded are associated to representations of G' and thus have degree zero, since G' is simple. Since V is nontrivial as a representation of G', at least one summand in the associated graded is unstable and of degree zero, which is impossible. Hence $\iota^*\xi$ is nontrivial. $\square$

Because of the trivial factors in the representation $\rho|G'$, it is always the case that $H^0(C; \xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^N) \neq 0$. However, we can modify the construction as follows. Let λ be a line bundle of degree zero on C, which we identify with a holomorphic principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle, and denote by $\xi' \otimes \lambda$ the $(G' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*)$-bundle induced by the pair (ξ', λ). Clearly, $\iota^*(\xi' \otimes \lambda) \cong \iota^*\xi'$, so that $\iota^*(\xi' \otimes \lambda)$ is not the trivial $(G' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*)$-bundle. Note that $\xi' \otimes \lambda$ has a holomorphic reduction of structure to $\overline{Q}U'$, covering the bundle $\eta_0' \otimes \lambda$.

Since $\mathbb{C}^*$ is in the center of $G' \times_F G$, it acts on each irreducible summand $\mathbb{C}^{N_i}$ of $\mathbb{C}^N$ via a character χ_i. Moreover, if $\mathbb{C}^{N_i}$ is a trivial representation of G', then χ_i is not the trivial character of $\mathbb{C}^*$, because no nonzero element of $\mathbb{C}^N$ is fixed by H. Thus,

$$(\xi' \otimes \lambda) \times_{(G' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*)} \mathbb{C}^N \cong \bigoplus_i (V_i \otimes \lambda^{n_i}),$$

where the V_i are vector bundles over C such that either $H^0(C; V_i) = 0$ or $V_i \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ and $n_i \neq 0$. It follows that, for generic λ, $H^0(C; (\xi' \otimes \lambda) \times_{(G' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*)} \mathbb{C}^N) = 0$.

Now let us show that we can carry out a modified version of parabolic induction for $\xi' \otimes \lambda$. First note that $\xi' \otimes \lambda$ is a lift to the parabolic subgroup $P' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*$ of $G' \times_F \mathbb{C}^*$ of the bundle $\eta_0' \otimes \lambda$. Let ξ_λ be a QU'-bundle lifting $\xi' \otimes \lambda$. The argument of Lemma 7.2.3 shows that $\iota^*\xi_\lambda$ is not the trivial G-bundle for any such lift ξ_λ. It now suffices to prove that there is a lift ξ_λ given by the parabolic construction for G, at least for λ close to the trivial bundle, for then ξ_λ satisfies: $\xi_\lambda \in D_\infty - D_\rho$, as desired. By Corollary 4.1.5, it is enough to show that, at least for λ close to the trivial bundle, there is a lift ξ_λ which is a small deformation of ξ. First, it is easy to check directly or via deformation theory that there is a family η_λ of QU'-bundles, such that η_0 agrees with the usual definition of η_0, and such that $\eta_\lambda/N \cong \eta_0' \otimes \lambda$. Since $H^0(C; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_0)) = 0$, $H^0(C; \mathfrak{u}(\eta_\lambda)) = 0$ for generic values of λ. Hence, for such λ, there is a bundle of affine spaces parametrized by λ which restricts for each λ to give the affine space representing $H^1(C; U'(\eta_\lambda))$. On the other hand, $H^1(C; U'(\eta_\lambda)) \cong H^1(C; U'(\eta_0' \otimes \lambda))$. The map $\lambda \mapsto \xi' \otimes \lambda$ defines a section of the affine space bundle corresponding to $H^1(C; U'(\eta_0' \otimes \lambda))$. Let ξ_λ be the corresponding section of the affine bundle corresponding to $H^1(C; U'(\eta_\lambda))$. For λ close to the trivial line bundle, the bundle ξ_λ is a small deformation of ξ. The arguments of (ii) of Theorem 6.1.1 show that $\xi_\lambda/N \cong \xi' \otimes \lambda$, and so ξ_λ is the desired lift. $\square$

7.3 The quasiminuscule case

In this subsection, in case G is of type E_8, we assume that C is not cuspidal, and shall let $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ be a quasiminuscule, non-minuscule representation. Thus ρ is the adjoint representation if G is simply laced, and in general the highest weight of ρ is the highest short root. Let s be the multiplicity of the trivial weight in ρ, so that $s = r$ if G is simply laced, and in general s is the number of short simple roots.

The first lemma shows that, by contrast to the minuscule case, the ranks of the associated vector bundles in the quasiminuscule case are constant:

Lemma 7.3.1. *For every G-bundle ξ coming from the parabolic construction,*

$$\dim H^0(C; \xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) = s.$$

Proof. In case ρ is the adjoint representation, this follows from Corollary 4.1.5. Thus we may assume that G is not simply laced. On the open dense subset of $\mathbb{WP}(G)$ corresponding to regular semisimple elements of $(C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$, it is clear that $\dim H^0(C; \xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) = s$. Thus by semicontinuity $\dim H^0(C; \xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N) \geq s$ for all ξ arising from the parabolic construction. On the other hand, viewing ρ as a representation of L by restriction, a case-by-case argument shows that $h^0(C; \eta_0 \times_L \mathbb{C}^N) = s$. Thus, for all ξ corresponding to points of the affine space $H^1(C; U(\eta_0))$ close to the origin, $h^0(C; \eta_0 \times_L \mathbb{C}^N) \leq s$. Using the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, the same statement holds for all ξ. Combining the two inequalities, we see that $h^0(C; \eta_0 \times_L \mathbb{C}^N) = s$ for all ξ. $\square$

For any vector bundle V, we have the natural map $H^0(C; V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \to V$ and hence an induced map $\psi_V\colon H^1(C; H^0(C; V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \to H^1(C; V)$. For example, if V is semistable and of degree zero, then ψ_V is an isomorphism if and only if V has no summands of the form I_n for $n > 1$, where I_n is the unique indecomposable bundle which has a filtration whose associated graded is $\mathcal{O}_C^n$.

In particular, there is a natural map $\psi_\xi \colon H^1(C;\mathcal{O}_C^s) \to H^1(C;\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N)$.

Proposition 7.3.2. *In the above notation,*

(i) *Suppose that $\chi(C;V) = 0$. Then ψ_V is an isomorphism if and only if V is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C^s \oplus V'$, where V' is a vector bundle such that $H^0(C;V') = 0$.*

(ii) *Let $\mathcal{V} \to C \times T$ be a family of vector bundles of index zero over C, and suppose that $h^0(C;V_t) = s$ is independent of $t \in T$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \{t \in T : \psi_{V_t}$ is not an isomorphism$\}$ is either a divisor in T, is all of T, or is empty.*

Proof. Clearly, if $V \cong \mathcal{O}_C^s \oplus V'$, where $H^0(C;V') = 0$, then ψ_V is an isomorphism. Conversely, suppose that ψ_V is an isomorphism and define V' by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C^s \to V \to V' \to 0,$$

where $s = h^0(C;V)$. Since by construction $H^0(C;\mathcal{O}_C^s) \to H^0(C;V)$ is an isomorphism, we see that ψ_V is an isomorphism if and only if $H^0(C;V') = H^1(C;V') = 0$. In particular, by Serre duality $\operatorname{Ext}^1(V',\mathcal{O}_C)$ is dual to $H^0(C;V')$ and hence is zero. It follows that $V \cong \mathcal{O}_C^s \oplus V'$, and in particular V' is locally free. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), consider the parametrized analogue of the above exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{O}_{C\times T}^s \to \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}' \to 0.$$

By standard base change results, restricting to every fiber $C \times \{t\}$ gives the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_C^s \to V_t \to V_t' \to 0$. The proof of the first part shows that ψ_{V_t} fails to be an isomorphism if and only if $h^0(C;V_t') \neq 0$. By the local criterion of flatness, the map $\mathcal{O}_{C\times T}^s \to \mathcal{V}$ is injective and $\mathcal{V}'$ is flat over T. Since each V_t' is of index zero, the set of t such that $h^0(C;V_t') \neq 0$ is then a divisor in T, is all of T, or is empty, as required. $\square$

Definition 7.3.3. Let $\mathcal{D}_\rho \subseteq \mathbb{WP}(G)$ correspond to the set of bundles ξ such that the corresponding homomorphism $\psi_\xi \colon H^1(C;\mathcal{O}_C^s) \to H^1(C;\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N)$ is not an isomorphism.

A different characterization of $\mathcal{D}_\rho$ is as follows:

Proposition 7.3.4. *The bundle ξ corresponds to a point of $\mathbb{WP}(G) - \mathcal{D}_\rho$ if and only if the vector bundle $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C^s \oplus V'$, where V' is a vector bundle such that $H^0(C;V') = 0$. In particular, if $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is unstable, then ξ corresponds to a point of $\mathcal{D}_\rho$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 7.3.2. To see the second, if $\xi \notin \mathcal{D}_\rho$, then $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus V'$, where $h^0(C;V') = 0$. In particular V' is semistable. Thus $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ is semistable as well. $\square$

With this said, there is the following analogue of Theorem 7.2.2:

Theorem 7.3.5. *Let* ρ *be a quasiminuscule representation of* G*, and let* G' *be the stabilizer of a nonzero weight of* ρ*. Then* $\mathcal{D}_\rho$ *is a hypersurface in* $\mathbb{WP}(G)$*. If in addition* G *is not simply laced or of type* C_n*, so that one can do parabolic induction from* G' *to* G*, then* $\mathcal{D}_\rho = D_{G'}$*, and in particular it is irreducible.*

Proof. In this case, $\mathcal{D}_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$ is exactly the locus corresponding to the points in $(C_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \Lambda)/W$ where a weight (i.e. a short root) vanishes, and hence $\mathcal{D}_\rho \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty) = D_{G'} \cap (\mathbb{WP}(G) - D_\infty)$. Given this, minor modifications of the proof of Theorem 7.2.2 handle this case as well. □

Remark 7.3.6. In case G is of type C_n, it is easy to check that the divisor $\mathcal{D}_\rho$ is still irreducible. On the other hand, if G is simply laced, then $\mathcal{D}_\rho$ always contains D_∞ and is thus reducible, with two components, except for $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and C nodal, where it consists of three points, one of which has multiplicity two.

Arguments as in the proof of Theorem 7.2.1 then show:

Corollary 7.3.7. *Suppose that* G *is not simply laced or of type* C_n*, and that* $\rho\colon G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ *is a quasiminuscule representation of* G*. Let* G' *be the corresponding subgroup of* G*. Let* $x \in \mathbb{WP}(G)$ *be a point corresponding to the bundle* ξ*, and suppose that* $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ *is unstable. Then* $x \in D_{G'}$*, i.e.* ξ *is obtained via parabolic induction from a* G'*-bundle* ξ'*. Moreover, there exists an irreducible subrepresentation* $\rho'\colon G' \to GL_{N'}(\mathbb{C})$*, necessarily quasiminuscule, of* $\rho|G'$ *such that the bundle* $\xi' \times_{G'} \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ *is unstable. Conversely, for every* G'*-bundle* ξ'*, obtained via the parabolic construction for* G' *and with this property, if* ξ *is the* G*-bundle obtained via parabolic induction from such a* ξ'*, then* $\xi \times_G \mathbb{C}^N$ *is unstable.* □

7.4 Examples

The case of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$

In this case, for the standard representation, the set of vector bundles associated to the parabolic construction is exactly the set of regular semistable bundles [11, Theorem 3.2]. In particular, every such vector bundle is semistable. For the standard inclusion of $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, the image of parabolic induction corresponds to the set of rank n regular semistable vector bundles V of degree zero such that $h^0(C;V) \geq 1$. For such bundles V, there is a (two step) filtration on V, $\mathcal{O}_C \subseteq V$, with associated graded $\mathcal{O}_C \oplus V'$, where V' is the corresponding regular semistable vector bundle of rank $n-1$. To deal with the remaining minuscule representations, we have the following, which is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.3.4 and Corollary 2.4.6.

Proposition 7.4.1. *Suppose that* C *is nodal. Let* V *be a regular semistable vector bundle on* C *with* $\det V \cong \mathcal{O}_C$*, and write* $V \cong V_{a,b;\lambda} \oplus V'$*, where* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *and* V' *has no support at* *the singular point. If either a or b is 1, then $\bigwedge^k V$ is semistable for all k. If $a, b \geq 2$, then $\bigwedge^k V$ is unstable for all k, $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. Similarly, suppose that C is cuspidal and that $V \cong V_{a;\lambda} \oplus V'$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and V' has no support at the singular point. If $a \leq 3$ then $\bigwedge^k V$ is semistable for all k, and if $a \geq 4$, then $\bigwedge^k V$ is unstable for all k, $2 \leq k \leq n-2$.* $\square$

The case of $Sp(2n)$

The parabolic construction for $Sp(2n)$-bundles is a special subset of extensions given by the parabolic construction for $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$-bundles, coming from the inclusion

$$H^1(C; \operatorname{Sym}^2 W_n^\vee) \subseteq H^1(C; W_n^\vee \otimes W_n^\vee).$$

In particular, every associated vector bundle in the standard representation is semistable, and parabolic induction from $Sp(2n-2)$ corresponds to the set of regular semistable symplectic bundles V of rank $2n$ such that $H^0(C; V) \neq 0$. In this case, there is a self-dual complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{O}_C \to 0,$$

such that $\operatorname{Ker} g / \operatorname{Im} f \cong V'$ is a regular semistable symplectic bundle of rank $2n-2$. Finally, those bundles in D_∞ are necessarily of the form $V_{a,a} \oplus V'$, where V' is a regular semistable symplectic bundle of rank $2n-2a$ with no support at the singular point, if C is nodal, or $V_{2a} \oplus V'$, where V' is a regular semistable symplectic bundle of rank $2n-2a$ with no support at the singular point, if C is cuspidal.

Given a representation ρ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 7.1.1 and a $Sp(2n)$-bundle ξ coming from the parabolic construction, we must decide when the associated vector bundle is unstable. The representations ρ in question are exactly those whose highest weight is a fundamental weight. Thus, they are given as follows: Let $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be the symplectic form. Then, for all k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, $Sp(2n)$ acts on $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, preserving the subspace $\omega \wedge \bigwedge^{k-2} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, the quotient $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^{2n} / \omega \wedge \bigwedge^{k-2} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is an irreducible $Sp(2n)$-module with highest weight a fundamental weight, and these are exactly a set of fundamental representations.

Proposition 7.4.2. *Let ξ be a principal $Sp(2n)$-bundle arising from the parabolic construction such that the associated rank $2n$-bundle V is of the form $V_{a,a} \oplus V'$, where V' is a regular semistable symplectic bundle of rank $2n-2a$ with no support at the singular point, if C is nodal, or $V_{2a} \oplus V'$, where V' is a regular semistable symplectic bundle of rank $2n-2a$ with no support at the singular point, if C is cuspidal. Let ρ be a fundamental representation of $Sp(2n)$. Then the vector bundle associated to ξ via ρ is semistable if $a = 1$, and is unstable if $a > 1$ and ρ is not the standard representation.*

Proof. The proof is clear if $a = 1$. To prove the result in case $a > 1$, it suffices to consider the nodal case, since semistability is an open condition in families of curves. Clearly, it is enough to show the following: for $a > 1$ and for all k with $2 \leq k \leq a$, the quotient

$$\left(\bigwedge^k V_{a,a}\right) \Big/ \omega \wedge \left(\bigwedge^{k-2} V_{a,a}\right)$$

is unstable. This can be proved using the methods of Lemma 2.4.3 and Proposition 2.4.5. We shall omit the somewhat tedious details. □

The case of $Spin(2n+1)$

In this case, there is the spin representation, which is minuscule, and the standard (SO) representation, which is quasi-minuscule. We consider these separately.

In the case of the spin representation ρ, the group G' which stabilizes a weight is the subgroup $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. The restriction of ρ to $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ defines the representation $\bigwedge^* \mathbb{C}^n$, the direct sum of the exterior powers of the standard representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the restriction of the SO-representation to G' is $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus (\mathbb{C}^n)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}$, the direct sum of the standard representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, its dual, and a trivial summand. Thus we see:

Proposition 7.4.3. *Given $x \in \mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n+1))$, let ξ be the $Spin(2n+1)$-bundle corresponding to x and let S be the vector bundle associated to ξ by the spin representation. Then $x \in D_{SL_n(\mathbb{C})}$ if and only if $H^0(C; S) \neq 0$. In this case, the vector bundle associated to ξ via the SO-representation is semistable. Suppose that $x \in D_{SL_n(\mathbb{C})}$, and let V be the regular semistable vector bundle of rank n associated to any point of $\mathbb{WP}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ mapping to x. Then S is unstable if and only if $\bigwedge^k V$ is unstable for some k with $2 \leq k \leq n-2$.* □

Of course, the regular semistable vector bundles V such that $\bigwedge^k V$ is unstable for some k have been classified in Proposition 7.4.1. Thus, for example, if $n \leq 3$ then every bundle S associated to ξ from the spin representation is semistable.

To describe $D_{SL_n(\mathbb{C})} \subseteq \mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n+1))$ in terms of the SO-representation, recall that we have the $\mathbb{C}^*$ commuting with $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, which then defines a filtration on any associated vector bundle. In this case, using the standard description of the roots of $Spin(2n+1)$ as $\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} = e_{n-1} - e_n, \alpha_n = e_n$, so that the highest weight of the spin representation is $\frac{1}{2}\sum_i e_i = \varpi_{\alpha_n}$, the Lie algebra of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ in question is $\mathbb{C}\cdot\varpi^\vee_{\alpha_n} = \mathbb{C}\cdot(\sum_i e_i)$. Since the weights of the standard representation are $\pm e_i$ and the trivial weight, we see that, if ξ is a $Spin(2n+1)$-bundle arising from parabolic induction from $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, then the associated orthogonal vector bundle $V = \xi \times_{Spin(2n+1)} \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ has a filtration $F_{-1} \subseteq F_0 \subseteq F_1 = V$, where $(F_{-1})^\perp = F_0$, such that the successive quotients are $V', \mathcal{O}_C, (V')^*$, where V' is a regular semistable vector bundle of rank n and trivial determinant. Conversely, if V is an orthogonal bundle with such a filtration, then it is not difficult to show that there is a lifting of V to a $Spin(2n+1)$-bundle ξ (uniquely, in general), such that the associated spin bundle has a section. In particular, if ξ arises from the parabolic construction, then in fact it arises from parabolic induction from $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

We turn now to the quasi-minuscule representation of $Spin(2n+1)$, i.e. the standard representation. Arguments similar to those above show:

Proposition 7.4.4. *Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Given $x \in \mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n+1))$, let ξ be the $Spin(2n+1)$-bundle corresponding to x and let V be the vector bundle associated to ξ by the SO-representation. Then $x \in D_{Spin(2n-1)}$ if and only if there is a self-dual complex*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to V \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0.$$

In this case, let V' be the orthogonal bundle of rank $2n-1$ associated to any point of $\mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n-1))$ mapping to x under parabolic induction, so that V' is the cohomology of the above complex. Then V is unstable if and only if V' is unstable. □

Corollary 7.4.5. *For all $n \geq 1$, there is a unique point $x \in \mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n+1))$ such that, if ξ is the corresponding $Spin(2n+1)$-bundle and V is the vector bundle of rank $2n+1$ associated to ξ via the standard representation, then V is unstable. The point x comes via repeated parabolic induction from $Spin(3) = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the bundle corresponding to $V_{1,1}$ in case C is nodal or V_2 in case C is cuspidal. Finally, the vector bundle S associated to ξ via the spin representation is semistable.*

Proof. By repeated applications of Proposition 7.4.4, x comes via iterated parabolic induction from $Spin(3) = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. There is a unique point of $\mathbb{WP}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ which lies in D_∞, and the corresponding representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the adjoint representation. Hence the associated rank 3 vector bundle is unstable. To see the last statement, note that it follows from Proposition 7.4.3 that, if S were unstable, then V would be semistable. Thus conversely if V is unstable then S is semistable. □

The case of $Spin(2n)$

In this case, there are three minuscule representations: the two half-spin representations $\rho_\pm$ and the standard representation ρ_0. If n is odd, then ρ_+ is the dual of ρ_-, whereas if n is even then ρ_+ and ρ_- are both isomorphic to their own duals. We shall consider first the half-spin representations and then the standard (SO) representation. Note that there is always an outer automorphism of $Spin(2n)$ which exchanges ρ_+ and ρ_-, so that it suffices to study one of them. However, if n is odd, ξ is a $Spin(2n)$-bundle, and S^+ and S^- are the two vector bundles associated to ξ by ρ_+ and ρ_- respectively, then S^+ has a section if and only if S^- has a section, whereas if n is even this is no longer necessarily the case.

Consider then ρ_+. The stabilizer of its highest weight is isomorphic to $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and $\rho_+|SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the representation $\bigwedge^{2*}\mathbb{C}^n$, where $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the standard representation. Likewise, $\rho_-|SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the representation $\bigwedge^{2*-1}\mathbb{C}^n$. Finally the restriction of the SO-representation to G' is $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$. Thus:

Proposition 7.4.6. *Given $x \in \mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n))$, let ξ be the $Spin(2n)$-bundle corresponding to ξ and let S^+ be the vector bundle associated to ξ by ρ_+. Then $x \in D_{SL_n(\mathbb{C})}$ if and only if $H^0(C; S^+) \neq 0$. In this case, the vector bundle associated to ξ via the standard representation is semistable. Suppose that $x \in D_{SL_n(\mathbb{C})}$, and let V be the regular semistable vector bundle of rank n associated to any point of $\mathbb{WP}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ mapping to x. Then S^+ is unstable if and only if $\bigwedge^{2k} V$ is unstable for some k.* □

Note that, in the above notation, if S^+ is unstable, then so is S^-, except in the case where $n = 4$ and V is of the form $V_{2,2}$ or V_4. In this case, S^- is semistable. Of course, the analogous construction for ρ_- yields a $Spin(8)$-bundle for which S^- is unstable and S^+ is semistable.

As in the case of $Spin(2n+1)$, if V is an orthogonal bundle of rank $2n$ arising from a $Spin(2n)$-bundle which is in the image of $\mathbb{WP}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$, then there is a self-annihilating subspace V' of V, where V' is a regular semistable vector bundle of rank n and trivial determinant. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to V' \to V \to (V')^* \to 0,$$

with $(V')^\perp = V'$. Conversely, if V is an orthogonal bundle with such a filtration, the subspace V' determines exactly one of the maximal parabolic subgroups corresponding to the highest weights of ρ_+ and ρ_-. If say it determines ρ_+, then there is a lifting of V to a $Spin(2n)$-bundle ξ (uniquely, in general) for which S^+ has a section, and if in addition ξ arises from the parabolic construction then it arises via parabolic induction from $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Turning now to the case of the standard representation, the image of parabolic induction from $Spin(2n-2)$ corresponds to those ξ such that, if V is the vector bundle associated to the standard representation, then there is a self-dual complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to V \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0,$$

and the corresponding $SO(2n-2)$-bundle is $(\mathcal{O}_C)^\perp/\mathcal{O}_C$. In this case, we can use repeated parabolic induction to reduce to the case of $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$, where there is a unique unstable bundle of the form $\bigwedge^2 V$, for V rank 4, regular semistable and with trivial determinant. Thus:

Proposition 7.4.7. *For $n \geq 3$, there is a unique point $x \in \mathbb{WP}(Spin(2n))$ such that, if ξ is the corresponding $Spin(2n)$-bundle and V is the vector bundle of rank $2n$ associated to ξ via the SO-representation, then V is unstable. The point x comes via repeated parabolic induction from $Spin(6) = SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ and the bundle described above. Finally, the vector bundle S associated to ξ via the spin representation is semistable.* □

In fact, Proposition 7.4.7 continues to hold for the group $Spin(4) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{WP}(Spin(4)) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the unique unstable bundle in the SO-representation is $V_{1,1} \otimes V_{1,1}$ if C is nodal and $V_2 \otimes V_2$ if C is cuspidal.

In particular, for $Spin(8)$, triality exchanges the three representations ρ_+, ρ_-, ρ_0, and, for each of the three representations, there is a unique point in $\mathbb{WP}(Spin(8))$ for which the vector bundle associated to the given representation is unstable and the remaining two vector bundles are semistable.

The case where G is of type E_6

There are two minuscule representations of G, each of dimension 27. Since they are exchanged under duality, it suffices to consider just one of them, say ρ. The stabilizer of a weight of ρ is $Spin(10)$. Moreover, $\rho|Spin(10)$ decomposes into one trivial summand, one copy of the standard 10-dimensional representation of $Spin(10)$, and one of the spin representations, of dimension 16. If $x \in \mathbb{WP}(G)$ corresponds to the bundle ξ, and V is the associated 27-dimensional vector bundle, then $x \in D_{Spin(10)}$ if and only if $h^0(C; V) \neq 0$. If V is unstable, then $x \in D_{Spin(10)}$, and, if ξ' is the corresponding $Spin(10)$-bundle, then $\xi' \times_G \mathbb{C}^{27} \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus V' \oplus S$, where V' is the rank 10 vector bundle associated to ξ' via the standard representation of $Spin(10)$, and S is the rank 16-vector bundle associated to ξ' via the appropriate spin representation. Hence V is unstable if and only if either S is unstable or V' is unstable. Thus the locus of points where V is unstable is the image of a rational curve Γ in $\mathbb{WP}(Spin(10))$, corresponding to the set of regular $SL_5(\mathbb{C})$-bundles of the form $V_{2,2;\lambda} \oplus \lambda'$, plus at most one more point x, the image under iterated parabolic induction from the point of $\mathbb{WP}(SL_4(\mathbb{C}))$ corresponding either to $V_{2,2}$ in the nodal case or V_4 in the cuspidal case. However, one can check that the morphism from $\mathbb{WP}(Spin(10))$ to $\mathbb{WP}(G)$ identifies the point x with a point on Γ. The point x comes from parabolic induction from A_3 to D_4 and thence to D_5. But we can also do parabolic induction from A_3 to A_4 to D_5. The two embeddings of A_3 in D_5 are not conjugate, but one can check that they become conjugate in E_6. Hence there is an irreducible rational curve in $\mathbb{WP}(G)$ corresponding to unstable bundles ξ. The G-bundles ξ are unstable in both minuscule representations of G and hence in all nontrivial representations of G.

The case where G is of type E_7

If ρ is the unique minuscule representation, then the stabilizer of a weight of ρ is a group G_0 of type E_6. The restriction $\rho|G_0$ is a direct sum of the two minuscule representations of G_0 plus two copies of the trivial representation. Thus, the G-bundles ξ arising from the parabolic construction for which the corresponding vector bundle V of rank 56 is unstable are the image via parabolic induction of the corresponding locus for G_0, and hence are the image of the rational curve Γ. (The morphism $\mathbb{WP}(G_0) \to \mathbb{WP}(G)$ is 2-1 and the curve $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{WP}(G_0)$ is invariant under the associated involution.) The corresponding G-bundles are unstable in the minuscule representation of G and hence in all nontrivial representations of G.

The case where G is of type F_4

As a $Spin(7)$-module, the 26-dimensional quasi-minuscule representation ρ of G splits into three copies of the trivial representation, one copy of the standard 7-dimensional representation of $Spin(7)$, and two copies of the 8-dimensional spin representation. Now suppose that ξ is a G-bundle, arising from the parabolic construction, such that the vector bundle V associated to ξ via ρ is unstable. Then ξ is obtained via parabolic induction from a $Spin(7)$-bundle ξ'. The vector bundle V' associated to ξ' via ρ then decomposes in the same way as $\rho|Spin(7)$. By Proposition 7.4.3, the two 8-dimensional pieces are semistable. Thus, the bundle $\xi' \times_{Spin(7)} \mathbb{C}^7$ obtained from the standard representation of $Spin(7)$ is unstable. It follows from Corollary 7.4.5 that there is a unique such $Spin(7)$-bundle. Hence there is a unique G-bundle up to isomorphism arising from the parabolic construction such that the associated 26-dimensional vector bundle is unstable. Thus it is unstable in all nontrivial representations of G.

The case where G is of type G_2

If ξ is a G_2-bundle arising from the parabolic construction, for which the associated 7-dimensional vector bundle V is unstable, then ξ comes via parabolic induction from an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-bundle. The quasi-minuscule representation of G_2 decomposes as an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-module into three trivial summands and two copies of the standard representation. It follows that, for every G_2-bundle ξ arising from the parabolic construction, the vector bundle associated to ξ via the 7-dimensional irreducible representation is semistable.

References

[1] V. Balaji and C.S. Seshadri, *Semistable principal bundles-I (in characteristic zero)*, preprint.

[2] H. Bass, E. Connell, and D. Wright, *The Jacobian conjecture: Reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc **7** (1982), 287–330.

[3] A. Białynicki-Birula, A, *Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k^n*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **14** (1966), 177–181.

[4] I. Burban, Yurij Drozd, and Gert-Martin Greuel, *Vector bundles on singular projective curves*, Proceedings of the NATO conference on Algebraic Geometry and its Applications to Physics, Coding Theory and Computation, to appear.

[5] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4, 5, et 6, Masson, Paris, 1981.

[6] R. Friedman and J.W. Morgan, *Holomorphic principal bundles over elliptic curves*, math.AG/9811130.

[7] R. Friedman and J.W. Morgan, *Holomorphic principal bundles over elliptic curves II: The parabolic construction*, J. Differential Geometry (to appear), math.AG/0006174.

[8] R. Friedman and J.W. Morgan, *Minuscule representations, invariant polynomials, and spectral covers*, math.AG/0011082.

[9] R. Friedman and J.W. Morgan, *Holomorphic principal bundles over elliptic curves IV: del Pezzo surfaces*, in preparation.

[10] R. Friedman, J.W. Morgan, and E. Witten, *Principal G-bundles over elliptic curves*, Math. Research Letters **5** (1998), 97–118.

[11] R. Friedman, J.W. Morgan, and E. Witten, *Vector bundles over elliptic fibrations*, J. Algebraic Geometry **8** (1999), 279–401.

[12] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1977.

[13] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Am. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.

[14] S. Mukai, *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 101–116.

[15] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, and H. Spindler, *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1980).

[16] A. Ramanathan, *Deformation of principal bundles on the projective line*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 165–191.

[17] R. Steinberg, *Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **25** (1965), 49–80.

[18] T. Teodorescu, *Semistable Torsion-Free Sheaves over Curves of Arithmetic Genus One*, Columbia University thesis (1999).

[19] K. Wirthmüller, *Root systems and Jacobi forms*, Compositio Math. **82** (1992), 293–354.

Department of Mathematics
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027
USA

`rf@math.columbia.edu, jm@math.columbia.edu`